Louisville/Jefferson County Continuum of Care (KY-501) CoC NOFO Scoring Packet FY24 TH-RRH Renewals

| Grant Name: | |
|----------------|-----|
| Grantee: | |
| Grant Prefix:* | |
| Project Type: | RRH |

^{*}The grant prefix is the first five digits of your grant number (i.e., KY0123)

OBJECTIVE CRITERIA: Coc PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT RELATED CRITERIA

| Measure: | Exits to Permanent Housing | exits to Permanent Housing | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|--|--|---|---|--|---|---------|--|--|--|
| Description: | Measures the percentage of well programs are doing at h | Measures the percentage of clients served in your project who have left to another permanent destination. This is to gauge how left programs are doing at housing clients and keeping them housed. This is a measure we are evaluated on as a CoC when eporting system performance measures. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicable to: | RRH, TH (all), TH-RRH Combi | ned | | | | | | | | |
| Data Source: | APR, Q23c | | | | | | | | | |
| Formula: | Total # of persons exiting to a positive housing destination | a positive housing / Total # of persons exiting - Persons exiting to excluded = | | | | | | | | |
| Computation: | | / | | - | | = | #DIV/0! | | | |
| CoC Average: | 92% | | | | | | | | | |
| Max Points: | 10 | | | | | | | | | |
| Point Basis: | 10 Points: 95% and Greater 8 Points: Between 90% and 9 6 Points: Between 85% and 4 Points: Between 80% and 8 2 Points Between 75% and 75 0 Points: 74.99% or Less | 89% 4% | | | | | | | | |
| POINTS AWARDED: | | | · | | | | | | | |

| Measure: | Gained or Increased Employr | nent | Income | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---|---------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| Description: | | | | | | | ect. Helping clients to increase valuated on as a CoC when re | | |
| Applicable to: | RRH, TH (all), TH-RRH Combi | ned | | | | | | | |
| Data Source: | APR Questions 19a1 and 19a | 2 | | | | | | | |
| Formula: | (19a1 Row "Number of Adults with Earned Income," Column "Performance Measure: Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Annual Assessment" | + | 19a2 Row "Number of Adults with Earned Income," Column "Performance Measure: Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Exit") | / | (19a1 Row "Number of Adults with Earned Income," Column "Total Adults (including those with No Income)" | + | 19a2 Row "Number of Adults with Earned Income," Column "Total Adults (including those with No Income)") | | = |
| Computation: | | + | | / | | + | | = | #DIV/0! |
| CoC Average: | 11% | | | | | | | | |
| Max Points: | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| | 5 Points: 15% and Greater 4 Points: Between 13% and 1 3 Points: Between 10% and 1 2 Points: Between 8% and 9% 1 Point: Between 6% and 7% | 2% 6 | | | | | | | |
| Point Basis: | 0 Points: 5% or Less | | | | | | | | |
| POINTS AWARDED: | | | | | | | | | |

| Measure: | Gained or Increased Non-Em | ploy | ment Cash Income | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---|-------|---|---|--|---|--|---|---------|
| Description: | | e the | y qualify for improves their fir | | | | and SSDI, while in your project maintain housing. This is a m | | |
| Applicable to: | RRH, TH (all), TH-RRH Combi | ned | | | | | | | |
| Data Source: | APR Questions 19a1 and 19a | 12 | | | | | | | |
| Formula: | (19a1 Row "Number of Adults with Other Income," Column "Performance Measure: Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Annual Assessment" | + | 19a2 Row "Number of Adults with Other Income," Column "Performance Measure: Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Exit") | / | (19a1 Row "Number of Adults with Other Income," Column "Total Adults (including those with No Income)" | + | 19a2 Row "Number of Adults with Other Income," Column "Total Adults (including those with No Income)") | | = |
| Computation: | | + | | / | | + | | = | #DIV/0! |
| CoC Average: | 6% | | | | | | | | |
| Max Points: | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| Point Basis: | 5 Points: 11% and Greater 4 Points: Between 8% and 10 3 Points: Between 5% and 79 0 Points: 4% or Less | | | | | | | | |
| POINTS AWARDED: | | | | | | | | | |

OBJECTIVE CRITERIA: RAPID RETURN TO PERMANENT HOUSING AND SEVERITY OF BARRIERS EXPERIENCED BY PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS

| Measure: | Analysis of Barriers - Disabilit | analysis of Barriers - Disability | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---|--|---------------------|---|---|---|---------|--|--|
| Description: | persons with disabilities face | leasures the percentage of clients served by your project with one or more disabling conditions. We recognize the barriers that ersons with disabilities face in securing and maintaining housing relative to others. This measure acknowledges projects that isproportionately serve those clients. | | | | | | | |
| Applicable to: | RRH, TH (all), TH-RRH Combi | ned | | | | | | | |
| Data Source: | APR, Question 13a2 | | | | | | | | |
| Formula: | Total # of persons with one or more disabling conditions | / | (Total # of persons | - | Children in HH with Children and Adults with no disabling conditions) | | = | | |
| Computation: | | / | | - | | = | #DIV/0! | | |
| CoC Average: | 63% | | | | | | | | |
| Max Points: | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| Point Basis: | 5 Points: 78% and Greater 4 Points: Between 68% and 7 3 Points: Between 58% and 5 2 Points: Between 48% and 5 1 Point: Between 38% and 4 0 Points: 37% or Less | 7% 7% | | | | | | | |
| POINTS AWARDED: | | | | | | | | | |

