

Before Starting the CoC Application

You must submit all three of the following parts in order for us to consider your Consolidated Application complete:

1. the CoC Application,
2. the CoC Priority Listing, and
3. all the CoC's project applications that were either approved and ranked, or rejected.

As the Collaborative Applicant, you are responsible for reviewing the following:

1. The FY 2024 CoC Program Competition Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for specific application and program requirements.
2. The FY 2024 CoC Application Detailed Instructions which provide additional information and guidance for completing the application.
3. All information provided to ensure it is correct and current.
4. Responses provided by project applicants in their Project Applications.
5. The application to ensure all documentation, including attachment are provided.

Your CoC Must Approve the Consolidated Application before You Submit It
- 24 CFR 578.9 requires you to compile and submit the CoC Consolidated Application for the FY 2024 CoC Program Competition on behalf of your CoC.
- 24 CFR 578.9(b) requires you to obtain approval from your CoC before you submit the Consolidated Application into e-snaps.

Answering Multi-Part Narrative Questions

Many questions require you to address multiple elements in a single text box. Number your responses to correspond with multi-element questions using the same numbers in the question. This will help you organize your responses to ensure they are complete and help us to review and score your responses.

Attachments

Questions requiring attachments to receive points state, "You Must Upload an Attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen." Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process. Include a cover page with the attachment name.

- Attachments must match the questions they are associated with—if we do not award points for evidence you upload and associate with the wrong question, this is not a valid reason for you to appeal HUD's funding determination.

- We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1A-1. CoC Name and Number: KY-501 - Louisville-Jefferson County CoC

1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name: Coalition for the Homeless, Inc.

1A-3. CoC Designation: CA

1A-4. HMIS Lead: Coalition for the Homeless, Inc.

1B. Coordination and Engagement–Inclusive Structure and Participation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1B-1.	Inclusive Structure and Participation–Participation in Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Sections V.B.1.a.(1), V.B.1.e., V.B.1f., and V.B.1.p.	
	In the chart below for the period from May 1, 2023 to April 30, 2024:	
	1. select yes or no in the chart below if the entity listed participates in CoC meetings, voted—including selecting CoC Board members, and participated in your CoC’s coordinated entry system; or	
	2. select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist in your CoC’s geographic area:	

	Organization/Person	Participated in CoC Meetings	Voted, Including Electing CoC Board Members	Participated in CoC’s Coordinated Entry System
1.	Affordable Housing Developer(s)	Yes	Yes	No
2.	CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction	Yes	Yes	Yes
3.	Disability Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
4.	Disability Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
5.	EMS/Crisis Response Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
6.	Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
7.	Hospital(s)	Yes	No	No
8.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Nonexistent	No	No
9.	Law Enforcement	No	No	No
10.	Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender (LGBTQ+) Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
11.	LGBTQ+ Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
12.	Local Government Staff/Officials	Yes	Yes	Yes
13.	Local Jail(s)	No	No	No
14.	Mental Health Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
15.	Mental Illness Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
16.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes	Yes	Yes

17.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
18.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes	Yes	Yes
19.	Other homeless subpopulation advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
20.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes	Yes	Yes
21.	School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	Yes	No	Yes
22.	Street Outreach Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
23.	Substance Abuse Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
24.	Substance Abuse Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
25.	Agencies Serving Survivors of Human Trafficking	Yes	Yes	Yes
26.	Victim Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
27.	Domestic Violence Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
28.	Other Victim Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
29.	State Domestic Violence Coalition	Yes	Yes	Yes
30.	State Sexual Assault Coalition	Yes	Yes	Yes
31.	Youth Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
32.	Youth Homeless Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
33.	Youth Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Other: (limit 50 characters)			
34.				
35.				

By selecting "other" you must identify what "other" is.

1B-1a.	Experience Promoting Racial Equity.	
	NOFO Section III.B.3.c.	

Describe in the field below your CoC's experience in effectively addressing the needs of underserved communities, particularly Black and Brown communities, who are substantially overrepresented in the homeless population.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The Collaborative Applicant (Coll. App.) has worked to collaborate with underserved and overrepresented Black and Brown communities in several ways. First, Black Lives Matter regularly participates in CoC meetings and events. Our community education/advocacy efforts include partnerships with multiple Black-led advocacy groups working to identify solutions for an increasing number of unsheltered homeless persons moving into majority Black neighborhoods as the criminalization of homelessness increases in our more visible downtown neighborhoods. These same Black-led groups have helped lead efforts to target outreach programs to predominately-Black neighborhoods of need, including advising on how to best conduct outreach to those neighborhoods where the unhoused population are primarily in abandoned buildings. 2) We also advanced racial equity by hosting education and resource events with partner agencies in low resourced Black neighborhoods where the number of unhoused persons are increasing and have partnered with Black-led organizations including the Louisville Urban League, BLAK, and VOCAL-KY to advocate for their priorities of increased housing, eviction prevention, and harm reduction services. These efforts successfully led to the allocation of eviction prevention and opioid settlement funds to these communities as well as the expansion of employment and health services. Additionally, the CoC has worked closely with these organizations to help advocate against the criminalization of homelessness in Kentucky, including holding joint press events and organized testimony against harmful criminalization legislation that would disproportionately impact black and brown communities.

1B-2.	Open Invitation for New Members.	
NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(2)		
Describe in the field below how your CoC:		
1.	communicated a transparent invitation process annually (e.g., communicated to the public on the CoC's website) to solicit new members to join the CoC;	
2.	ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats; and	
3.	invited organizations serving culturally specific communities experiencing homelessness in your CoC's geographic area to address equity (e.g., Black, Latino, Indigenous, LGBTQ+, and persons with disabilities).	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) CoC membership is recruited annually through postings on the Collaborative Applicant website. This invitation was shared with the full CoC listserv as well as a listserv of grassroots and Black-led organizations within our community. However, new members are also welcome and invited to join at any point during the year and membership forms are publically available on our website at all times. Meetings to recruit new members of key representatives are held throughout the year including meetings held on Saturdays to recruit grassroots organizations who cannot attend weekday meetings. Solicitation for participation in the CoC is shared through e-news, social media and the Coll. App. website. Additionally, CoC leadership takes one-on-one meetings with new community agencies to recruit them to the CoC. The dates and registration information for all CoC meetings are posted in advance on the Coll. App. website and shared through regular email reminders. 2) All communication described above is accessible to the larger community, including persons with disabilities, through electronic virtual meetings with available closed captioning and screen reader accessible websites and social media, but also includes in person meetings for the Community Consultant Board (our CoC consumer board) and Young Adult Action Board (YAAB) and weekend meetings for grassroots organizations who may find virtual access or traditional meeting times more difficult. 3) Homeless persons included in the CoC membership, board, YAAB, and outreach groups and are encouraged to represent the CoC through their voice whenever the CoC speaks publicly including with Metro Council and the state legislature. In 2022, the Louisville CoC created The Community Consultant Board (CCB) made up solely of persons with a lived experience of homelessness (within the last 7 years) to advise the CoC. The CoC identified and solicited participation from individuals and organizations serving the 12 lowest income zip code areas in Louisville Metro, which make up half of those served in the homeless system. Louisville CoC also identified 8 key black-led and 1 Hispanic-led organization to recruit for membership and have added 4 to date. The CoC board is solicited from special sub-populations per the Charter that include over-represented Black communities, persons representing the LGBTQ+ community and persons with disabilities.

1B-3.	CoC's Strategy to Solicit/Consider Opinions on Preventing and Ending Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(3)	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	solicited and considered opinions from a broad array of organizations and individuals that have knowledge of homelessness, or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness;
2.	communicated information during public meetings or other forums your CoC uses to solicit public information;
3.	ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats; and
4.	took into consideration information gathered in public meetings or forums to address improvements or new approaches to preventing and ending homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The Coll. App. meets with potential members throughout the year to solicit participation from those who represent key sub-populations. The CoC coordinated a Community Consultant Board (CCB) and Young Adult Action Board (YAAB) of persons with lived expertise of homelessness to provide guidance on every aspect of the homeless service system. In 2024, we surveyed 92 unhoused youth to get their comments on existing programs and redesign and conducted a three-day training for the CCB on community voice and messaging. A member of the CCB was hired in 2024 to lead CoC efforts to increase consumer voice in all areas of the CoC system. Finally, the Coll. App. makes monthly training and networking events available to staff of homeless, housing and health service agencies to increase knowledge and coordination.

2) CoC representatives attend meetings to target sub-populations including veterans, the chronically homeless, youth, homeless families, those at risk of homelessness, persons exiting prisons, LGBTQ advocates, DV and trafficking advocates, recovery providers and youth employment and education providers. This effort has increased with more virtual meetings and opportunities for networking. All stakeholders are included in e-news and invited to quarterly CoC meetings. Important information is posted at the Coll. App. website for easy access. Target sub-groups are also invited to provide trainings to the CoC on effectively serving their sub-population.

3) All communication described above is accessible to the larger community, including persons with disabilities, through electronic virtual meetings with available closed captioning and screen reader accessible websites and social media, but also includes in person meetings for those who may find virtual access or traditional meeting times more difficult.

4) The CoC used information gathered from interviews, meetings with sub-group representatives, local providers and experts to create a local plan to address unsheltered homelessness in 2022-2023. The plan has recommendations for housing, shelter, medical connections and employment that helped us acquire over \$250 million in new resources from local and state government and medical partners. It was also the blueprint for our Special NOFO Plan to Address Unsheltered Homelessness. We are now creating a city-wide Plan to Address Family Homelessness with input from the greater community that includes prevention, shelter, debt, education and housing.

1B-4.	Public Notification for Proposals from Organizations Not Previously Awarded CoC Program Funding.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(4)	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC notified the public:	
1.	that your CoC will consider project applications from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program funding;	
2.	about how project applicants must submit their project applications—the process;	
3.	about how your CoC would determine which project applications it would submit to HUD for funding; and	
4.	ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC notified the public it was accepting new and renewal project applications, including apps from orgs that have not previously been funded, through a public notice on the Coll. App. website. This notice was distributed by email to community partners and shared at the quarterly CoC meeting. All notices stated that applications are encouraged from orgs that have not been funded and shared technical assistance availability to anyone expressing interest. While the CoC does maintain a listserv, it is not limited to CoC members. Any person who expresses an interest in the work of ending homelessness is included and membership is not required to receive these updates. 2) Through emails and a website posting, the CoC requested that any entity interested in applying for new CoC funding submit a pre-application by 7/19/24. On 8/16/24 the CoC Board met to approve all scoring criteria, including point values for each element. Notification of the scoring criteria, submission process, and deadline of 9/23/24 were sent out via email to the CoC Listserv and posted on the Coll. App website on 8/23/24. All posted scoring docs included point values for each element. New project applicants were invited to a meeting that covered the details of the submission process and a recording and instructional guides were distributed after. 3) Renewal applicants were asked to submit an HMIS or comparable database generated APR by 7/12/24. All posted scoring documents included point scales and indicated what data the score corresponded to. New projects were required to submit a narrative response; this request indicated it would be scored by CoC Staff. A questionnaire created by our consumer board (CCB) was scored by CCB members with TA from CoC staff. CoC Staff reviewed all projects for HUD-eligibility (including meeting the NOFO threshold criteria for each project type and being submitted by an eligible applicant). The CoC Board met to rank both renewal and new projects. All applicants were notified by email and website posting of the final ranking on 10/14/24. 4) All communication described above is shared with every effort to make accessible to those with disabilities (including accessible electronic or virtual meetings with available closed captioning and posting on the Coll. App. website). Full details of the app. process, including deadlines, scoring documents, links, and navigational guides, are placed on the CoC website and shared in the CoC and other meetings.

1C. Coordination and Engagement

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1C-1.	Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organizations.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.b.	
	In the chart below:	
	1. select yes or no for entities listed that are included in your CoC's coordination, planning, and operations of projects that serve individuals, families, unaccompanied youth, persons who are fleeing domestic violence who are experiencing homelessness, or those at risk of homelessness; or	
	2. select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist within your CoC's geographic area.	

	Entities or Organizations Your CoC Coordinates with for Planning or Operations of Projects	Coordinates with the Planning or Operations of Projects?
1.	Funding Collaboratives	Yes
2.	Head Start Program	No
3.	Housing and services programs funded through Local Government	Yes
4.	Housing and services programs funded through other Federal Resources (non-CoC)	Yes
5.	Housing and services programs funded through private entities, including Foundations	Yes
6.	Housing and services programs funded through State Government	Yes
7.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Yes
8.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)	Yes
9.	Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)	Yes
10.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Nonexistent
11.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes
12.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes
13.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes
14.	Private Foundations	Yes
15.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes
16.	Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)	Yes
17.	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	Yes
	Other:(limit 50 characters)	
18.		

1C-2.	CoC Consultation with ESG Program Recipients.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.b.	

In the chart below select yes or no to indicate whether your CoC:

1.	Consulted with ESG Program recipients in planning and allocating ESG Program funds?	Yes
2.	Provided Point-in-Time (PIT) count and Housing Inventory Count (HIC) data to the Consolidated Plan jurisdictions within its geographic area?	Yes
3.	Ensured local homelessness information is communicated and addressed in the Consolidated Plan updates?	Yes
4.	Coordinated with ESG recipients in evaluating and reporting performance of ESG Program recipients and subrecipients?	Yes

1C-3.	Ensuring Families are not Separated.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.c.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ensures emergency shelter, transitional housing, and permanent housing (PSH and RRH) do not deny admission or separate family members regardless of each family member's self-reported sexual orientation and gender identity:

1.	Conducted mandatory training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated?	Yes
2.	Conducted optional training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure family members are not separated?	No
3.	Worked with CoC and ESG recipient(s) to adopt uniform anti-discrimination policies for all subrecipients?	Yes
4.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to identify both CoC- and ESG-funded facilities within your CoC's geographic area that might be out of compliance and took steps to work directly with those facilities to bring them into compliance?	Yes
5.	Sought assistance from HUD by submitting questions or requesting technical assistance to resolve noncompliance by service providers?	No

1C-4.	CoC Collaboration Related to Children and Youth—SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the entities your CoC collaborates with:

1.	Youth Education Provider	Yes
2.	State Education Agency (SEA)	No
3.	Local Education Agency (LEA)	Yes
4.	School Districts	Yes

1C-4a.	Formal Partnerships with Youth Education Providers, SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below the formal partnerships your CoC has with at least one of the entities where you responded yes in question 1C-4.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The Louisville CoC has a written MOU with the LEA for Metro Louisville, Giselle Danger-Mecaderes of Jefferson County Public Schools (JCPS). The contract includes hosting annual training for all teachers and school educators with the Coll. App. including the rights of homeless children, to provide transportation to homeless youth as well as school clothes and supplies and advocacy for homeless youth. JCPS prioritizes homeless youth for access to out of school time and summer assistance. The LEA provides training to parents in emergency and transitional shelter about access to school and conducts an assessment of all youth in shelters to address needs. The LEA and staff led a CoC-wide training on the rights of homeless children on June 4, 2024 that is recorded and hosted on the CoC website for viewing at any time. JCPS also provides training and accepts referrals for Public Pre-K to 3 and 4 year olds and has written agreements of collaboration with three family shelters to provide on-site education services. JCPS has a contract with youth education providers and family resource centers to access GED and college prep as well as completing applications for FAFSA. Finally, JCPS joined with the Louisville CoC to secure pandemic rescue funding to place a case manager in the women’s day shelter. In 2024 the JCPS Office of Opportunity, which houses the LEA, is also providing funding for CoC staff to attend a conference hosted by the National Association for the Education of Homeless Children and Youth. Finally, the CoC serves on the State Interagency Council on Homelessness with the SEA.

1C-4b.	Informing Individuals and Families Who Have Recently Begun Experiencing Homelessness about Eligibility for Educational Services.	
NOFO Section V.B.1.d.		

Describe in the field below written policies and procedures your CoC uses to inform individuals and families who have recently begun experiencing homelessness of their eligibility for educational services.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The Louisville CoC policies and procedures require that all homeless providers that serve youth and children must name a homeless education coordinator and post the name and contact on site. For longer term transitional and RRR programs, information on the rights to education and a contact for the education coordinator are distributed with the program entry packet. Families that are homeless but who have not entered shelter are provided information on their educational rights and contact information for the LEA when they contact the Coordinated Shelter Access (CSA) hotline. The LEA provides annual training for these coordinators and the full CoC about the rights of homeless youth. Recordings of these trainings are posted on the Coll. App. website for future viewing at any time. The LEA also attends CoC meetings and works with CoC providers to address issues with school entry, transportation, or access to any educational opportunities. The educational rights of homeless youth and children are also posted at JCPS and Coll. Applicant websites and materials are made available to all teachers and school administrators about their rights and how to access. The Coll. Applicant has written contracts and distributes materials to the CoC about Family and Children’s Place and Ohio Valley Educational Co-op early childhood programs. These are the lead organizations coordinating Head Start, Early Head Start, and other Pre-K services. CoC providers also receive training on how to apply to ensure the families they serve can access services. Collectively the CoC provides referrals from providers to these services, training on what services are available, and advocacy to increase child care subsidies.