| Measure: | Analysis of Barriers - Histo | Analysis of Barriers - History of Domestic Violence | | | | | |
|-----------------|--|---|--|--------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Description: | violence. We recognize the | barrie | ts served by your project who rs that survivors of DV face in oportionately serve such clier | secu | | | |
| Applicable to: | RRH, TH (all), TH-RRH Com | bined (| projects restricted to DV are | not ii | ncluded in the CoC average) | | |
| Data Source: | APR, Question 14a | | | | | | |
| Formula: | Yes | / | Total | | = | | |
| Computation: | | / | | = | #DIV/0! | | |
| CoC Average: | 47% | | | | | | |
| Max Points: | 5 | | | | | | |
| Point Basis: | 5 Points: 62% and Greater 4 Points: Between 52% an 3 Points: Between 42% an 2 Points: Between 32% an 1 Point: Between 22% and 0 Points: 21% or Less | d 51% d 41% | | | | | |
| POINTS AWARDED: | | | | | | | |

| Measure: | Analysis of Barriers - Adults | Analysis of Barriers - Adults with No Income at Entry | | | | | |
|----------------|--|---|---|------|--------------------------|--|--|
| | | | ts served by your project who | | | | |
| Description: | We recognize the barriers th projects that disproportiona | | oup faces in securing housing. serve such clients. | This | measure acknowledges the | | |
| Applicable to: | RRH, TH (all), TH-RRH Comb | ined | | | | | |
| Data Source: | APR, Question 18 | | | | | | |
| Formula: | Adults with No Income | / | Total Adults | | = | | |
| Computation: | | / | | = | #DIV/0! | | |
| CoC Average: | 61% | | | | | | |
| Max Points: | 5 | | | | | | |
| | 5 Points: 69% and Greater 4 Points: Between 64% and 68% 3 Points: Between 59% and 63% 2 Points: Between 54% and 58% 1 Point: Between 49% and 53% | | | | | | |
| Point Basis: | 0 Points: 48% or Less | | | | | | |

OTHER OBJECTIVE CRITERIA

| Measure: | Expenditure of Grant Funds | Expenditure of Grant Funds | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---|---|------------------------------|---|---------|--|--|--|
| Description: | Measures the amount awar | Measures the amount awarded that projects spent relative to total amount awarded. | | | | | | |
| Applicable to: | All (excluding projects for w | hom I | FY24 was 1st operating year) | | | | | |
| Data Source: | HUD Spenddown Report | | | | | | | |
| Formula: | LOCCS Balance | / | Award | | = | | | |
| Computation: | | / | | = | #DIV/0! | | | |
| CoC Average: | 3.27% | - | | | | | | |
| Max Points: | 5 | | | | | | | |
| Point Basis: | 5 Points: Less than 1% Retu 4 Points: Between 1% and 2 3 Points: Between 3% and 4 2 Points: Between 5% and 6 1 Point: Between 6% and 7 0 Points: 7% or Greater Ret | 2% Ret 1% Ret 5% Ret % Retu | urned urned ırned | | | | | |
| POINTS AWARDED: | | | | | | | | |

| Measure: | Data Quality - Personally Ide | Data Quality - Personally Identifiable Information | | | | | |
|-----------------|---|--|---|---|-------|--|--|
| Description: | Measures data quality of clie of birth, gender, and race/et | Measures data quality of clients' personally identifiable information in HMIS (name, SSN, date of birth, gender, and race/ethnicity). CoC projects are required to collect these data elements for reporting. Capturing this data allows for more accurate reporting and analysis across the | | | | | |
| Applicable to: | All | | | | | | |
| Data Source: | APR, 6a | | | | | | |
| Formula: | Error Rate Below 5% / Data Elements = | | | | | | |
| Computation: | | / | 5 | = | 0.00% | | |
| Max Points: | 2 | | | | | | |
| Point Basis: | 2 Points: 5 out of 5 data elements (or 1 or fewer clients) with error rate below 5% 1.5 Points: 4 out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5% 1 Point: 3 out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5% .5 Points: 2 out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5% 0 Points: 1 or less out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5% | | | | | | |
| POINTS AWARDED: | | | · | | · | | |