1C-4c.	Written/Formal Agreements or Partnerships with Early Childhood Services Providers.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC has written formal agreements or partnerships with the listed providers of early childhood services:

		MOU/MOA	Other Formal Agreement
1.	Birth to 3 years	Yes	No
2.	Child Care and Development Fund	No	No
3.	Early Childhood Providers	Yes	No
4.	Early Head Start	Yes	No
5.	Federal Home Visiting Program–(including Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home and Visiting or MIECHV)	Yes	No
6.	Head Start	Yes	No
7.	Healthy Start	No	No
8.	Public Pre-K	Yes	No
9.	Tribal Home Visiting Program	No	No
	Other (limit 150 characters)		
10.			

1C-5.	Addressing Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking—Collaboration with Federally Funded Programs and Victim Service Providers.
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.

In the chart below select yes or no for the organizations your CoC collaborates with:

	Organizations	
1.	State Domestic Violence Coalitions	Yes
2.	State Sexual Assault Coalitions	Yes
3.	Anti-trafficking Service Providers	Yes
	Other Organizations that Help this Population (limit 500 characters)	
4.		

1C-5a.	Collaborating with Federally Funded Programs and Victim Service Providers to Address Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.

Describe in the field below how your CoC regularly collaborates with organizations that you selected yes to in Question 1C-5 to:

1.	update CoC-wide policies; and
2.	ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC’s geographic area are trauma-informed and can meet the needs of survivors.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The Louisville CoC has two VSPs and one non-VSP who specialize in serving survivors of DV, sexual assault, dating violence and stalking. These agencies have provided revisions to the CoC Emergency Transfer Plan, helped revise the homeless verification documents required for Category 4 individuals, and provided training on both emergency transfers and how to best serve survivors. The Louisville CoC also collaborates with ZeroV, the state DV, sexual assault, dating violence and stalking advocacy coalition to understand the statewide need, coordinate referrals outside the county, and develop new RRH and PH projects. The Louisville CoC contracted with one local VSP, Center for Women and Families (CWF), to update the Emergency Transfer Plan and provide safety planning training for the Coordinated Entry and all direct service providers including those funded by ESG and CoC. Additionally, St. Vincent de Paul, a DV Bonus grantee, helped revise CoC documentation policies and provided training to all Coordinated Entry staff on the Category 4 homeless documentation requirements to ensure that survivors of DV are able to access services with minimal barriers. St. Vincent de Paul also collaborated with the CoC to conduct an in-person Emergency Transfer Plan training in 2024. 2) Due to a much higher number of victims among the homeless population than can be served by any VSP or DV designated projects in Louisville, it is important that all homeless service providers have appropriate training to help victims in need. CWF worked with CoC training staff to provide a series of 4 DV focused training sessions in 2023. Topics included Overview of and Recognizing DV, Safety Planning and Intervention, Self Care for DV Service Providers, and VAWA requirements. These recorded trainings are available to all local service providers regardless of funding source and are posted on the Coll. Applicants training YouTube page to rewatch. The CoC also offers annual DV and trauma-informed care training.

1C-5b.	Implemented Safety Planning, Confidentiality Protocols in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry to Address the Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
NOFO Section V.B.1.e.		
Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry addresses the needs of DV survivors by including:		
1.	safety planning protocols; and	
2.	confidentiality protocols.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The coordinated entry DV safety protocol was created in partnership with the Center for Women and Families (CWF), a VSP. First, the CSA call center for shelter access has a domestic violence lethality assessment question list that helps to determine who is at greatest risk for harm. This assessment allows the CSA to target clients at greatest risk to the DV shelter or work with that shelter for transfer to another DV shelter to decrease the risk of harm or death. Others are offered referrals to other local shelters, hotels or diverted to housing options along with the opportunity to create a safety plan with CWF. The Louisville coordinated entry insures safe access to resources in two ways. Clients can obtain services in person in an office location with emergency call access to police less than a block away or through a call center available 7 days a week. If after conducting the lethality assessment it is determined that the client is at risk, a referral is quickly made to CWF who can accept clients through a 24 hour hotline. CWF can also provide transportation for safe transfer. Otherwise, a referral is made to the safest option within the CoC system which can be with family and friends, other local shelters, or a hotel. In these situations, the CWF is still available 24 hours a day to help create an individualized safety plan with the client which can include what to do if you feel threatened, whether to file a protective order and what to do in case of threat or emergency. Each CoC provider is monitored on their compliance with Emergency Transfer Plan requirements and provided with individual technical assistance if needed. 2) In order to ensure confidentiality of DV, sexual assault, dating violence and stalking victims, all data collected in the assessment and referral process is maintained in a secure database and all staff involved in the assessment and referral process are provided training on confidentiality. No information regarding a survivors status as victim of DV, sexual assault, dating violence, or stalking is disclosed without explicit written permission from the survivor or as required by law.

1C-5c.	Coordinated Annual Training on Best Practices to Address the Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
NOFO Section V.B.1.e.		
In the chart below, indicate how your CoC facilitates training for project staff and coordinated entry staff that addresses best practices on safety planning and confidentiality protocols:		

		Project Staff	Coordinated Entry Staff
1.	Training Occurs at least annually?	Yes	Yes
2.	Incorporates Trauma Informed best practices?	Yes	Yes
3.	Incorporates Survivor-Centered best practices?	Yes	Yes
4.	Identifies and assesses survivors' individual safety needs?	Yes	Yes
5.	Enhances and supports collaboration with DV organizations?	Yes	Yes
6.	Ensures survivors' rights, voices, and perspectives are incorporated?	Yes	Yes
Other? (limit 500 characters)			
7.			

** **

1C-5d.	Implemented VAWA-Required Written Emergency Transfer Plan Policies and Procedures for Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below:	
1.	whether your CoC's written policies and procedures include an emergency transfer plan;
2.	how your CoC informs all households seeking or receiving CoC Program assistance about their rights to an emergency transfer;
3.	what your CoC requires households to do to request emergency transfers; and
4.	what your CoC does in response to households requesting emergency transfers.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) An emergency transfer plan was created with local DV, sexual assault, dating violence and stalking service agencies and updated with input from the local VSP and a non-VSP agency administering a DV bonus grant in 2024. It is distributed to ALL homeless service partners through CoC meetings and email distribution. Training was provided on the updated plan on February 22, 2024. The plan describes how DV, sexual assault, dating violence and stalking victims are identified/accepted into services and the CoC staff responsible for oversight of the plan. 2) The notification of client rights under VAWA, including the right to an emergency transfer, is provided to all CoC housing participants in the program entry packet. The plan tells participants what an emergency transfer plan is, who qualifies (including anyone who believes there is threat of immediate harm), provides assurances that documentation should not delay or prohibit an immediate transfer, the steps that should be taken in the transfer first at units with the same agency and then to others community-wide, how a victim can bifurcate their lease during an emergency transfer, confidentiality procedures, emergency resources and contacts available to keep victims safe, and a form to complete to initiate the transfer process. 3) To initiate the transfer process, an individual seeking a transfer notifies the CoC program where they are served of the threat of immediate harm and is assisted in completing documentation of the request. 4) If the individual requesting the transfer permits, the original service provider will assist them with connecting to the Center for Women and Families, a local VSP, to determine if there is a need to place the household in secure shelter. The original housing agency will then work to determine if there is a unit within the same agency's housing inventory, either by moving the household to a different scattered site unit or to a different program. If there is no safe availability in the original agency's housing inventory, the service provider will contact Coordinated Entry and begin the process to connect the household to another housing option, either within the CoC or through a PHA. The household will be referred to the next available Coordinated Entry opening where they qualify. During this process, the tenant is provided resources for local, state and national victim services to assist in creating a safety plan and making the transfer in a safe and confidential manner.

1C-5e.	Facilitating Safe Access to Housing and Services for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC ensures households experiencing trauma or a lack of safety related to fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking have safe access to all of the housing and services available within your CoC's geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault and stalking make up a majority of women served in the Louisville CoC and need equal access to all CoC funded housing and service programs. Toward this end, the Community Consulting Board and VSP evaluated the newly created assessment tool to create more client-driven, trauma-informed and culturally relevant questions for both RRH and PSH. This newly created assessment includes a sub-assessment for DV due to the recognition that the housing needs of DV survivors are not always clearly evident on traditional assessment tools. Set asides in both RRH and special vouchers are also targeted solely for victims. The coordinated entry staff meet almost daily with the VSP and family service providers to track who is at greatest risk, create safety plans and determine who should be transferred to DV shelter, other shelter options, RRH and PSH based on safety and health needs of survivors, and ensuring that no personal information is shared without approval from the participant. Training is provided on an annual basis to all CoC providers by the local VSP on how to serve survivors of domestic violence and how to address their specific service needs.

1C-5f.	Identifying and Removing Barriers for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
NOFO Section V.B.1.e.		
Describe in the field below how your CoC ensures survivors receive safe housing and services by:		
1.	identifying barriers specific to survivors; and	
2.	working to remove those barriers.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Coll. Applicant staff work with the Community Consultant Board and statewide VSP providers to identify system barriers that affect DV, dating violence, sexual assault and stalking victims and to work toward addressing these. Barriers identified by the lived experience board and VSP include poor credit, past evictions, utility arrears, loss of essential documents such as IDs or Birth Certificates, loss of access to financial resources to an abusive partner, as well as discrimination in housing against households with children. 2) CoC-wide efforts to address these barriers include support for the passage of statewide legislation that now allows DV victims to preserve their previous credit rating and rental history even after having to flee a past rental unit for safety and limitations on evictions for persons who are violence survivors. Other legislative efforts include legislation for free birth certificates for individuals who are experiencing homelessness and access to low-cost IDs. Additionally, the local DV shelter, police and housing authority created a partnership to address the barrier of housing access for survivors through the allocation of special vouchers which allow the police to quickly refer a victim to housing with support when they have no other safe option. Finally, training was provided by partner Catholic Charities on how to identify and intervene in human trafficking which may not be as obvious as other DV victimization.

1C-6.	Addressing the Needs of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Queer+–Anti-Discrimination Policy and Equal Access Trainings.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.f.	

	1. Did your CoC implement a written CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination?	Yes
	2. Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement the Equal Access to Housing in HUD Programs Regardless of Sexual Orientation or Gender Identity (Equal Access Final Rule)?	Yes
	3. Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement Equal Access in Accordance With an Individual's Gender Identity in Community Planning and Development Programs (Gender Identity Final Rule)?	Yes

1C-6a.	Anti-Discrimination Policy–Updating Policies–Assisting Providers–Evaluating Compliance–Addressing Noncompliance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.f.	

Describe in the field below:	
1.	how your CoC regularly collaborates with LGBTQ+ and other organizations to update its CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy, as necessary to ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC are trauma-informed and able to meet the needs of LGBTQ+ individuals and families;
2.	how your CoC assisted housing and services providers in developing project-level anti-discrimination policies that are consistent with the CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy;
3.	your CoC’s process for evaluating compliance with your CoC’s anti-discrimination policies; and
4.	your CoC’s process for addressing noncompliance with your CoC’s anti-discrimination policies.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Input is solicited from the Louisville LGBTQ Response Network that meets monthly to support the unhoused population. Information on LGBTQ+ rights of consumers are distributed through a local homeless resources booklet. All referrals to congregate shelter are made through the third-party coordinated entry based on the client’s preferred space and their stated gender identity. The CoC works with the ESG recipient to address any complaints regarding discrimination in congregate shelter. In addition to annual training provided by the Kentucky Fair Housing Council on the Equal Access Final Rule and the Gender Identity Final Rule, training is also provided on Homelessness in the LGBTQ+ population and how to best provide trauma-informed services. 2) The Louisville CoC has an anti-discrimination policy mirroring the federal rule. The CoC plan is updated regularly based on input from consumers, providers, and fair housing entities. The policy clarifies that shelter and housing providers must provide equal access regardless of sexual orientation, gender identity, or household composition. This includes accepting residents based on the gender in which they identify and providing restroom access based upon their identified gender. All CoC providers are required to adopt anti-discrimination policies that reflect the Equal Access and Gender Identity Final Rule. This includes protections for all LGBTQ+ individuals and families to receive shelter and housing free from discrimination. The ability to file a complaint with HUD if you are not satisfied with the response of a local program must be included in each agency’s policy. 3) The policies adopted by each CoC service provider and compliance is assessed at annual monitoring and technical assistance is provided as needed. The CoC monitors each program to ensure that each project has an approved non-discrimination plan, ensures any equity complaints were handled appropriately, and reviews equity data. 4) If the CoC discovers a non-compliance during an annual monitoring, the agency receives a written finding that must be addressed in writing within 30 days. If the finding is not addressed by the deadline, the CoC notifies the local HUD Field Office of the non-compliance. Unaddressed noncompliance could result in loss of points in ranking for future funding applications or the withdrawal of funds. Additionally, individuals seeking to file a complaint are connected to the Kentucky Fair Housing council.

1C-7.	Public Housing Agencies within Your CoC’s Geographic Area–New Admissions–General/Limited Preference–Moving On Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

You must upload the PHA Homeless Preference\PHA Moving On Preference attachment(s) to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Enter information in the chart below for the two largest PHAs highlighted in gray on the current CoC-PHA Crosswalk Report or the two PHAs your CoC has a working relationship with—if there is only one PHA in your CoC’s geographic area, provide information on the one:

Public Housing Agency Name	Enter the Percent of New Admissions into Public Housing or Housing Choice Voucher Program During FY 2023 who were experiencing homelessness at entry	Does the PHA have a General or Limited Homeless Preference?	Does the PHA have a Preference for current PSH program participants no longer needing intensive supportive services, e.g., Moving On?
Louisville Metro Housing Authority	29%	Yes-Both	Yes

1C-7a.	Written Policies on Homeless Admission Preferences with PHAs.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	steps your CoC has taken, with the two largest PHAs within your CoC's geographic area or the two PHAs your CoC has working relationships with, to adopt a homeless admission preference—if your CoC only has one PHA within its geographic area, you may respond for the one; or	
2.	state that your CoC has not worked with the PHAs in its geographic area to adopt a homeless admission preference.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

The Louisville CoC has a strong working relationship with the only PHA in the CoC's geographic area, Louisville Metro Housing Authority (LMHA). LMHA serves on the CoC board and served on committees created to quickly address the housing of sub-populations including veterans, the chronically homeless, youth and now families. Meanwhile, the CoC works with LMHA in creating their annual plan prior to submission to HUD. LMHA had two homeless admission preferences prior to COVID. The first is for homeless veterans who do not qualify for the VASH Program. The second is for those referred through the CoC for Moving On vouchers. LMHA worked with the CoC over the past 4 years to submit applications and receive funding for Mainstream, FUP/FYI, EHV and stability vouchers. LMHA is one of the only housing authorities in the country to meet the EHV deadline for voucher use in partnership with the Louisville CoC and is a model in the use of FYI vouchers. In April of 2020, LMHA enacted an emergency plan to create a priority for the homeless population in all public housing units. The CoC created a team to expand Coordinated Entry and made referrals of over 300 homeless households within the first year. This priority served the vital role of getting high risk populations out of congregate shelter and into their own housing units. This has now been expanded to the MTW Housing Choice Voucher program. LMHA also uses MTW Housing Choice vouchers to create referral programs that serve targeted homeless populations including DV victims, single parents, youth, persons with disabilities and families.

1C-7b.	Moving On Strategy with Affordable Housing Providers.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate affordable housing providers in your CoC's jurisdiction that your recipients use to move program participants to other subsidized housing:

1.	Multifamily assisted housing owners	Yes
2.	PHA	Yes
3.	Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) developments	No
4.	Local low-income housing programs	Yes
	Other (limit 150 characters)	
5.		

1C-7c.	Include Units from PHA Administered Programs in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

In the chart below, indicate if your CoC includes units from the following PHA programs in your CoC's coordinated entry process:

1.	Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHV)	Yes
2.	Family Unification Program (FUP)	Yes
3.	Housing Choice Voucher (HCV)	Yes
4.	HUD-Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (HUD-VASH)	Yes
5.	Mainstream Vouchers	Yes
6.	Non-Elderly Disabled (NED) Vouchers	No
7.	Public Housing	Yes
8.	Other Units from PHAs:	

1C-7d.	Submitting CoC and PHA Joint Applications for Funding for People Experiencing Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

1.	Did your CoC coordinate with a PHA(s) to submit a competitive joint application(s) for funding or jointly implement a competitive project serving individuals or families experiencing homelessness (e.g., applications for mainstream vouchers, Family Unification Program (FUP), other programs)?	Yes
		Program Funding Source
2.	Enter the type of competitive project your CoC coordinated with a PHA(s) to submit a joint application for or jointly implement.	Mainstream Vouchers

1C-7e.	Coordinating with PHA(s) to Apply for or Implement HCV Dedicated to Homelessness Including Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV).	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

	Did your CoC coordinate with any PHA to apply for or implement funding provided for Housing Choice Vouchers dedicated to homelessness, including vouchers provided through the American Rescue Plan?	Yes
--	--	-----

1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1D-1.	Preventing People Transitioning from Public Systems from Experiencing Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.h.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC actively coordinates with the public systems listed to ensure persons who have resided in them longer than 90 days are not discharged directly to the streets, emergency shelters, or other homeless assistance programs.

1.	Prisons/Jails?	Yes
2.	Health Care Facilities?	Yes
3.	Residential Care Facilities?	Yes
4.	Foster Care?	Yes

1D-2.	Housing First—Lowering Barriers to Entry.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.i.	

1.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2024 CoC Program Competition.	36
2.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2024 CoC Program Competition that have adopted the Housing First approach.	35
3.	This number is a calculation of the percentage of new and renewal PSH, RRH, SSO non-Coordinated Entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects the CoC has ranked in its CoC Priority Listing in the FY 2024 CoC Program Competition that reported that they are lowering barriers to entry and prioritizing rapid placement and stabilization to permanent housing.	97%

1D-2a.	Project Evaluation for Housing First Compliance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.i.	

You must upload the Housing First Evaluation attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC evaluates every project—where the applicant checks Housing First on their project application—to determine if they are using a Housing First approach;
2.	the list of factors and performance indicators your CoC uses during its evaluation;
3.	how your CoC regularly evaluates projects outside of your local CoC competition to ensure the projects are using a Housing First approach; and
4.	what your CoC has done to improve fidelity to Housing First.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) All renewal CoC applicants are evaluated on their commitment to provide housing/services in fidelity with the Housing First (HF) model from the past year’s monitoring. The Monitoring Specialist also looks for evidence of HF in practice through a monitoring checklist and case files. New applicants must commit to HF principles with examples of their compliance. 2) Policies must show eligibility is not conditional to sobriety, medication, mental health or substance use treatment, criminal background, or income. A HF/Low-Barrier Compliance Assessment is used to ensure projects do not screen for income, criminal background history, or housing barriers prior to entry, that there are no requirements to participate in services, no mandatory sobriety rules or drug screenings, that participants are not being held to standards not found in a typical lease, that language access is being facilitated, transportation assistance is offered, navigation assistance is provided during the housing search, that flexibility is offered in payments, and the length of time units are held during absences correspond to best practices. Case notes in participant files and other relevant HMIS information are evaluated to determine if the following are occurring: monthly case management, service needs assessments, annual HQS inspections, referrals to community and mainstream resources, increases in income for program participants, and exit destination and explanation for participants that are no longer enrolled in a program in order to identify any concerning patterns or disparities. 3) In addition to a formal review at annual monitorings the CoC evaluates fidelity to the HF model outside of local competition periods by attending CoC wide case conference meetings to monitor discussions about the approaches and practices being used; requesting feedback regarding the quality of CoC funded services and programs from our Community Consulting Board who regularly interact with these services, organizing outreach events to survey, and requesting feedback directly from persons currently experiencing homelessness who are utilizing these services. 4) The Coll. App. created an interview style survey in partnership with the lived expertise board to capture whether or not program staff are maintaining the fidelity of HF principles in practice. Through annual monitoring and surveys, we are seeing not just greater fidelity in programs but greater HF support by providers in the community.

1D-3.	Street Outreach—Data—Reaching People Least Likely to Request Assistance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.j.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC tailored its street outreach to people experiencing homelessness who are least likely to request assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Due to lack of capacity in shelter, the ability of outreach teams to quickly move people to shelter went down in 2023; however, Louisville provides evidenced-based housing focused outreach through 5 street outreach groups funded through the CoC and local funds, including one group focused on youth and young adults. Effective outreach strategies include a CoC RAVE alert system that provides shelter availability and access, program closings and openings, camp clearings and cleanings, and health and weather alerts to over 600 individuals. There is also a closed outreach RAVE group that sends messages only to outreach team members. Outreach teams also hold monthly case conferencing and monthly training on resources. The monthly outreach meetings focus on those least likely to request or accept assistance. When identified, these individuals are assigned a lead outreach contact and plan for housing, ID and transportation access. Outreach teams have access to translation services for those who are not English speaking. And, a local provider has developed a hotel that provides units for those identified for housing during the search. Other strategies created to ensure coverage of 100% of the CoC area include targeting of teams to specific areas and a 311 call system that shares homeless camps identified by community members with outreach team members through a mapping system. To increase effectiveness, outreach teams involve over a dozen volunteer outreach groups in case conferencing and training, have purchased multiple outreach vans to reach more people in need with greater resources and two nonprofits, Seven Counties Services and Wellspring, operate Assertive Community Treatment (ACT) interdisciplinary teams funded through Medicaid and Metro Louisville. These teams provide a higher level of mental health services targeted to those with severe mental illness living outdoors. Outreach teams also use secure texts to alert the next team about the needs of anyone they meet day or night. Outreach teams work with the CCB, veteran groups, downtown businesses, police officers, churches, hospitals and community ministries in every zip code to identify new homeless camps and serve those who have been identified. And, due to state law targeting the homeless, outreach teams now also have access to who is being targeted for citations and jail so that these people and others in those areas of citation can be targeted for outreach and legal assistance.

1D-4.	Strategies to Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.k.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate your CoC's strategies to prevent the criminalization of homelessness in your CoC's geographic area:

Your CoC's Strategies	Engaged/Educated Legislators and Policymakers	Implemented Laws/Policies/Practices that Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness
1. Increase utilization of co-responder responses or social services-led responses over law enforcement responses to people experiencing homelessness?	Yes	Yes
2. Minimize use of law enforcement to enforce bans on public sleeping, public camping, or carrying out basic life functions in public places?	Yes	No
3. Avoid imposing criminal sanctions, including fines, fees, and incarceration for public sleeping, public camping, and carrying out basic life functions in public places?	Yes	No

4.	Other:(limit 500 characters)		
	Implemented harm reduction strategies to insure people cited for public camping are connected to public defenders, lobbied judges for a diversion program for these citations, conducted a public education campaign to ensure unhoused people are aware of their rights under regressive legislation passed against our sustained and vigorous protest.	Yes	Yes

1D-5.	Rapid Rehousing–RRH Beds as Reported in the Housing Inventory Count (HIC) or Longitudinal Data from HMIS.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.i.	

		HIC Longitudinal HMIS Data	2023	2024
	Enter the total number of RRH beds available to serve all populations as reported in the HIC or the number of households served per longitudinal HMIS data, e.g., APR.	Longitudinal HMIS Data	570	682

1D-6.	Mainstream Benefits–CoC Annual Training of Project Staff.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.m.	

Indicate in the chart below whether your CoC trains program staff annually on the following mainstream benefits available for program participants within your CoC's geographic area:

	Mainstream Benefits	CoC Provides Annual Training?
1.	Food Stamps	Yes
2.	SSI–Supplemental Security Income	Yes
3.	SSDI–Social Security Disability Insurance	Yes
4.	TANF–Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	Yes
5.	Substance Use Disorder Programs	Yes
6.	Employment Assistance Programs	Yes
7.	Other (limit 150 characters)	

1D-6a.	Information and Training on Mainstream Benefits and Other Assistance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.m	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:

1.	works with projects to collaborate with healthcare organizations, including those that provide substance use disorder treatment and mental health treatment, to assist program participants with receiving healthcare services, including Medicaid; and
2.	promotes SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery (SOAR) certification of program staff.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC Mainstream Services Committee meets quarterly and brings in mainstream providers to address questions about benefits including Medicaid, SSI, SNAP, TANF, VA benefits, employment programs and substance abuse programs. All front-line case managers are invited to these meetings. Additionally, the CoC hosts trainings for front-line case managers that include best practices and mainstream service access. Kentucky Voices for Health hosts 15 regular virtual updates on mainstream resources including Medicaid, K-CHIP, SNAP, SSI, TANF, housing assistance, transportation, childcare, public health and behavioral health. Kentucky Voices for Health also hosted a CoC-required mainstream services training on August 28, 2024 that was recorded and posted on the Coll. App. website for future viewing. Kentucky has implemented a new incentive program to increase mainstream service access by paying case managers for training and for each client for whom they complete a universal application. Family Health Centers, the HCH provider, is the lead in helping unhoused persons to access Medicaid. KYNECT, the on-line portal includes Medicaid, TANF, K-CHIP, and SNAP. Over 95% of Louisville’s homeless population has Medicaid and in surveys, HCH services received a 98% positive rating. All five Managed Care Organizations for KY Medicaid are Louisville CoC partners and speak about benefits at the CoC Mainstream Service committee meetings as well as host collaborative health fairs. They provide sign ups at shelters and service agencies. FHC acquired funding for a mobile van to provide health services on-site at homeless camps. Mental health and substance use services are available throughout the county by Seven Counties, the Community Mental Health provider and several providers offer transportation and harm reduction services. In 2022, the city purchased a site in collaboration with University of Louisville and Norton Hospitals intended to create 30 units of Respite for unhoused persons needing 24-hour health services and a mental health drop-in center. 2) The Kentucky Department of Behavioral Health (KDBH) hosted a statewide SOAR conference in November of 2023 followed by multiple SOAR co-hort certification sessions thanks to federal funding. Invitations were shared with over 200 Louisville CoC members. Multiple FHC staff have completed the SOAR “train the trainer” sessions to provide local training to new staff at local agencies.

ID-7.	Partnerships with Public Health Agencies—Collaborating to Respond to and Prevent the Spread of Infectious Diseases.	
NOFO Section V.B.1.n.		
Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively collaborates with state and local public health agencies to develop CoC-wide policies and procedures that:		
1.	respond to infectious disease outbreaks; and	
2.	prevent infectious disease outbreaks among people experiencing homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) A Metro Louisville cross agency health team made up of the ESG recipient, CoC, health department and Healthcare for the Homeless (HFH) program staff was created to oversee COVID safety planning and share information about other infectious diseases and health related issues. This team works with local mainstream health providers including hospitals, physicians, managed care organizations and others to ensure safety measures are implemented and maintained. Procedures include isolation procedures, confidential notification of infected persons, and vaccine and masking resources. These protocols now include safety procedures for COVID, TB, and Monkeypox. They have also expanded to creating policies for heat related health issues, air quality concerns, and harm reduction services. 2) The Healthcare for the Homeless mobile outreach van and outreach teams led by other medical providers share information from the cross agency team and health department to persons sleeping out. They also provide vaccines, eye exams, TB testing, health screenings, accessibility item distribution and repairs, harm reduction supplies, and more. Louisville’s cross-agency team has continued to plan and address additional health concerns post the end of the COVID emergency declaration. Alerts are sent through the RAVE alert system concerning infectious disease outbreaks, air quality and heat alerts, and upcoming health clinics. These alerts reach around 630 homeless services providers and individuals experiencing homelessness. Additionally, public health coordinates through weekly email and additional email alerts as needed.

ID-7a.	Collaboration With Public Health Agencies on Infectious Diseases. NOFO Section V.B.1.n.	
Describe in the field below how your CoC:		
1.	effectively shared information related to public health measures and homelessness; and	
2.	facilitated communication between public health agencies and homeless service providers to ensure street outreach providers and shelter and housing providers are equipped to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The Louisville CoC worked with the local health department to create protocols and communication systems for homeless providers. On-going communication is shared through weekly health emails and other safety information is shared through the RAVE alert system to all homeless providers and consumers. The RAVE system helps to get information about services and health alerts in real time versus waiting to get this through outreach workers. Public Health staff attend monthly CoC outreach and service provider meetings to provide updates and answer questions. Additionally, as needed meetings are convened between service providers and staff from the Department of Public Health and Wellness to discuss emerging trends and best practices related to public health. Louisville also has a Healthcare for the Homeless provider that is an active member of our CoC and provides regular updates at community meetings. 2) The Louisville CoC has been fortunate to have a local Health Department that is generous with their time and eager to share their knowledge. This has made communication easier to facilitate. The Louisville CoC continues to set up new meetings as needed to make sure providers have the information they need about new health concerns including infectious diseases, heat and air quality related concerns. Additionally, more work is being done to provide preventative services including harm reduction services and supplies made available through opioid settlement funds. Metro Louisville also continues to provide guidance and PPE so that homeless outreach teams and service agencies can keep people safe. University of Louisville Hospital is also hosting a series of listening sessions with homeless services providers to obtain their feedback on the greatest needs of the unhoused community that could be addressed by mobile medical outreach.

1D-8.	Coordinated Entry Standard Processes. NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	
Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:		
1.	can serve everybody regardless of where they are located within your CoC's geographic area;	
2.	uses a standardized assessment process to achieve fair, equitable, and equal access to housing and services within your CoC;	
3.	collects personal information in a trauma-informed way; and	
4.	is updated at least annually using feedback received from participating projects and households that participated in coordinated entry.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The Louisville Coordinated Entry includes a 7-day a week Coordinated Shelter Access (CSA) Hotline to access homeless prevention and diversion, emergency shelter, and services and a Common Assessment (CA) team that provides outreach into the community to assess those eligible for PH and maintain the by name list. These services cover 100% of the CoC's geographic area. The CA team meets monthly with outreach teams to identify those least likely to access services so they can go out for an assessment and outreach teams target those least likely to seek services in monthly case conferencing meetings. A confidential RAVE alert system is used to share housing and service resources as well as notify outreach workers when an unhoused person is referred for housing. 2) The Louisville CA switched from the VI-SPDAT to a locally created and tested assessment tool developed in conjunction with the HUD Coordinated Entry Prioritization and Assessment Community Workshop in 2023. Coordinated Entry also coordinates a monthly case conferencing of outreach workers and the CA team to identify the most vulnerable for assessment rather than focusing on those easiest to serve. The CA goes to those who are unhoused to make the assessment as easy as possible and ensure privacy. They inform those being assessed of their rights, confidentiality requirements and the ability to skip any questions they choose not to answer. The Louisville CoC added a new hotel site to the system in 2022 where those who have been identified for housing can stay during their housing search to reduce the burden and provide easy access to transportation and assistance in the search. 3) The Common Assessment team went through a comprehensive feedback process in order to create a housing assessment that was less burdensome, takes less time to complete and focuses on those with the greatest need in our community. Their research included listening sessions with those currently experiencing homelessness, those who have been housed through the coordinated entry process and the consumer board. Providers have also had multiple opportunities to provide feedback. 4) The CA assessment tool is evaluated annually through feedback from those who have completed the assessment. The CoC also conducts an equity analysis on assessment scores. A small difference was found in the responses of Black individuals completing the survey which helped the CoC take this into consideration for referrals.

1D-8a.	Coordinated Entry–Program Participant-Centered Approach.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:
1.	reaches people who are least likely to apply for homeless assistance in the absence of special outreach;
2.	prioritizes people most in need of assistance;
3.	ensures people most in need of assistance receive permanent housing in a timely manner, consistent with their needs and preferences; and
4.	takes steps to reduce burdens on people seeking assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) To ensure assistance to those least likely to apply, the CE teams provide outreach services county-wide, including on the streets, in shelters, at drop in centers, soup kitchens, etc. CoC outreach materials include a "Street Tips" publication with how to apply for all emergency services. This is distributed free to schools, hospitals, local government, law enforcement, mental health orgs and other service providers. It is published in English and Spanish and the CE has access to a translation service for any language including ASL, as do the homeless outreach teams. Louisville provides a 2-1-1 call center for local community members to learn about resources. The CoC RAVE alert system notifies consumers and providers of resources including open shelter space. 2) The Louisville CoC transitioned from VI-SPDAT to a local assessment, the LOUCAT, in 2023 that prioritizes those with high vulnerabilities identified through data and feedback from advocates and consumers. This includes specific sub-assessments for families, youth, and DV who may not present with traditional vulnerabilities. 3) The outreach committee has been successful in locating those who are prioritized for services but cannot be contacted so they can quickly be served and a confidential outreach alert system is used to find those who have been referred for housing. Outreach Workers help acquire needed documentation to reduce burdens on participants. The CE teams practice a warm handoff approach to ensure those most in need are successfully connected to their housing referral. Housing navigators at PH programs work with those referred to find housing. This includes interviewing participants about their housing needs, providing transportation, and assisting with necessary paperwork. The CoC also successfully advocated for free IDs for all unhoused persons to shorten the time from referral to housing. 4) The CE teams work in the community, meeting people in shelters, service locations, and on the street. Additionally, assessments can also be fully conducted via phone for those unable to meet in person. The new assessment is a shorter and less invasive process. The outreach committee also works to address any barriers including acquiring documentation required by landlords and verifications of homelessness and disability. Louisville added a non-congregate hotel in 2022 where those identified for housing can stay during their search to provide easy access to transportation and assistance.