| Measure: | Data Quality - Universal Dat | Data Quality - Universal Data Elements | | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--------|-------------------------|--|--|
| | Measures data quality of ad | ditior | al Universal Data Elements, o | lata e | elements required to be | | |
| | collected for all CoC project: | s. Cap | turing this data allows for mo | ore ac | ccurate reporting and | | |
| Description: | analysis across the CoC. | | | | | | |
| Applicable to: | All | | | | | | |
| Data Source: | APR, 6b | APR, 6b | | | | | |
| Formula: | Error Rate Below 5% | Error Rate Below 5% / Data Elements = | | | | | |
| Computation: | | / | 5 | = | 0.00% | | |
| Max Points: | 2 | | | | | | |
| | 2 Points: 5 out of 5 data ele | nents | (or 1 or fewer clients) with e | rror | rate below 5% | | |
| | 1.5 Points: 4 out of 5 data e | emer | nts with error rate below 5% | | | | |
| | 1 Point: 3 out of 5 data elem | 1 Point: 3 out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5% | | | | | |
| | .5 Points: 2 out of 5 data ele | .5 Points: 2 out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5% | | | | | |
| Point Basis: | 0 Points: 1 or less out of 5 d | D Points: 1 or less out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5% | | | | | |
| POINTS AWARDED: | | | | | | | |

| Measure: | Data Quality - Income and H | Data Quality - Income and Housing Data Quality | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---|--|--------------------------------|-------|----------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | and destination. These are re | | | | | |
| Description: | projects. Capturing this data | a allov | vs for more accurate reporting | g and | I analysis across the CoC. | | | |
| Applicable to: | All | | | | | | | |
| Data Source: | APR, 6c | | | | | | | |
| Formula: | Error Rate Below 5% | Error Rate Below 5% / Data Elements = | | | | | | |
| Computation: | | / | 4 | = | 0.00% | | | |
| Max Points: | 2 | | | | | | | |
| Point Basis: | 2 Points: 4 out of 4 data elements (or 1 or fewer clients) with error rate below 5% 1.5 Points: 3 out of 4 data elements with error rate below 5% 1 Point: 2 out of 4 data elements with error rate below 5% .5 Points: 1 out of 4 data elements with error rate below 5% 0 Points: 0 out of 4 data elements with error rate below 5% | | | | | | | |
| POINTS AWARDED: | | | | | | | | |

| Measure: | Data Quality - Chronic Homelessness |
|-----------------|--|
| | Measures percent of client records in project for which chronic homelessness cannot be determined based on responses (for example, number of times and months homeless wasn't answered). Chronically homeless clients are a particularly vulnerable population who it is |
| Description: | important to ensure we are accurately reporting. |
| Applicable to: | All |
| Data Source: | APR, 6d |
| Formula: | % of records unable to calculate |
| Computation: | |
| Max Points: | 2 |
| | 2 Points: less than 2% of records unable to calculate |
| | 1 Point: 2-5% of records unable to calculate |
| Point Basis: | 0 Points: More than 5% of records unable to calculate |
| POINTS AWARDED: | |

| Measure: | Data Quality - Timeliness of I | Data Quality - Timeliness of Data Entry Measures how quickly the project is entering client data into HMIS after the client enters the project. Timely data entry can reduce errors from too much time passing between data | | | | | |
|-----------------|--|---|---------------|---|---------|--|--|
| | project. Timely data entry ca | | | | | | |
| | | collection and entry, and it ensures data is accessible when needed. We advise projects enter | | | | | |
| Description: | data no more than 3 days aff | data no more than 3 days after the client enters the project. | | | | | |
| Applicable to: | All | | | | | | |
| Data Source: | APR, 6e | | | | | | |
| Formula: | Project Start Records Entered in 3 or Less Days | / | Total Records | | = | | |
| Computation: | | / | | = | #DIV/0! | | |
| Max Points: | 2 | | | | | | |
| Point Basis: | 1.5 Points: 70-79% of record 1 Point: 65-69% of records e .5 Points: 60-64% of records | 2 Points: 80% or more records entered within 3 days 1.5 Points: 70-79% of records entered within 3 days 1 Point: 65-69% of records entered within 3 days . 5 Points: 60-64% of records entered within 3 days 0 Points: Less than 60% of records entered within 3 days | | | | | |
| POINTS AWARDED: | | | | | | | |

| Measure: | Housing First/Low Barrier | | |
|-----------------|--|--|--|
| | Measures whether the project is low barrier (no barriers/stipulations to entry) and operates | | |
| Description: | using Housing First principles. | | |
| Applicable to: | All | | |
| Data Source: | FY24 Application | | |
| Computation: | | | |
| Max Points: | 5 | | |
| | 5 Points: Yes | | |
| Point Basis: | 0 Points: No | | |
| POINTS AWARDED: | | | |

OTHER CRITERIA

| Measure: | Community Consulting Board Questionnaire and Racial Equity Narrative | |
|-----------------|--|--|
| Description: | | |
| Applicable to: | All | |
| Data Source: | Written Response | |
| Max Points: | 30 | |
| Point Basis: | Evaluation of Responses by CCB | |
| POINTS AWARDED: | | |

SUBMISSION TIMELINESS

| Scoring Criteria Submission Timeliness | | | | |
|--|---|-----------|---------|--|
| Item | Due | Submitted | On Time | |
| Racial Equity Narrative | 9-23-24 | | | |
| CCB Questionnaire | 9-23-24 | | | |
| Draft Application | 9-23-24 | | | |
| Max Points: | 3 | | • | |
| Point Basis: | One Point for Each Item Submitted On Time | | | |
| POINTS AWARDED: | | | | |

| Max Points: | 88 |
|-----------------|----|
| Points Awarded: | |
| Percentage: | |