1D-8b.	Coordinated Entry–Informing Program Participants about Their Rights and Remedies–Reporting Violations.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC through its coordinated entry:	
1.	affirmatively markets housing and services provided within the CoC’s geographic area and ensures it reaches all persons experiencing homelessness;
2.	informs program participants of their rights and remedies available under federal, state, and local fair housing and civil rights laws; and
3.	reports any conditions or actions that impede fair housing choice for current or prospective program participants to the jurisdiction(s) responsible for certifying consistency with the Consolidated Plan.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CE team advertises access to local shelter, housing and services through cards, brochures and texts. “Street Tips” resource publications are distributed free to schools, hospitals, local government, law enforcement, mental health orgs and other service providers who may meet homeless individuals and families. Materials are published in English and Spanish and a translation service is available to anyone speaking any language, including ASL. Louisville provides a 2-1-1 call center for local community members, businesses and partners to share information on people in need. A RAVE alert system is used to notify consumers and providers of resources including open beds in shelters. All materials confirm housing and services are available regardless of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, gender identity, sexual orientation, age, familial status, or disability. Those seeking services are also informed of their rights to equal access as well as the grievance process in case they feel they are inappropriately denied service of any kind. 2) The Coll. App. “Street Tips” publication of community housing and service resources for the unhoused include information on the rights of unsheltered persons and remedies available to them under federal, state and local law and due to funding requirements for service providers who receive federal and local funding resources. These rights are posted at the CE offices as well as in all shelters. City staff monitor to ensure this information is updated and posted during annual monitoring visits. 3) Any person who feels they have been denied equal access to the coordinated entry, shelter, housing or services can report conditions or actions that impede fair housing choice through a multitude of ways. Louisville Metro Government (LMG) is the entity responsible for certifying consistency with the Consolidated Plan. First, any violations related to shelter can be reported by contacting the ESG recipient, the LMG Office of Resilience and Community Services (RCS). This can be done in person or by phone. Information on how to contact RCS is included in the Street Tips booklet. Additionally, complaints can be reported to LMG Human Relations Commission, who have legally-chartered responsibilities to enforce anti-discrimination laws in Louisville Metro. Finally, any actions that impede fair housing can also be reported to the Kentucky Fair Housing Council, an outside agency that also provides annual fair housing training.

1D-9.	Advancing Racial Equity in Homelessness—Conducting Assessment.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

1.	Has your CoC conducted a racial disparities assessment in the last 3 years?	Yes
2.	Enter the date your CoC conducted its latest assessment for racial disparities.	01/08/2024

1D-9a.	Using Data to Determine if Racial Disparities Exist in Your CoC’s Provision or Outcomes of CoC Program-Funded Homeless Assistance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	the data your CoC used to analyze whether any racial disparities are present in your CoC's provision or outcomes of CoC Program-funded homeless assistance; and
2.	how your CoC analyzed the data to determine whether any racial disparities are present in your CoC's provision or outcomes of CoC Program-funded homeless assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The Louisville CoC compared 2022 and 2023 annual HMIS and U.S. Census data to assess disparities in the Louisville CoC. Additionally, in partnership with SNOFO TA, we conducted a SNOFO racial equity analysis of U.S. Census and PIT data. Finally, as part of a 4-year assessment of YHDP, we conducted an equity assessment of all YHDP programs that included an analysis of HMIS program reports and outcomes compared to U.S. Census data. This study also included surveys with 92 program participants. We reviewed publications from The National Bureau of Economic Research on disparities in differential rents offered to citizens based on race, U.S. Census segregation rates, and our HMIS Data Analysis conducted a racial equity assessment of Coordinated Entry assessment scores using statistical modeling. 2) Our review of the U.S. Census segregation rates, showed Louisville's rate of segregation moved from 5th to 67th most segregated city over the past decade. The National Bureau of Economic Research's study of the 50 largest cities' showed Louisville had the 3rd largest difference in response rates for rental housing costs between White and Black renters and the highest differential rate between White and Hispanic renters. Our use of the 2023 CoC Racial Equity Analysis Tool showed an overall increase in the number of people needing shelter and housing, but a small decrease in the disparities of those in need and those being served. Persons who identify as Black make up 22% of Metro Louisville's general population, but they make up just under half of the sheltered population at 48%. The disparity is greater for Black families and young adults. Singles reporting Hispanic ethnicity are more likely to be in poverty than non-Hispanic citizens, but not more likely to become homeless. A statistical based equity analysis of current Coordinated Entry assessment, the LOUCAT, data showed that individuals who identified as black scored .74 points lower than individuals who identified as white. However, this was an improvement over the VI-SPDAT, where individuals who identified as black scored an average of one point lower and CA takes this into account for referrals.

1D-9b.	Implemented Strategies to Prevent or Eliminate Racial Disparities.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the strategies your CoC is using to prevent or eliminate racial disparities.

1.	Are your CoC's board and decisionmaking bodies representative of the population served in the CoC?	No
2.	Did your CoC identify steps it will take to help the CoC board and decisionmaking bodies better reflect the population served in the CoC?	Yes
3.	Is your CoC expanding outreach in your CoC's geographic areas with higher concentrations of underrepresented groups?	Yes
4.	Does your CoC have communication, such as flyers, websites, or other materials, inclusive of underrepresented groups?	Yes
5.	Is your CoC training staff working in the homeless services sector to better understand racism and the intersection of racism and homelessness?	Yes

6.	Is your CoC establishing professional development opportunities to identify and invest in emerging leaders of different races and ethnicities in the homelessness sector?	Yes
7.	Does your CoC have staff, committees, or other resources charged with analyzing and addressing racial disparities related to homelessness?	Yes
8.	Is your CoC educating organizations, stakeholders, boards of directors for local and national nonprofit organizations working on homelessness on the topic of creating greater racial and ethnic diversity?	Yes
9.	Did your CoC review its coordinated entry processes to understand their impact on people of different races and ethnicities experiencing homelessness?	Yes
10.	Is your CoC collecting data to better understand the pattern of program use for people of different races and ethnicities in its homeless services system?	Yes
11.	Is your CoC conducting additional research to understand the scope and needs of different races or ethnicities experiencing homelessness?	No
	Other:(limit 500 characters)	
12.		

1D-9c.	Plan for Ongoing Evaluation of System-level Processes, Policies, and Procedures for Racial Equity.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

Describe in the field below your CoC’s plan for ongoing evaluation of system-level processes, policies, and procedures for racial equity.

(limit 2,500 characters)

In order to address inequities (especially the most prevalent disparities for Black individuals, families and young adults), the Louisville CoC will continue to use the CoC Racial Equity Analysis Tool to monitor system-wide disparities in program access by program type (shelter, RRH, PSH). We also plan to use surveys to gather qualitative data for different sub-populations each year. A racial equity analysis has been built into the annual monitoring process and we are providing training to providers to help them understand how to measure racial equity within their individual programs. Additionally, training is being provided to help providers understand how program policies connect to the presence of racial disparities in outcomes. Our HMIS Data Analyst is continuing to conduct an ongoing statistical based equity analysis of our Coordinated Entry tool, the LOUCAT, to determine if racial disparities exist in responses, what questions exhibit disparities, how supplemental assessments for special populations impact those disparities, etc. This analysis is used to help refine the coordinated entry tool as well as to help guide conversations with lived experience groups to figure out how to adjust questions in a way that makes them more culturally relevant. We are also monitoring the eight priority goals to improve equal access to all homeless services in the community from our HUD special project for Racial Equity in Coordinated Entry. And, we will continue to seek and implement advice from the CoC consumer board made up of persons with lived expertise to spread the word about resources available to often neglected predominantly Black neighborhoods and address barriers they raise. This year, we began tabling and training with La Casita to share our resources with more persons with Hispanic heritage and plan to expand this outreach in the future. We also hired a member of the CoC consumer board to help organize persons with expertise of homelessness and communicate with underserved communities about resources. Because consumers have identified the need to address the lack of housing for persons with criminal records, we are also working with local providers to increase expungement opportunities and raise funding to cover the cost.

1D-9d.	Plan for Using Data to Track Progress on Preventing or Eliminating Racial Disparities.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	the measures your CoC plans to use to continuously track progress on preventing or eliminating racial disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance; and	
2.	the tools your CoC plans to use to continuously track progress on preventing or eliminating racial disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The Louisville CoC has hired a CoC System Performance Manager to expand quarterly monitoring of performance measures and equity in access and program outcomes by race. This program level data will be reported to the CoC and YHDP Programs and assessed by the CoC for progress. Additionally, each project is assessed and scored in the CoC ranking on ability to assess program disparities. They are required to describe any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach, background check policies, adverse rental history) for each CoC-funded program as well as successes (e.g., fewer negative client exits) the project has identified for persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population. They must also describe what steps have been taken or will be taken to eliminate the identified barriers. A racial equity analysis is also conducted as part of the annual monitoring process. This analysis uses HMIS data that is easily accessible to programs and the CoC Monitoring Specialist provides training on how providers can evaluate their programs for racial disparities. This analysis looks at negative exits by race, disparities in length of time between project start date and housing move in date, and disparities in exit without move in. The HMIS Data Analyst will continue to conduct an annual racial equity analysis of Coordinated Entry assessment scores to determine if adjustments made to the assessment are reducing disparity in scores. 2) The Louisville CoC created a Disparity Review Tool using tools shared by the National Alliance to End Homelessness for annual program monitoring and the CoC Racial Equity Analysis Tool. The CoC uses the tool to monitor all CoC grantees annually on 13 racial equity standards as part of the regular CoC monitoring. Standards include reviews of banned or terminated lists, creating multiple access points for programs and racial equity training for all program staff. The results of monitoring are used to help determine what future training is needed and to provide one on one technical assistance to providers when needed. The CoC also plans to continue to track racial disparities through HMIS and the CoC Racial Equity Analysis Tool to ensure all programs are successfully preventing and eliminating racial disparities as seen in the provision and outcomes of their service.

1D-10.	Involving Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness in Service Delivery and Decisionmaking—CoC’s Outreach Efforts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	
	Describe in the field below your CoC’s outreach efforts (e.g., social media announcements, targeted outreach) to engage those with lived experience of homelessness in leadership roles and decisionmaking processes.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The Louisville CoC created the first YAAB in 2017 and the CCB adult consumer group in 2023. The CCB is 20 individuals who have experienced homelessness in the past seven years or are current program participants. Due to CCB popularity, 16 membership spots are reserved for annual membership and 4 are floating, ensuring that those with irregular schedules can participate. The CoC distributes flyers, shares openings on social media, holds recruitment meetings and posts on our website about participation. CoC service partners (including Black-led and grassroots providers), peer support staff and the CCB also recruit members. To make participation possible, CCB members are provided a per diem, transportation, food and child care as needed. Only persons with lived expertise can participate or hold leadership roles on these committees and a Persons with Lived Experience Coordinator was recruited from the CCB to staff the YAAB and CCB. Those who wish to provide feedback but are not comfortable participating in a group are invited to meet with program staff or complete surveys. Consumer group accomplishments over the past year include providing input on the design of the annual PIT count, including providing specific feedback to ensure questions are client-centered and trauma-informed and feedback on locations to canvas; attending monthly meetings to raise key issues on current trends, issues impacting unhoused persons and program concerns; reviewing outreach, common assessment and programs for equity and effectiveness; speaking before Metro Council and the state legislative assembly; updating and scoring a supplemental questionnaire developed for NOFO applicants to measure quality of services and what unhoused and formerly unhoused persons want to see out of a housing program; creating and administering a consumer led survey on the effects of criminalization of homelessness; attending a three day training series to learn about different story-telling, advocacy strategies and grassroots campaign organizing; and both developing and scoring a questionnaire for the CoC funding competition that was worth 25% of total points. In addition to the CCB and YAAB, Individuals with lived experience of homelessness are also included on the CoC Board of Directors and four persons with lived expertise are members of the Coll. App Board. All CoC funded agencies are monitored on consumer representation on their boards or other decision making bodies.

1D-10a.	Active CoC Participation of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

You must upload the Lived Experience Support Letter attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
 Enter in the chart below the number of people with lived experience who currently participate in your CoC under the four categories listed:

	Level of Active Participation	Number of People with Lived Experience Within the Last 7 Years or Current Program Participant	Number of People with Lived Experience Coming from Unsheltered Situations
1.	Routinely included in the decisionmaking processes related to addressing homelessness.	24	2
2.	Participate on CoC committees, subcommittees, or workgroups.	24	2

3.	Included in the development or revision of your CoC's local competition rating factors.	24	2
4.	Included in the development or revision of your CoC's coordinated entry process.	24	2

1D-10b.	Professional Development and Employment Opportunities for Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC or CoC membership organizations provide professional development and employment opportunities to individuals with lived experience of homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The Louisville CoC believes strongly in the power of peer support. Grants are provided annually to formerly homeless persons in order to increase the number of accredited persons available for additional peer support positions and CoC providers are encouraged to fill peer support positions through state and local funding incentives. Most CoC providers also encourage volunteerism and internships in their programs in order to increase responsibility and a sense of community and to fill positions for desperately needed homeless service positions. Peers and volunteers, including persons with lived expertise are also encouraged to take advantage of the Louisville CoC's case manager training. Many CoC membership organizations have programs targeted to key industries. Two service providers manage supported employment programs and Goodwill and KentuckianaWorks manage programs that help those who are unhoused begin with day to day work programs that over time build to support employment and finally full-time jobs tied to opportunities for housing. Goodwill's program now includes 3 vans that pick unsheltered persons up for these opportunities 5 days a week. Additionally, KentuckianaWorks is both a YHDP and Special NOFO recipient and operates job training programs for individuals experiencing homelessness from a holistic and trauma informed lens. These programs include wrap around services, including connection to housing resources.

1D-10c.	Routinely Gathering Feedback and Addressing Challenges of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below:

1. how your CoC gathers feedback from people experiencing homelessness;
2. how often your CoC gathers feedback from people experiencing homelessness;
3. how your CoC gathers feedback from people who received assistance through the CoC Program or ESG Program;
4. how often your CoC gathers feedback from people who have received assistance through the CoC Program or ESG Program; and
5. steps your CoC has taken to address challenges raised by people with lived experience of homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The Louisville CoC gathers feedback from people experiencing homelessness in two ways. First, the consumer board and Young Adult Action Board (YAAB) share their personal expertise at meetings. They provide recommendations about CoC priorities, the coordinated entry, and service system best practices. Additionally, they conduct surveys of unhoused people on service needs, barriers and most recently on the effects of criminalization on their ability to access shelter and housing. 2) The CoC hosts at least monthly meetings of the Community Consultant Board and YAAB to discuss system-wide and programmatic concerns. Surveys to the greater community are coordinated at least annually. 3) The Louisville CoC also conducts surveys on CoC and ESG Programs and recommendations for improvement. The most recent CoC survey of 92 young adults provided feedback on the effectiveness of six YHDP Programs as Louisville enters our first opportunity to rank and reallocate these funds. 4) Surveys to the greater community are coordinated at least annually. 5) In order to begin addressing the challenges raised by people with lived experience, the Louisville CoC has: increased funding for 128 non-congregate shelter units, provided funding to renovate the four main overnight shelters, funded a safe outdoor space, expanded low-barrier shelter during cold weather, increased housing first monitoring, increased monitoring of persons being cited and coordinated referral to public defenders and expanded the RAVE alert system to consumers and outreach workers. The CoC used the recommendations from surveys and meetings to create the Louisville Plan to Address Unsheltered Homelessness which resulted in \$7.7 million in HUD funding and over \$100 million in city funding for permanent supportive housing. The CoC created a new coordinated entry housing assessment and updated the CoC ranking system with guidance from the CCB. And, the CoC shared program outcomes and consumer recommendations to all 6 YHDP grantees and used the unmet needs identified to submit a YHDP expansion grant. We also fought hard against criminalization of homelessness and centered the voices of individuals with lived experience of homelessness during that fight by taking groups of CCB members to Frankfort to testify against harmful legislation and connecting them to press opportunities that would highlight the voices of those directly impacted by harmful legislation.

1D-11.	Increasing Affordable Housing Supply.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.s.	
	Describe in the field below at least two steps your CoC has taken in the past 12 months to engage city, county, or state governments that represent your CoC's geographic area regarding the following:	
	1. reforming zoning and land use policies to permit more housing development; and	
	2. reducing regulatory barriers to housing development.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Louisville advocates (including the Louisville CoC) were successful in passing Phase 1 of Land Development Code Reform in 2021 through advocacy with Metro Council. These reforms included a provision allowing accessory dwelling units (ADUs) by right and expanding affordable housing options for seniors who want to age in place and near family throughout all of Louisville. Over the last 12 months, the CoC sent emails, met with council members and spoke to the Ad Hoc Land Development Committee about land reform including missing middle housing and inclusionary zoning. We also advocated to the council through emails and meetings for an anti-displacement ordinance that passed in late 2023. 2) A new Mayor was elected to lead Metro Louisville in 2023 with a campaign promise of creating 15,000 new rental housing units. The Coll. App. brought together city leadership with housing developers and service providers to discuss regulatory barriers that can be eliminated and ways that the mayor can help address NIMBYism with Metro Council and the community at large to avoid having housing projects denied even after Planning and Zoning approval. This list is now part of a city FY24 PRO Housing proposal to address regulatory barriers. The Coll. App. and housing advocates have also created a group of affordable housing supporters to speak up in support of proposed housing development at Planning and Zoning and Metro Council meetings throughout the past year and are advocating for the reduction of regulatory barriers in housing development presented in a 2024 city funded report.

1E. Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking–Local Competition

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1E-1.	Web Posting of Advance Public Notice of Your CoC’s Local Competition Deadline, Scoring and Rating Criteria.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.a. and 2.g.	

1.	Enter the date your CoC published its submission deadline and scoring and rating criteria for New Project applicants to submit their project applications for your CoC’s local competition.	08/23/2024
2.	Enter the date your CoC published its submission deadline and scoring and rating criteria for Renewal Project applicants to submit their project applications for your CoC’s local competition.	08/23/2024

1E-2.	Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in Its Local Competition. We use the response to this question and the response in Question 1E-2a along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC’s eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., 2.d., and 2.e.	

You must upload the Local Competition Scoring Tool attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ranked and selected project applications during your local competition:

1.	Established total points available for each project application type.	Yes
2.	At least 33 percent of the total points were based on objective criteria for the project application (e.g., cost effectiveness, timely draws, utilization rate, match, leverage), performance data, type of population served (e.g., DV, youth, Veterans, chronic homelessness), or type of housing proposed (e.g., PSH, RRH).	Yes
3.	At least 20 percent of the total points were based on system performance criteria for the project application (e.g., exits to permanent housing destinations, retention of permanent housing, length of time homeless, returns to homelessness).	Yes
4.	Provided points for projects that addressed specific severe barriers to housing and services.	Yes
5.	Used data from comparable databases to score projects submitted by victim service providers.	Yes

6.	Provided points for projects based on the degree the projects identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers.	Yes
----	---	-----

1E-2a.	<p>Scored Project Forms for One Project from Your CoC's Local Competition. We use the response to this question and Question 1E-2. along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.</p> <p>NOFO Section V.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., and 2.d.</p>	
--------	--	--

You must upload the Scored Forms for One Project attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
 Complete the chart below to provide details of your CoC's local competition:

1.	What were the maximum number of points available for the renewal project form(s)?	100
2.	How many renewal projects did your CoC submit?	39
3.	What renewal project type did most applicants use?	PH-PSH

1E-2b.	<p>Addressing Severe Barriers in the Local Project Review and Ranking Process.</p> <p>NOFO Section V.B.2.d.</p>	
--------	---	--

Describe in the field below:	
1.	how your CoC analyzed data regarding each project that has successfully housed program participants in permanent housing;
2.	how your CoC analyzed data regarding how long it takes to house people in permanent housing;
3.	how your CoC considered the specific severity of needs and vulnerabilities experienced by program participants preventing rapid placement in permanent housing or the ability to maintain permanent housing when your CoC ranked and selected projects; and
4.	the severe barriers your CoC considered.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The primary data used for all CoC scoring is an HMIS or comparable database generated APR. Service providers were required to submit an APR to the CoC to ensure the data was accurate and there were no visibility issues causing disparities. The majority of variables were worth 5 points with the CoC average being worth 3 points. Above average projects scored higher and below average projects scored lower. PSH projects were evaluated on maintenance of or exits to permanent housing. RRH and TH projects were evaluated on exits to PH. APR measure 22c was used to evaluate successful placements in permanent housing from given referrals by comparing the number of successful housing move-ins to those who exited without a move in date. 2) APR measure 22c, Length of Time Between Project Start Date and Housing Move In Date was used to measure how long it takes to house people in PH. As above, the scoring criteria was based on the CoC average with projects who moved participants in faster scoring higher and those who took longer scoring lower. 3) All programs were scored against similar program types to ensure that specific populations that are more difficult to serve were not scored against projects that serve people with lower barriers, such as RRH. Additionally, projects that serve a population with a high number of disabling conditions were awarded additional points. Data quality measures were adjusted to not put particular emphasis on any one measure, as DV advocates have expressed concern that survivors may have lost essential documents with their children’s SSN numbers on them while fleeing or may not yet trust service providers with that information. 4) PSH projects were evaluated on the number of participants who had two or more disabling conditions and TH and RRH projects were evaluated on the number of participants who had one more disability condition. On the advice of the CoC Scoring Committee, children with disabilities were included in this equation, but children without disabilities were excluded. All projects were evaluated on the percentage of program participants who had a history of domestic violence and the number of adults who entered the program with zero income.

1E-3.	Advancing Racial Equity through Participation of Over-Represented Populations in the Local Competition Review and Ranking Process.	
NOFO Section V.B.2.e.		
Describe in the field below:		
1.	how your CoC used input from persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, to determine the rating factors used to review project applications;	
2.	how your CoC included persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population in the review, selection, and ranking process; and	
3.	how your CoC rated and ranked projects based on the degree that proposed projects identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and steps the projects took or will take to eliminate the identified barriers.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CCB made up of 16 year round persons with lived experience of homelessness within the last 7 years is 56% Black, 38% female while the 5 member YAAB is 60% Black, 20% Mixed Race, 20% LGBTQ+ and 80% female which reflects the populations of unhoused persons. They review and approve the overall rating factors and create and score their own questionnaire for all new and renewal housing projects with TA provided by CoC staff. The questionnaire covered topics that the CCB considered to make an effective housing program from intake to exit. Examples are the extent to which housing navigation assistance is offered, what training staff receive, and how a program handles difficult to contact clients. The survey made up roughly 30% of points for renewal projects and 20% for new projects. On advice from the CCB, new projects were awarded 5 bonus points in their rating if the organization was BIPOC led. Objective scoring criteria was designed by the CoC Scoring Committee in 2022, which was open to all CoC members regardless of funding status. Minimal updates were made in 2024 to provide technical corrections and correspond to all NOFO requirements. All scoring criteria was approved by the CoC Board. 2) CoC staff is responsible for assembling approved objective scoring criteria from HMIS, Sage, and financial data. The CCB (56% black and 38% female) were responsible for scoring the supplemental questionnaire that they created that was worth roughly 30% of total points. All renewal projects were accepted as scored by the CoC Board (31% black, 8% LGBTQ+, and includes representation of lived experience of homelessness). The CoC board determined the placement of new projects in the overall ranking, but all were placed in order as scored. 3) Each project is scored in the CoC ranking on ability to assess program disparities and were required to describe any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach, background check policies, adverse rental history) the project has identified for persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and what steps have been taken or will take to eliminate the identified barriers. All projects were required to provide a narrative response that addressed the above questions and were scored based on their understanding of the issue and ability to identify barriers and steps taken to address them. This narrative was scored by the CCB.

1E-4.	Reallocation—Reviewing Performance of Existing Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.f.	
	Describe in the field below:	
	1. your CoC's reallocation process, including how your CoC determined which projects are candidates for reallocation because they are low performing or less needed;	
	2. whether your CoC identified any low performing or less needed projects through the process described in element 1 of this question during your CoC's local competition this year;	
	3. whether your CoC reallocated any low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year; and	
	4. why your CoC did not reallocate low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year, if applicable.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The performance and compliance of every CoC project is evaluated annually through a monitoring process. Any serious findings that are not addressed in 30 days or are of particular concern are taken to the CoC board for review. Projects with outstanding monitoring findings are not advanced for consideration. Additionally, the review and ranking process takes into account the overall performance of each project and their capacity. Performance is measured against the CoC average, with above average projects scoring high and below average projects scoring lower. Projects that are reporting low capacity are specifically considered for reallocation. Additionally, projects that Coordinated Entry reports as difficult to place referrals with are also considered for reallocation. This could include clients repeatedly rejecting placement in that project or the project repeatedly returning referrals. If a project returns three referrals, it will be sent to the Coordinated Entry Steering Committee for review and reported to the CoC board. The decision on if to reallocate a lower performing project or to advance it for consideration also takes into account the overall amount of proposals. If there are more proposals than funding available, the CoC board will consider reallocation. If there is enough funding available to advance all proposed projects, lower performing projects will be placed in Tier 2 for funding consideration and offered technical assistance to improve their performance. 2) All projects were scored and ranked in comparison to each other based on performance and no renewal CoC projects were identified as low performing. 3) Funding was available to advance all submitted proposals. No recommendations were made by the CoC board for reallocation. 4) While one renewal project did score moderately below the others, it was advanced for consideration due to the availability of funding, but placed last. The project has consistently struggled with HMIS data quality, but does generally remain at capacity. It is a relatively small project that serves a number of large families, a high need population, so it was included.

1E-4a.	Reallocation Between FY 2019 and FY 2024.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.f.	

	Did your CoC cumulatively reallocate at least 20 percent of its ARD between FY 2019 and FY 2024?	No
--	---	----

1E-5.	Projects Rejected/Reduced–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

1.	Did your CoC reject any project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	No
2.	Did your CoC reduce funding for any project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	No
3.	Did your CoC inform applicants why your CoC rejected or reduced their project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	No
4.	If you selected Yes for element 1 or element 2 of this question, enter the date your CoC notified applicants that their project applications were being rejected or reduced, in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2024, 06/27/2024, and 06/28/2024, then you must enter 06/28/2024.	

1E-5a.	Projects Accepted–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Accepted attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified project applicants that their project applications were accepted and ranked on the New and Renewal Priority Listings in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2024, 06/27/2024, and 06/28/2024, then you must enter 06/28/2024.	10/14/2024
--	--	------------

1E-5b.	Local Competition Selection Results for All Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Local Competition Selection Results attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Does your attachment include: 1. Project Names; 2. Project Scores; 3. Project Status–Accepted, Rejected, Reduced Reallocated, Fully Reallocated; 4. Project Rank; 5. Amount Requested from HUD; and 6. Reallocated Funds +/-.	Yes
--	---	-----

1E-5c.	Web Posting of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application 2 Days Before CoC Program Competition Application Submission Deadline.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g. and 24 CFR 578.95.	
	You must upload the Web Posting–CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC posted the CoC-approved Consolidated Application on the CoC’s website or partner’s website—which included: 1. the CoC Application; and 2. Priority Listings for Reallocation forms and all New, Renewal, and Replacement Project Listings.	
--	--	--

You must enter a date in question 1E-5c.

1E-5d.	Notification to Community Members and Key Stakeholders by Email that the CoC-Approved Consolidated Application is Posted on Website.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified community members and key stakeholders that the CoC-approved Consolidated Application was posted on your CoC’s website or partner’s website.	
--	---	--

You must enter a date in question 1E-5d.

2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Implementation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2A-1.	HMIS Vendor.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

	Enter the name of the HMIS Vendor your CoC is currently using.	WellSky
--	--	---------

2A-2.	HMIS Implementation Coverage Area.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

	Select from dropdown menu your CoC's HMIS coverage area.	Statewide
--	--	-----------

2A-3.	HIC Data Submission in HDX.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.a.	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2024 HIC data into HDX.	05/08/2024
--	---	------------

2A-4.	Comparable Databases for DV Providers—CoC and HMIS Lead Supporting Data Collection and Data Submission by Victim Service Providers.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.b.	

	In the field below:	
1.	describe actions your CoC and HMIS Lead have taken to ensure DV housing and service providers in your CoC collect data in HMIS comparable databases; and	
2.	state whether DV housing and service providers in your CoC are using a HUD-compliant comparable database—compliant with the FY 2024 HMIS Data Standards.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The Louisville CoC has a long-standing partnership with the local DV service provider and statewide VSP that selects the database for service tracking. The CoC HMIS lead notifies the local DV provider annually of the aggregated system performance reports needed. The statewide agency, statewide HMIS administrator and Louisville HMIS administrator all test the DV database against HUD requirements to ensure that it collects the same data elements required by HUD and that collective outcomes can be de-identified and shared with the CoC. 2) The database used by both VSPs that operate in our CoC (VELA) is in compliance with FY2024 HMIS Data Standards.

2A-5.	Bed Coverage Rate—Using HIC, HMIS Data—CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.c. and V.B.7.	

Using the 2024 HDX Competition Report we issued your CoC, enter data in the chart below by project type:

Project Type	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current Non-VSP Beds [Column F of HDX Report]	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current VSP Beds [Column K of HDX Report]	Total Year-Round, Current, HMIS Beds and VSP Beds in an HMIS Comparable Database [Column M of HDX Report]	HMIS and Comparable Database Coverage Rate [Column O of HDX Report]
1. Emergency Shelter (ES) beds	609	69	678	100.00%
2. Safe Haven (SH) beds	0	0	0	0.00%
3. Transitional Housing (TH) beds	360	0	332	92.92%
4. Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) beds	285	0	285	100.00%
5. Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) beds	1,907	0	1,883	98.74%
6. Other Permanent Housing (OPH) beds	607	0	83	13.67%

2A-5a.	Partial Credit for Bed Coverage Rates at or Below 84.99 for Any Project Type in Question 2A-5.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.c.	

For each project type with a bed coverage rate that is at or below 84.99 percent in question 2A-5, describe:

1.	steps your CoC will take over the next 12 months to increase the bed coverage rate to at least 85 percent for that project type; and
2.	how your CoC will implement the steps described to increase bed coverage to at least 85 percent.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The Louisville CoC had an overall HMIS bed coverage rate of 84.99%. The only category with a rate below 90% is Other Permanent Housing. This is due to the inclusion of special set aside programs from our PHA, including EHV and Mainstream vouchers. These vouchers are not required to be entered into HMIS and in the instance of EHV, HUD specifically indicated CoC's would not be penalized for not including these vouchers in HMIS. 2) We plan to continue following HUD's guidance on what programs require, or do not require, HMIS entry.

2A-6.	Longitudinal System Analysis (LSA) Submission in HDX 2.0.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.d.	
	You must upload your CoC's FY 2024 HDX Competition Report to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

Did your CoC submit at least two usable LSA data files to HUD in HDX 2.0 by January 24, 2024, 11:59 p.m. EST?	Yes
---	-----

2B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2B-1.	PIT Count Date.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.a	

	Enter the date your CoC conducted its 2024 PIT count.	01/29/2024
--	---	------------

2B-2.	PIT Count Data–HDX Submission Date.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.a	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2024 PIT count data in HDX.	05/09/2024
--	---	------------

2B-3.	PIT Count–Effectively Counting Youth in Your CoC’s Most Recent Unsheltered PIT Count.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.b.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	engaged unaccompanied youth and youth serving organizations in your CoC’s most recent PIT count planning process;	
2.	worked with unaccompanied youth and youth serving organizations to select locations where homeless youth are most likely to be identified during your CoC’s most recent PIT count planning process; and	
3.	included youth experiencing homelessness as counters during your CoC’s most recent unsheltered PIT count.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) As a recipient of the second round of YHDP funding, the Louisville CoC has a coordinated “Plan to Prevent and End Homelessness” that was developed in partnership with 20 YAAB members and 7 YHDP providers. Additionally, we surveyed 92 young adults this year and held a hearing with 18 young adults to get additional comment on existing YHDP programs and the CoC system. Their recommendations to improve the PIT count for youth included the use of social media to tell homeless youth where to go to be counted and get resources during the count, as well as expanding survey locations to include fast food restaurants and other areas they frequent as count locations. The Louisville CoC also works with YHDP funded street outreach who are known and trusted by the unhoused youth population to canvas known locations where unhoused youth are likely to stay. 2) The YHDP service agencies hold a monthly case conferencing meeting to discuss locations of unhoused young adults in greatest need and develop a plan for action. First, the known sites of young adults identified during case conferencing are mapped and included as sites to visit for PIT by outreach teams conducting the count. The YHDP funded street outreach team then meets with unhoused youth to identify locations unknown by service providers. While most outreach teams that participate in the count are assigned to specific geographic locations, the young adult outreach teams are given free reign to cover all known locations where unhoused young adults may be to ensure they are approached by a trusted provider. Additionally, since 2021, we have conducted a services-based count that allows providers to make multiple attempts to find young adults and to talk to other young adults about where youth have gone to make sure they are included in the count if still unhoused. 3) The young adult outreach teams cover all known locations where unhoused young adults may be to ensure they are approached by a trusted provider. Our young adult providers have been able to partner with unhoused youth and the YAAB to help them bring in their friends and to let others know the count is occurring. And, when appropriate, young adults participate in outreach and the PIT to increase the trust of other youth and young adults.

2B-4.	PIT Count–Methodology Change–CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.a and V.B.7.c.	

	In the field below:	
1.	describe any changes your CoC made to your sheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2023 and 2024, if applicable;	
2.	describe any changes your CoC made to your unsheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2023 and 2024, if applicable;	
3.	describe whether your CoC’s PIT count was affected by people displaced either from a natural disaster or seeking short-term shelter or housing assistance who recently arrived in your CoCs’ geographic; and	
4.	describe how the changes affected your CoC’s PIT count results; or	
5.	state “Not Applicable” if there were no changes or if you did not conduct an unsheltered PIT count in 2024.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) No changes were made in the sheltered PIT count from 2023 to 2024. All shelters submit bed stays to the Coll. App. by the established deadline. The numbers are verified through HMIS and discrepancies are addressed through direct phone or email communication. We also believe the switch to a mandatory HIC upload from HMIS resulted in better data quality for the Sheltered PIT. The greatest change from year to year is the weather which causes additional beds to be opened for overflow shelter. Shelter beds were added during 2023-24 increasing the number. 2) No significant changes were made in the unsheltered PIT from 2023 to 2024. Approx. 70 outreach workers canvassed assigned areas for 7 days including areas identified by persons with lived experience. GIS data and daily check-ins helped outreach workers review map locations where people were counted each day. This ensures all assigned locations are reached and other locations are not visited more times than necessary. Consumer boards reviewed PIT questions to ensure they were easy to understand and asked in a trauma-informed way and identified unknown sites. 3) 15 Kentucky counties were declared natural disasters due to severe storms, winds, tornadoes, landslides and mudslides in May 2024. Six were counties that were part of the two largest declarations in state history in 2021-22 where funding is only now getting to those in need. We are seeing a consistent direct effect on our homeless population from persons moving from KY disaster areas to Louisville for shelter because it is the largest city in Kentucky. To determine the effect, we have conducted a three-year review of the numbers of persons reporting the disaster declared counties as their last place of residence. In 2022, 2023 and now 2024, there has been a 38% increase in those in HMIS who had come from disaster declared areas compared to the years before. And, this only accounts for KY disasters. 4) For our sheltered count, the addition of expanded beds and new people in need allowed us to serve 109 more persons in ES and TH beds. Our unsheltered PIT remained fairly stable with just 14 more persons counted this year. Using known staff and trusted individuals who know where people are has resulted in significantly better data quality and survey participation. We also have better geographic coverage by utilizing area specific outreach teams and technology to ensure all known encampments are covered.

2C. System Performance

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2C-1.	Reducing the Number of First Time Homeless—Risk Factors Your CoC Uses.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.b.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe how your CoC determined the risk factors to identify persons experiencing homelessness for the first time;	
2.	describe your CoC’s strategies to address individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC’s strategy to reduce the number of individuals and families experiencing homelessness for the first time	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The Louisville CoC worked to decrease first time homelessness by identifying persons at risk of homelessness and gathering data on factors leading to the need for intervention through partnerships with homeless prevention providers (Community Ministries, Urban League and Neighborhood Place). This partnership allocated over \$110 million in 3 years and tracked housing outcomes to identify leading factors that result in homelessness: incomes below 30% of AMI (esp. women and Persons of Color), level of debt greater than one month expenses, previous evictions, recent loss of employment, recent major expense/debt, and fractures in family make up. The CoC also reviews national data on prevention, participates on NLHC eviction calls and follows HUD guidance on best practices. 2) The most impactful intervention against first time homelessness has been case management to identified households with a small but flexible source of emergency funds. This strategy lowered numbers of newly homeless persons and continues through partner agencies but most flexible funding has been cut. 5 staff manage the Coll. App's Coordinated Shelter Access/Diversion Center. They identify those at imminent risk in the CE system to divert as many people as possible from shelter or the streets. Louisville enacted a Right to Counsel ordinance that provides funding for Legal Aid to represent families who have received an eviction notice. We also passed a source of income ordinance that was overridden by the state legislature and are fighting to get it back to prevent landlords from denying rental assistance as a source of rent. The eviction prevention committee helped eviction courts to increase the rights of tenants by providing more time for tenants to apply for assistance. And, the city established a court mediation program to prevent eviction. Finally, the CoC meets quarterly with administrators of mainstream institutions to decrease inflow from these systems. We continue to fight for tenant's rights and resources as our rents are increasing to unprecedented levels and wiping out many of our gains. Finally, we tested entries in HMIS to ensure all referrals are going through coordinated entry and not being counted twice through data clean up. 3) The entity responsible for overseeing the CoC's strategy to reduce first time homelessness is the Coordinated Shelter Access/Diversion Center Team in coordination with the Eviction Prevention Committee.

2C-1a.	Impact of Displaced Persons on Number of First Time Homeless.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.b	

Was your CoC's Number of First Time Homeless [metric 5.2] affected by the number of persons seeking short-term shelter or housing assistance displaced due to:

1.	natural disasters?	Yes
2.	having recently arrived in your CoC's geographic area?	No

(limit 2,500 characters)

Kentucky counties were declared natural disasters due to severe storms, straight-line winds, tornadoes, landslides, and mudslides in May of 2024 (DR-4804-KY). These had a direct effect on the number of persons moving elsewhere in the Commonwealth for shelter. Although Louisville did not have adequate shelter for those who already needed it, it is the largest city in Kentucky, so many were forced to relocate at least temporarily. To determine the effect on Metro Louisville’s homeless service system, we conducted a review of the numbers of persons reporting the disaster declared counties above as their last place of residence and then determined how many of these were first time homeless in our system through HMIS. In 2024, there was a 38% increase in those using Louisville shelters who had come from disaster declared areas compared to 2023 before the disasters which is very similar to the influx from disaster areas in 2023. We then reviewed the number of persons coming from these zip codes also showing up as first time homeless in our system. 10% of the first time homelessness increase came from disaster area communities in Kentucky. This data did not look at the effect from disasters in other states.

2C-2.	Reducing Length of Time Homeless—CoC’s Strategy. NOFO Section V.B.5.c.	
In the field below:		
1.	describe your CoC’s strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and persons in families remain homeless;	
2.	describe how your CoC identifies and houses individuals and persons in families with the longest lengths of time homeless; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC’s strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The Louisville CoC coordinated entry prioritizes persons with the longest length of time homeless with top priority to those who are chronically homeless and at greatest risk as determined by the local assessment created with guidance from our Consumer Board.. Because our greatest challenge in length of time homeless takes place once a voucher has been awarded and prior to move in, housing navigators were hired for CoC projects and by local health MCOs to help households find housing. Additionally, Louisville opened a 108 unit hotel program in 2022 for those who have been assigned a voucher to help make the connection to navigation and housing search easier and shorter. While the average length of homelessness for our CoC has increased as our rents have increased by over 40%, due to hard work by housing navigators and partnerships with landlords, the average remains below 90 days for ES, SH and TH. Louisville added additional housing resources with ARPA dollars and set aside 300 public housing units prioritized for persons living outdoors and 161 new EHV vouchers. While most COVID funding has been spent, the city allocated funding this year to provide landlord incentives in CoC projects to decrease the length of time to acquire and maintain housing. This helps build long-term relationships that we continue to cultivate with local landlords resulting in a list of 235 landlords willing to partner with CoC providers. Quarterly CoC APRs show how well each program’s length compares to others and this is used to score projects for future funding. 2) The Louisville CoC uses HMIS reports that identify long term stayers and works with outreach teams or shelters to house these households or exit them in HMIS if that is the issue. Prioritization for those with the greatest length of homelessness also takes place through the coordinated entry. They maintain the by-name list of those identified as having the longest stays and meet monthly with all outreach teams and shelter staff to strategize plans for those in greatest need and at highest risk. HMIS reports help to identify any others with long stays so that the CoC can identify bad data for correction or create a plan for long term stayers not on the by name list. 3) The entity responsible for overseeing the CoC’s strategy to shorten the length of homelessness is the Coordinated Entry Team in collaboration with the monthly Homeless Outreach Committee.

2C-3.	Successful Permanent Housing Placement or Retention –CoC’s Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.d.	

	In the field below:
1.	describe your CoC’s strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in emergency shelter, safe havens, transitional housing, and rapid rehousing exit to permanent housing destinations;
2.	describe your CoC’s strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in permanent housing projects retain their permanent housing or exit to permanent housing destinations; and
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC’s strategy to increase the rate that individuals and families exit to or retain permanent housing.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) To increase the rate at which persons in ES, SH, TH and RRH exit to permanent housing, the CoC has prioritized the use of resources for permanent supportive housing and housing navigation. We have accessed hundreds of new housing vouchers and set asides, including those from Louisville Metro Housing Authority and COVID funding to help those who are unhoused access housing. Even with housing prices increasing and a very tight rental market, we have increased housing placements and maintained a permanent housing stability rate over 96%. The Louisville CoC has developed a Move On program with LMHA allowing clients with low service needs to access Section 8 vouchers freeing up CoC PSH for new people. Additional supports include new housing navigator positions at Health MCOs, dedicated staff providing assistance in completing housing applications, a flexible pool of funding for deposits and furniture, and case management to assist in the housing transition. As the housing market continues to tighten, Louisville worked with landlords to provide outreach on the need for housing and incentives available through rental assistance and created a list of 235 interested local landlords who are willing to provide units. LMHA also tested small area rents and landlord incentives to increase access. CoC APRs show how well each program's exits to permanent housing compared to others and this is used to score projects for future funding.

2) The CoC had a 96% successful exit/retention rate in PSH in 2023 and we continue to work to improve this rate by providing strong supports for those in housing including financial assistance, daily living skills training and supported employment, as well as other support identified in individual case plans. Additional support typically includes referrals to resources such as SOAR, representative payee, financial health counseling, and legal aid entities. We provide case management training for all CoC case managers and received a grant to provide this training in a virtual library for new staff as turnover occurs.

3) The CoC Monitoring and Training Specialist is responsible for reviewing outcomes and assisting programs increasing the rate that individuals and families exit to or retain permanent housing.

2C-4.	Reducing Returns to Homelessness—CoC's Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.e.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to identify individuals and families who return to homelessness;	
2.	describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate that individuals and families return to homelessness; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate individuals and persons in families return to homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The Louisville CoC uses HMIS data and client assessments to identify homeless individuals and families most likely to return to homelessness. Factors include: large family size, unemployment, poor physical or mental health, substance use, domestic violence, lack of affordable housing, and not enough income to meet one’s needs. Case managers are trained to create strong community connections to provide additional support and to work with landlords to ensure both tenants and landlords notify them if struggles arise before they result in eviction. The HMIS Data Analysis is working to create a user friendly recidivism report to identify increases in recidivism as well as outlier programs in order to address the need for additional services at these programs. CoC APRs show how well each program’s returns to homelessness compare to others and this is used to score projects for future funding. 2) Once individuals and families with the factors listed above are identified, case managers are asked to work to identify additional community resources and strategies for these clients or to refer the families to the CoC Coordinated Shelter Access/Diversion Team who can strategize options and work to make connections. When case managers learn of conflicts with landlords, they work to quickly rehouse participants without an eviction and the Homeless/Eviction Prevention partners are providing new resources for households that may stumble so that they can be quickly re-housed with deposit assistance and furniture. 3) The entity responsible for reducing returns to homelessness is the Coordinated Shelter Access/Diversion Team of the CoC.

2C-5.	Increasing Employment Cash Income—CoC’s Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.f.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC’s strategy to access employment cash sources;	
2.	describe how your CoC works with mainstream employment organizations to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness increase their employment cash income; and	
3.	provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC’s strategy to increase income from employment.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC has shifted to an increased focus on providing employment opportunities even for those who have not yet accessed shelter or housing. This shift began with YHDP's priority to assist young adults with education and employment through partnerships that have expanded to adults. Wellspring has created a supported employment program and over 150 slots of employment and employment training have been set aside through partners in our young adult homeless effort. We have an HVRP grant that helps homeless veterans find jobs and a culinary training program through a local shelter and culinary school. The Mainstream Service Committee shares mainstream employment opportunities that are posted at local day shelters. This has resulted in an increase of employment income for our CoC. 2) The Louisville CoC has also increased our partnership with mainstream service providers who are now on the front-line of employment services targeted to unhoused Louisvillians. We work closely with Kentuckiana Works, our WIOA board that now provides short and long term employment opportunities specifically for homeless young adults through YHDP and a SNOFO Grant. This partnership has expanded to adult employment training and recruitment and targets vacant positions at homeless service agencies. KentuckianaWorks has increased our partnerships with large industries in the area including Amazon and UPS and created transportation for entry level employment. Our most successful partner is Goodwill. They opened a drop-in center for unhoused persons to get basic resources and learn about employment opportunities and operate 3 vans that go to camps to pick people up for day labor and lunch coupled with opportunities to learn about entry level positions at Goodwill with a job coach. The drop-in program and vans have been so successful that the city and other local funders are helping to duplicate in the low-income west end of Louisville. Another model program is the Family Scholar House that provides housing while single parents and those who have a foster care experience complete a secondary degree. Over 70% of graduates are completely off benefits after graduation. 3) The entity responsible for increasing income from mainstream benefits is the Mainstream Services Committee of the CoC.

2C-5a.	Increasing Non-employment Cash Income—CoC's Strategy	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.f.	
	In the field below:	
	1. describe your CoC's strategy to access non-employment cash income; and	
	2. provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase non-employment cash income.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The primary strategy of the Louisville CoC to increase non-employment income is to ensure that every person is able to quickly and easily access every benefit for which they qualify. While COVID complicated benefit access in some ways it increased benefit allocations for many and allowed people to access resources more easily. The Louisville CoC worked with the Kentucky Interagency Council on Homelessness to improve and add new benefits to KYNECT, an on-line system to allow applicants to apply for multiple benefits at once including Medicaid, SNAP, SSDI, child care, TANF and more. We provide information throughout the city on how to access remote mental health services, child care tax credits, SSI benefits and other resources that are now available remotely. We advocated with the state to ensure that MCOs no longer cut off persons with severe mental illness (especially those in PSH) from targeted case management. And, now that benefit programs are decreasing, we are providing community-wide training on the changes to mainstream programs and Thrive KY is hosting 2-hour sessions on mainstream resources including Medicaid, KCHIP, SNAP, SSDI, housing assistance, transportation, childcare, public health and behavioral health. Unfortunately, many people are still now receiving fewer non-employment cash income because the COVID resources are no longer available. Quarterly CoC APRs show how well each program's increased income compares to others and this is used to score projects for future funding.

2) The Mainstream Services Committee of the CoC is the group primarily responsible for ensuring that all homeless persons can access mainstream services and benefits. The committee meets quarterly and brings in different mainstream providers to address questions about such benefits as Medicaid, SNAP, TANF, VA benefits, and substance abuse programs. All front line homeless case managers are invited to these meetings. Additionally, the CoC hosts trainings for front line case managers that include best practices and mainstream service access.

3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3A-1.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Housing Resources.	
	NOFO Section V.B.6.a.	
	You must upload the Housing Leveraging Commitment attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses housing subsidies or subsidized housing units which are not funded through the CoC or ESG Programs to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	Yes
--	--	-----

3A-2.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Healthcare Resources.	
	NOFO Section V.B.6.b.	
	You must upload the Healthcare Formal Agreements attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses healthcare resources to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	Yes
--	--	-----

3A-3.	Leveraging Housing/Healthcare Resources–List of Projects.	
	NOFO Sections V.B.6.a. and V.B.6.b.	

If you selected yes to questions 3A-1. or 3A-2., use the list feature icon to enter information about each project application you intend for HUD to evaluate to determine if they meet the criteria.

Project Name	Project Type	Rank Number	Leverage Type
Joint TH/RRH FY20...	Joint TH-RRH	39	Both

3A-3. List of Projects.

1. What is the name of the new project? Joint TH/RRH FY2024 C3

2. Enter the Unique Entity Identifier (UEI): H8QZTMHMZME8

3. Select the new project type: Joint TH-RRH

4. Enter the rank number of the project on your CoC's Priority Listing: 39

5. Select the type of leverage: Both

3B. New Projects With Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3B-1.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.r.	

Is your CoC requesting funding for any new project application requesting \$200,000 or more in funding for housing rehabilitation or new construction?	No
--	----

3B-2.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.r.	

If you answered yes to question 3B-1, describe in the field below actions CoC Program-funded project applicants will take to comply with:

1.	Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u); and
2.	HUD’s implementing rules at 24 CFR part 75 to provide employment and training opportunities for low- and very-low-income persons, as well as contracting and other economic opportunities for businesses that provide economic opportunities to low- and very-low-income persons.

(limit 2,500 characters)

N/A

3C. Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3C-1.	Designating SSO/TH/Joint TH and PH-RRH Component Projects to Serve Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section V.F.	

	Is your CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO, TH, or Joint TH and PH-RRH component projects to serve families with children or youth experiencing homelessness as defined by other Federal statutes?	No
--	--	----

3C-2.	Cost Effectiveness of Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section V.F.	

You must upload the Project List for Other Federal Statutes attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

If you answered yes to question 3C-1, describe in the field below:

1.	how serving this population is of equal or greater priority, which means that it is equally or more cost effective in meeting the overall goals and objectives of the plan submitted under Section 427(b)(1)(B) of the Act, especially with respect to children and unaccompanied youth than serving the homeless as defined in paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of the definition of homeless in 24 CFR 578.3; and
2.	how your CoC will meet requirements described in Section 427(b)(1)(F) of the Act.

(limit 2,500 characters)

N/A

4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants for New DV Bonus Funding

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

4A-1.	New DV Bonus Project Applicants.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.	

	Did your CoC submit one or more new project applications for DV Bonus Funding?		Yes
--	--	--	-----

4A-1a.	DV Bonus Project Types.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the type(s) of new DV Bonus project(s) your CoC included in its FY 2024 Priority Listing.

	Project Type	
1.	SSO Coordinated Entry	No
2.	PH-RRH or Joint TH and PH-RRH Component	Yes

You must click "Save" after selecting Yes for element 1 SSO Coordinated Entry to view questions 4A-2, 4A-2a. and 4A-2b.

4A-3.	Data Assessing Need for New DV Bonus Housing Projects in Your CoC's Geographic Area.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(c) and I.B.3.j.(3)(c)	

1.	Enter the number of survivors that need housing or services:	2,419
2.	Enter the number of survivors your CoC is currently serving:	759
3.	Unmet Need:	1,660

4A-3a.	How Your CoC Calculated Local Need for New DV Bonus Housing Projects.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(c)	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	how your CoC calculated the number of DV survivors needing housing or services in question 4A-3 element 1 and element 2; and	
2.	the data source (e.g., comparable databases, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects); or	
3.	if your CoC is unable to meet the needs of all survivors please explain in your response all barriers to meeting those needs.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Need for Housing or Services: the total in need above is a deduplicated count of adults who reported a history of DV that interacted with the following program types between 4-1-24 and 6-30-24: Street Outreach, ES, TH, Day Shelters, Coordinated Entry, PH, PSH, and RRH. CoC is Currently Serving: Universe is a deduplicated count of adults who reported a history of DV enrolled in the following program types between 4-1-24 and 6-30-24: PSH, RRH, and PH. 2) The data source for survivor need is HMIS and data from a comparable database provided by a VSP. 3) The primary barrier to meeting the need of DV survivors is lack of housing resources. The majority of permanent supportive housing in the Louisville CoC is reserved for households experiencing chronic homelessness. More immediate housing resources for persons without a long history of homelessness are in incredibly short supply. Programs that serve exclusively survivors of DV report barriers such as difficulty connecting to potential clients who are in actively dangerous situations where it isn't safe for them to have phone conversation with intake workers, an issue heightened by the isolation of the COVID pandemic. Additionally, as survivors are often not Category 1 homeless it is difficult to connect through outreach workers or more traditional means. Another barrier is the financial abuse that occurs in DV situations due to a partner's controlling behavior, specifically lack of access to bank accounts, loss of ID/SSN/debit cards, and utility arrears. Additionally, financial insecurity; due to wage gaps—especially for women, people of color, and individuals in low-wage jobs—many victims cannot afford housing in safe neighborhoods, let alone pay moving costs and deposits. This economic barrier is further compounded by disparate impacts in housing policy, like zoning restrictions and the lingering effects of redlining, which limit access to affordable housing in high-opportunity areas. Discriminatory practices may also prevent survivors from securing leases, as domestic violence is often mischaracterized by landlords as a liability risk or a reason to deny applications. Programs focused specifically on serving survivors of DV are essential to overcoming these barriers as it gives survivors the time and connection to specialized resources necessary to start their financial recovery before moving into market rate housing.

4A-3b.	Information About Unique Project Applicant Requesting New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)	

Use the list feature icon to enter information on each unique project applicant applying for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus projects—only enter project applicant information once, regardless of how many DV Bonus projects that applicant is applying for.

Applicant Name
ZeroV

Project Applicants Applying for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH DV Bonus Projects

4A-3b.	Information About Unique Project Applicant Requesting New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)	

Enter information in the chart below on the project applicant that applied for one or more New DV Bonus housing projects included on your CoC's FY 2024 Priority Listing for New Projects:

1.	Applicant Name	ZeroV
2.	Rate of Housing Placement of DV Survivors–Percentage	77%
3.	Rate of Housing Retention of DV Survivors–Percentage	87%

4A-3b.1.	Applicant's Housing Placement and Retention Data Explanation.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

For the rate of housing placement and rate of housing retention of DV survivors reported in question 4B-3b., describe in the field below:

1.	how the project applicant calculated the rate of housing placement;
2.	whether the rate for housing placement accounts for exits to safe housing destinations;
3.	how the project applicant calculated the rate of housing retention; and
4.	the data source (e.g., comparable databases, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects).

(limit 1,500 characters)

Although we have not yet completed our first year, we based this information on data from our comparable database (VELA), our referral tracking system, and notes from case management; we have served 106 persons/46 households with the project.

ZeroV requested 39 referrals from the Coordinated Entry system since beginning operation on October 1, 2023. We currently have 5 open referrals. We requested permission from the CoC to provide supportive services from our project to qualifying and eligible survivors living in the ZeroV tax credit units located in Louisville. To calculate the housing placement rate, we took the number of those leased from the referrals (28), plus the number of referrals who did not lease but were provided with supportive services based on their housing assessment (2) and divided that total (30) by (39) for 77%. We did not count the non-referred supportive service households in the placement rate.

For housing retention, we took the number of households who have exited (6) and divided by the total number of households (46) and subtracted that from 100, for a total of 87%. We rehoused 5 households at least two times due to landlord or safety issues, including VAWA transfers. We attempted to rehouse another client with leasing violations, but we were unable to find another unit and she elected to exit to live with a friend. The retention rate does take into account all exits, which were to safe housing destinations with the exception of a client who died.

4A-3c.	Applicant's Experience Housing DV Survivors.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	
	Describe in the field below how the project applicant:	
1.	ensured DV survivors experiencing homelessness were quickly moved into safe affordable housing;	
2.	prioritized survivors—you must address the process the project applicant used, e.g., Coordinated Entry, prioritization list, CoC's emergency transfer plan;	
3.	determined survivors' supportive services needs;	
4.	connected survivors to supportive services; and	
5.	moved survivors from assisted housing to housing they could sustain—address housing stability after the housing subsidy ends.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

ZeroV has operated housing for survivors of domestic violence since 2011 with renewed HOME TBRA funding through our state housing finance partner, Ky Housing Corporation. We co-developed 84 units of affordable permanent housing across our state for survivors of domestic violence and their children from 2011-2019. We operated three successive supportive service transitional housing grants from 2011-2020 through the Office of Violence Against Women. ZeroV applied for and received our first rapid rehousing grant for survivors in FY2016 through our Balance of State CoC and have been renewed annually. We applied for DV Bonus funding in 2019 in our Balance of State CoC and have operated and expanded our project over the past 4 years. We applied for DV Bonus funding in our Louisville CoC and are finishing our first year of operation. We practice survivor centered and survivor driven supportive services in all our housing programs.

We have a 92-100% success rate across our housing grants in moving survivors from homelessness to permanent housing over the 24-month regulatory period of rapid rehousing, including those who exit to market rent and/or eventual home ownership, although the vast majority of households move to a permanent voucher program in their community. We use a strengths-based approach to our supportive service model and ensure that survivors are not only provided with rental assistance support but are able to create and work toward goals that lead to their desired level of self-sufficiency.

During this first year of operation in Louisville, ZeroV was able to assist 28 households lease in units of their choice in less than 30 days. All survivors were referred through the coordinated entry system. We did not have any emergency transfers from other projects into our project, however we did transfer 1 referral to our Louisville rapid rehousing project to our Balance of State rapid rehousing project. Survivors were connected with supportive services during the outreach and assessment process and transitioned to dedicated case management service within one week of being leased in a unit of their choice. Of the exits in the project to date, most went to a sustainable permanent housing choice voucher; one resident became a homeowner through the Section 8 to Home Ownership project; two residents exited to market rent and have been able to sustain thus far. ZeroV does provide up to 6 months of follow up services to ensure survivors are stable.

4A-3d.	Applicant's Experience in Ensuring DV Survivors' Safety.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	
	Describe in the field below examples of how the project applicant ensured the safety and confidentiality of DV survivors experiencing homelessness by:	
	1. taking steps to ensure privacy/confidentiality during the intake and interview process to minimize potential coercion of survivors;	
	2. making determinations and placements into safe housing;	
	3. keeping survivors' information and locations confidential;	
	4. training staff on safety and confidentiality policies and practices; and	
	5. taking security measures for units (congregate or scattered site), that support survivors' physical safety and location confidentiality.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

All ZeroV staff and our member program staff must complete Certification which requires attending 6 modules with accompanying podcasts, reading materials, live sessions, discussion board, and pre/post testing. The curriculum is created and informed by the National Center on Domestic Violence, Trauma, and Mental Health, is trauma informed, and focuses on best practices in working with survivors and their children, including concepts of privacy, confidentiality, and privilege. Safety planning is considered in all conversations with survivors especially as they move into housing. ZeroV recognizes that survivors fleeing DV are 75% more likely to experience violence. ZeroV works with survivors on lethality risks and will relocate survivors within or without KY based on the survivor's choice. ZeroV offers training annually to all housing staff who are also encouraged to seek professional development opportunities both in person and virtual as delivered by local, state, and national experts in the field. No one in the ZeroV organization (except direct housing and finance staff) have access to client personally identifying information, including unit addresses. Survivors are encouraged to create individualized safety plans and include safety items such as cameras, security systems for units they choose to rent. When HUD grant funds are insufficient to meet the survivor needs, ZeroV has private funding that is used to purchase and maintain these safety needs. Kentucky offers an address confidentiality program that survivors can access should they choose. Intake always done in private setting to ensure survivors can express their concerns in full confidence that their information is protected. Conversations always held one on one, and ZeroV works very closely with LLs and participants to relocate those who need to move for safety reasons. Survivors are made aware of the benefits and limitations of a scattered site model through briefings and during the process to enroll in our housing program. Scattered site housing locations are never shared with others. ZeroV does not operate an emergency shelter however on occasion program staff who serve housing participants meet w/ clients at ZeroV's office where entry to building has a secure entrance and all visitors must be buzzed in by a staff member; video surveillance is used. Finally, ZeroV receives funding from VAWA, VOCA, and FVPSA, all of whom require strict adherence to confidentiality and privacy standards.

4A-3d.1.	Applicant's Experience in Evaluating Its Ability to Ensure DV Survivors' Safety.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below how the project evaluated its ability to ensure the safety of DV survivors the project served in the project, including any areas identified for improvement throughout the project's operation.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Safety planning is part of ZeroV's housing intake to ensure the survivor has an individualized plan while seeking housing, and once moved into housing. ZeroV has paid particular attention to survivors actively fleeing, as this time is the most dangerous for a survivor, when the likelihood of physical harm increased by an estimated 75%. We work with each survivor to ensure they have safe access to retrieve belongings from shared living space before moving into independent housing of their choice. If the survivor shares custody of children with a perpetrator, survivors are encouraged to use safe child exchange centers OR meet in public locations to exchange children for visitation purposes. Survivors are also encouraged to review their social media presence, and their contacts within their community, including family and friends, as these are often the most common reasons that a location is discovered by a perpetrator. Any survivor who feels their location is compromised is provided with options to relocate or to increase security efforts to the extent the survivor chooses. ZeroV evaluated our ability to ensure safety of DV survivors by asking survivors in our project if their safety needs were being met. Many survivors enrolled in the project responded with requests for Ring or similar camera monitoring systems; ZeroV responded by purchasing systems as part of a partnership with the National Network to End Domestic Violence (NNEDV). Once that inventory was exhausted, ZeroV uses our VAWA budget line item and our private flexible funds to purchase systems for survivors. We have also worked with landlords to increase lighting in common areas and worked with local law enforcement, if the survivor chooses, to increase police presence in areas. While increased police presence is more common in our congregate tax credit locations, we have had success in increasing law enforcement presence for scattered site units, particularly if the perpetrator's presence has been observed.

4A-3e.	Applicant's Experience in Placing and Stabilizing Survivors in Permanent Housing Using Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below the project applicant's experience in:

- | | |
|----|--|
| 1. | prioritizing placement and stabilization of survivors; |
| 2. | placing survivors in permanent housing; |
| 3. | placing and stabilizing survivors consistent with their preferences; and |
| 4. | placing and stabilizing survivors consistent with their stated needs. |

(limit 2,500 characters)

ZeroV has been operating housing since 2011 years as a subrecipient of HOME TBRA funds from our state housing finance agency. We have been operating rapid rehousing since 2016 and have maintained a 92% or higher rate of moving survivors from homelessness to permanent housing opportunities. We have had no entries or exits to transitional housing. Most households exit our project with a Housing Choice Voucher or Mainstream Voucher (dedicated to non-elderly disabled households). To provide specific data in response to this request, ZeroV has reviewed exits from our TBRA and rapid rehousing over the past 4 years. We have had 6% of households go to market rent; 70% to a HCV program including non-elderly disabled vouchers; 12% went to live permanently with family/friends; 3% were incarcerated; less than 1% each went back to shelter, to rehab, or died; and 6% left or were evicted from their unit and we could not locate their subsequent destination. Overall, 88% of our housing exits were to positive permanent housing destinations.

Our project consistently places survivors in units of their choice and that meet their stated needs. Survivors in our project are provided with opportunities to relocate while receiving assistance if they feel their housing does not meet their needs. Survivors are certainly able to relocate for safety reasons at any time, including if a lease term needs to be interrupted for safety issues. Survivors in our project who would like to move for other reasons may have that option, although the timing may be impacted by a leasing contract. For example, survivors who regain custody of minor children, or who choose to add or remove additional members to their household may relocate to a unit that better fits those needs. ZeroV makes all efforts to negotiate these transfers with landlords without early termination penalties. Survivors are also the driving force behind the supportive services they choose to receive and the frequency with which services are provided. If survivor needs cannot be met with housing grant funds, or flexible funds, ZeroV looks for community support to meet the stated need. For example, if a survivor household is experiencing food scarcity needs, and assistance is not directly available through the housing grant or ZeroV's flexible funds, or the SNAP program, ZeroV works with local food banks/pantries to ensure the household has access to food assistance.

4A-3f.	Applicant's Experience in Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

	Describe in the field below examples of the project applicant's experience using trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches to meet needs of DV survivors by:	
	1. establishing and maintaining an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures survivors and staff interactions are based on equality, and minimize power differentials;	
	2. providing survivors access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing survivors with information on the effects of trauma;	
	3. emphasizing survivors' strengths, e.g., strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans worked towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;	
	4. centering on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;	
	5. providing a variety of opportunities for survivors' connections, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and	
	6. offering support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.	

(limit 5,000 characters)

ZeroV has been serving survivors since 1981 with trauma informed services and survivor-centered approaches and adopted housing first approaches over the past decade. ZeroV recognizes that Trauma-Informed Care (TIC) practices are essential for fostering safe, supportive, and responsive environments for individuals who have experienced trauma. We emphasize understanding, recognizing, and responding to the effects of DV and require all staff to become certified as a Domestic Violence Advocate within one year of hire by going through ZeroV's certification program, which uses a training curriculum created by the National Center on DV, Trauma, & Mental Health. By integrating TIC principles, such as safety, trustworthiness, peer support, collaboration, empowerment, and cultural sensitivity, in our certification curricula and our housing program, our housing program promotes healing, recovery, and resilience. Our program incorporates trauma informed approaches in interactions with all participants in ways that prevent re-traumatization, while empowering individuals to rebuild a sense of control and autonomy in their lives. Our case managers are trained in trauma informed practice, motivational interviewing, and harm reduction principles. We strive to create an inclusive, compassionate approach that addresses the unique needs of trauma survivors and improves overall outcomes. We focus our conversations around five pillars of stability: housing, physical and mental well-being, financial well-being/capability, education/employment capability, and social/community support. We encourage survivors to explore these areas and to create goals for improving stability, defining what they need to reach each goal and working with staff to find resources to support achievement of these goals. By letting the survivor take the lead in determining their stability plan, power differentials between staff and survivors are minimized.

As part of physical and mental well-being, survivors are provided with information on trauma and its effects, as well as strategies to promote resilience, healing, and access to support groups as desired. We see case management as a partnership and collaboration that is determined by the survivor. Interest and strength inventories are utilized by case managers, as well as overall health and well-being scales. Staff are trained on engagement and motivational interviewing techniques which focus on a survivor's ability to identify past successes as well as current challenges, in order to identify strengths on which they can build. Survivors have access to financial capability workshops and asset building opportunities, reducing the harm of financial abuse and poverty.

ZeroV started a Meaningful Access initiative designed to create an organizational commitment to build an infrastructure of policies and procedures, training and monitoring, hiring and training skilled staff, and providing access to relevant materials that provide access to nondiscriminatory services and accommodates the diverse needs of the people we serve. ZeroV also provides training to staff on cultural competence, meaningful access, inclusivity, intersectionality and access to non-discriminatory services. Our goal is to ensure survivors receive services in a way that meets their specific needs. ZeroV uses professional interpreters as needed to communicate with survivors experiencing limited English proficiency.

ZeroV ensures survivors connect with and have access to community supports such as peer support groups to meet emotional and spiritual needs. Survivors with transportation barriers are assisted with transportation to attend groups in their community. ZeroV has been working with a volunteer committee of survivors with lived experience to improve our project and services. Peer volunteers are currently compensated with private funding for their efforts and we hope to expand the project to increase peer to peer mentoring.

ZeroV works with child advocates and allied professionals to help survivors learn more about normal child development, parental skill-building, and improving parent-child bonds. Grant funds are utilized to support childcare as needed. We have utilized the "Connect the Dots" curriculum which provides a common language and a common approach to addressing challenging behaviors in a way that builds strong social and emotional skills in young children. We also work with the Center on Trauma and Children to provide Child-Adult Relationship Enhancement Training.

ZeroV utilizes our staff attorney and our relationship with the Fair Housing Council, KY Equal Justice Center, and legal aid organizations, to provide guidance on landlord-tenant issues, particularly relating to DV survivor protections. We also provide financial assistance as available to survivors to work on additional legal issues related to custody and divorce, or other issues that a survivor is experiencing that could potentially disrupt safe housing,

4A-3g.	Applicant's Experience Meeting Service Needs of DV Survivors.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below examples of supportive services the project provided to domestic violence survivors while quickly moving them into permanent housing and addressing their safety needs.

(limit 5,000 characters)

As part of our housing assessment process, ZeroV works to connect survivors to resources around five pillars of stability - housing, physical and mental well-being, financial well-being/capability, education/employment capability, and social/community support. For survivors with needs regarding childcare or child custody, we have paid for childcare, connected survivors to legal aid organizations, and have also paid private attorneys who are working with a survivor on divorce/custody issues. In the past grant cycle, we referred two survivors to safe child exchange centers and paid for transportation to make exchanges as agreed in custody arrangements. We provided assistance with childcare for three households and assisted two additional households with engaging an attorney to assist with divorce and custody arrangements. As part of financial well-being, ZeroV works with local and national partners to provide financial capability workshops on budgeting, credit coaching, asset building, and increasing income. We partner with pro bono financial planners, Apprisen (nonprofit consumer credit counseling agency), and financial educators for these services, and offer asset building opportunities in the form of matched savings using flexible funds. We also refer survivors to the Independence Project operated by the National Network to End Domestic Violence (NNEDV) for small microloans to help establish or improve credit. In the past grant cycle, 6 survivors completed matched savings and approximately 50% participated in financial education workshops. Transportation was provided for any survivor who had a transportation barrier. We also work with survivors to determine what sources of income are possible, and what sources the survivor might choose to pursue, including access to public benefits or disability income. Our staff are trained on how to access these systems and troubleshoot difficulties survivors may experience.

As part of housing stability, all survivors entering the project are provided with housing search and counseling assistance. Some survivors prefer to look for their own units. All survivors have choice in the unit they choose, however it must meet rent reasonableness and pass inspection requirements. Our state housing finance agency also manages a database of landlords and available units within the Balance of State where each landlord identifies which housing assistance programs they accept and if units are currently available. Over the past grant period, we have been able to move most all households into housing in less than 30 days by using the database system and staff to support survivor housing searches.

ZeroV's 15 member programs serving all of Kentucky operate emergency shelters for survivors and 24hr crisis lines. Survivors are not required to have a protective order to participate in ZeroV's rapid rehousing project however for survivors who want an EPO/DVO, each county in Kentucky has a process for applying for emergency and permanent protective orders and advocates are trained to assist survivors in applying for an order. ZeroV's member program network also has advocates who cover every county to assist with additional legal needs or act as support when survivors attend court for DV related issues. As part of our overall stability process, ZeroV works with all survivors in our rapid rehousing program to ensure their exit has plans in place for safety and long-term stability. Each survivor's journey is unique and plans are individualized but all plans cover the five stability areas of housing, physical and mental well-being, financial capability, education/employment stability, and social/community support. By offering a range of options tailored to different levels of need and promoting survivor autonomy, our program fosters long-term stability, self-sufficiency, and recovery.

Our project also engages in community education connections by helping survivors explore interests and resources in their community like activities

through public libraries, learning opportunities, and locating shared interest groups. We also work with Vocational Rehabilitation in our state, Goodwill Industries, and the local community college system for job training/certification programs that can lead to desired employment. All of these efforts are survivor defined and survivor driven. In the past grant cycle, 12 survivor households were enrolled in community college, 3 were working with Goodwill Industries, and 2 were engaged with Vocational Rehabilitation.

4A-3h.	Applicant's Plan for Placing and Stabilizing Survivors in Permanent Housing Using Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(e)	

Describe in the field below how the project(s) will:	
1.	prioritize placement and stabilization of program participants;
2.	place program participants in permanent housing;
3.	place and stabilize program participants consistent with their preferences; and
4.	place and stabilize program participants consistent with their stated needs.

(limit 2,500 characters)

ZeroV will operate our new project using the same housing concepts we have been using that we have honed over our past years of experience. All survivors will move into housing as quickly as possible. There are no barriers to accepting survivors other than ensuring survivors feel safe to leave emergency shelter or their current situation if actively fleeing. We will follow our housing assessment process, designed to connect survivors to resources around five pillars of stability - housing, physical and mental well-being, financial well-being/capability, education/employment capability, and social/community support.

Our research from our 2016-2018 study showed us that survivors still expressed feeling housing insecure even after 12-16 months of assistance was provided. We will provide the maximum amount of time of rental assistance (24 months) through rapid rehousing allowed by HUD regulations, ensuring each household is assessed and prioritized for need. Survivors referred to our rapid rehousing project with existing housing vouchers or opportunities for public housing will be supported in utilizing that permanent opportunity while we provide supportive services necessary to stabilize that household. We have increased the intensity of case management support and developed more community resources to result in better stabilization. Our housing program employs a victim-centered approach to create stable, trauma-informed environments that offer privacy, security, and flexibility. All staff are trained and certified, with annual continuing education credits and refreshed trainings on a host of topics based on the needs and interests of the staff member. By offering a range of options tailored to different levels of need and promoting survivor autonomy, our program fosters long-term stability, self-sufficiency, and recovery.

Survivors have choice in their housing within the parameters required by HUD and are supported in relocation or staying in place if safety issues arise in their housing. Survivors in our project have access to flexible funds, as they are available, to address unmet needs. Our end goal is exit to permanent housing, either HUD-funded or not, which we continue to successfully demonstrate each year our project remains funded.

4A-3i.	Applicant's Plan for Administering Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Practices in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(e)	

Describe in the field below examples of how the new project(s) will:

- | | |
|----|--|
| 1. | establish and maintain an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant and staff interactions are based on equality, and minimize power differentials; |
| 2. | provide program participants access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing program participants with information on the effects of trauma; |
| 3. | emphasize program participants' strengths—for example, strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans work towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations; |
| 4. | center on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed; |
| 5. | provide a variety of opportunities for program participants' connections, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and |
| 6. | offer support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services. |

(limit 5,000 characters)

ZeroV staff will use a survivor-driven, trauma informed, housing first approach. ZeroV recognizes that Trauma-Informed Care (TIC) practices are essential for fostering safe, supportive, and responsive environments for individuals who have experienced trauma. Participation is voluntary and no survivor will be removed from the project for failure to participate in services, missed appointments, failure to meet any goals set by the survivor, or any other punitive reasons. Survivors will be provided with opportunity to be rehoused if they are asked to leave a current unit due to non-lease renewal or face eviction due to lease violations. In the event that a survivor is exited from the project involuntarily, they may continue to receive services funded via another source or ZeroV member program per our termination policy.

As part of our project, staff will be trained and certified in concepts such as, but not limited to, trauma informed care, motivational interviewing, de-escalation, harm reduction, stages of change, landlord/tenant laws, VAWA and state housing protections, community and social benefit programs (TANF, Voc Rehab, SSI/SSDI, Medicaid waiver programs, etc.), co-occurring issues with mental health, substance use, and treatment options, workforce development programs and accessing education and job training programs.

Staff will also work on individualized housing stability plans that are survivor defined and survivor driven. Conversations will focus around five pillars of stability: housing, physical and mental well-being, financial well-being/capability, education/employment capability, and social/community support. We encourage survivors to explore these areas and to create goals for improving stability, defining what they need to reach each goal and working with staff to find resources to support achievement of these goals. Survivors will have opportunity to participate in strengths and well-being inventories, including quality of life scales, to determine in what areas they want to focus their attention in order to meet their housing stability goals. As survivors identify, develop and create goals, case managers will help the survivor identify which grant resources, flexible funding options, and community partner providers can best assist with the achievement of the goal/s.

ZeroV will use our Meaningful Access initiative to ensure our policies, procedures, and relevant materials are nondiscriminatory and accommodate the diverse needs of the people we serve. ZeroV staff will have completed training on HUD equal access, cultural competence, meaningful access, inclusivity, intersectionality and access to non-discriminatory services prior to working with survivors. Our goal is to ensure survivors receive services in a way that meets their specific needs. ZeroV has a contract with an organization that provides professional interpreters as needed to communicate with survivors experiencing limited English proficiency.

We have been working with a Persons with Lived Experience committee since January 2024. We plan to expand this portion of our housing program over the next year to provide more peer-to-peer opportunities, in addition to the menu of services already incorporated into our supportive service model. We intend for case management to reflect a partnership and collaboration that is determined by the survivor. As part of the program, survivors will have access to project-funded services including but not limited to case management, housing search and placement, mental health counseling, substance use treatment services, transportation assistance, food scarcity assistance, legal assistance, and childcare assistance. Survivors can be connected to support groups and learning opportunities not only focused on healing from domestic violence, but on healthy parenting strategies, building healthy interpersonal and family relationships, and self-love. Survivors can also be assisted with direct expenses that are barriers to stability goals like legal fees, childcare, food scarcity, and

transportation.

Survivors will also have access to ZeroV's flexible funding, as funds are available, for needs that cannot be provided by project funds or other community agencies. This approach will build on survivors' strengths and further efforts to obtain permanent housing, reducing the harm of financial abuse and poverty.

4A-3j.	Applicant's Plan for Involving Survivors in Policy and Program Development, Operations, and Evaluation in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(f)	

Describe in the field below how the new project will involve survivors:

1.	with a range of lived expertise; and
2.	in policy and program development throughout the project's operation.

(limit 2,500 characters)

ZeroV will develop a committee of persons with lived experience and a peer network over the course of this grant period. The purpose of the PWLE committee will be to review policies and program operation with the intent of soliciting feedback that will be utilized to continue operation as is or make adjustments that can better meet the needs of survivors. The committee will meet no less than quarterly for at least one hour. Persons on the committee will be compensated for their time with a \$50 gift card to the place of their choosing. A minimum of four meetings will occur over the grant period. At least five committee members will be recruited from currently housed survivors or those who have recently exited the project within the past year. ZeroV also intends to expand from the PWLE committee, which we have operated this past year with great success, to create a peer-to-peer network. Our PWLE committee is working on finalizing the details but the vision is that a network of survivors who have at least one year of housing will agree to mentor at least one, but no more than five, survivors entering the project. Conversations between peers are confidential and will not be disclosed unless there is a safety risk. The intent of the peer support survivor is to ensure the survivor entering the project is aware of all resources available to them, to help normalize traumatic experience, and to foster development of resilience.

4B. Attachments Screen For All Application Questions

We have provided the following guidance to help you successfully upload attachments and get maximum points:

1. You must include a Document Description for each attachment you upload; if you do not, the Submission Summary screen will display a red X indicating the submission is incomplete.
2. You must upload an attachment for each document listed where 'Required?' is 'Yes'.
3. We prefer that you use PDF files, though other file types are supported—please only use zip files if necessary. Converting electronic files to PDF, rather than printing documents and scanning them, often produces higher quality images. Many systems allow you to create PDF files as a Print option. If you are unfamiliar with this process, you should consult your IT Support or search for information on Google or YouTube.
4. Attachments must match the questions they are associated with.
5. Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process.
6. If you cannot read the attachment, it is likely we cannot read it either.
 - . We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).
 - . We must be able to read everything you want us to consider in any attachment.
7. After you upload each attachment, use the Download feature to access and check the attachment to ensure it matches the required Document Type and to ensure it contains all pages you intend to include.
8. Only use the "Other" attachment option to meet an attachment requirement that is not otherwise listed in these detailed instructions.

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
1C-7. PHA Homeless Preference	No	PHA Homeless Pref...	10/25/2024
1C-7. PHA Moving On Preference	No	PHA Moving On Pre...	10/25/2024
1D-10a. Lived Experience Support Letter	Yes	Lived Experience ...	10/25/2024
1D-2a. Housing First Evaluation	Yes	Housing First Eva...	10/25/2024
1E-2. Local Competition Scoring Tool	Yes	Local Competition...	10/25/2024
1E-2a. Scored Forms for One Project	Yes	Scored Forms for ...	10/25/2024
1E-5. Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced	Yes	Notification of P...	10/25/2024
1E-5a. Notification of Projects Accepted	Yes	Notification of P...	10/25/2024
1E-5b. Local Competition Selection Results	Yes	Local Competition...	10/25/2024
1E-5c. Web Posting—CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes		
1E-5d. Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes		

2A-6. HUD's Homeless Data Exchange (HDX) Competition Report	Yes	HUD's Homeless Da...	10/25/2024
3A-1a. Housing Leveraging Commitments	No	Housing Leveragin...	10/28/2024
3A-2a. Healthcare Formal Agreements	No	Healthcare Formal...	10/28/2024
3C-2. Project List for Other Federal Statutes	No		
Other	No		

Attachment Details

Document Description: PHA Homeless Preference

Attachment Details

Document Description: PHA Moving On Preference

Attachment Details

Document Description: Lived Experience Support Letter

Attachment Details

Document Description: Housing First Evaluation

Attachment Details

Document Description: Local Competition Scoring Tool

Attachment Details

Document Description: Scored Forms for One Project

Attachment Details

Document Description: Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced

Attachment Details

Document Description: Notification of Projects Accepted

Attachment Details

Document Description: Local Competition Selection Results

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: HUD's Homeless Data Exchange (HDX)
Competition Report

Attachment Details

Document Description: Housing Leveraging Commitment

Attachment Details

Document Description: Healthcare Formal Agreement

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Submission Summary

Ensure that the Project Priority List is complete prior to submitting.

Page	Last Updated
1A. CoC Identification	09/19/2024
1B. Inclusive Structure	10/28/2024
1C. Coordination and Engagement	10/28/2024
1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd	10/28/2024
1E. Project Review/Ranking	Please Complete
2A. HMIS Implementation	10/28/2024
2B. Point-in-Time (PIT) Count	10/28/2024
2C. System Performance	10/28/2024
3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare	10/28/2024
3B. Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs	10/28/2024
3C. Serving Homeless Under Other Federal Statutes	10/28/2024

4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants	10/28/2024
4B. Attachments Screen	Please Complete
Submission Summary	No Input Required

1C-7: PHA Homeless Preference

Included in this attachment:

- Excerpt from LMHA Housing Choice Voucher Administrative Plan indicating Limited Preference. Full Admin plan is available here: <https://www.lmha1.org/Administrative%20Plan%20effective%20071624%20.pdf>
- Excerpt from LMAH ACOP indicating preference for households referred through the Coordinated Entry process who are experiencing Homelessness. Full ACOP is available here: <https://www.lmha1.org/ACOP%20effective%20071624.pdf>

- ii. Applicant Families that have applied to (and met the admissions criteria for) one of the Housing Authority's Special Referral Programs. Information on applying to these Programs can be found in Appendix 9.
- iii. Public Housing Program Participant Families graduating from the Housing Authority's Special Referral Program with Family Scholar House in good standing. Applicant Family eligibility for this preference must be verified by Family Scholar House.
- iv. Applicant Families referred by the Family Health Centers Common Assessment Team that include an Adult Household Member who is a Homeless veteran. For the purpose of determining eligibility for this preference, the term "veteran" includes anyone who wore the uniform of any of the military forces. It does not include National Guard members who were never called up for service.⁷² Families that believe they may qualify for this admissions preference should contact:
Family Health Centers Common Assessment Team
1300 S. 4th St., Suite 200
Louisville, KY 40208
Phone: (502) 637-2080
Website: <http://www.fhclouisville.org/health-services/healthcare-for-the-homeless>
- v. Applicant Families referred by the Family Health Centers Common Assessment Team that are currently assisted through the Louisville Metro Continuum of Care including families referred to the Emergency Housing Voucher program. Families that believe they may qualify for this admissions preference should contact:
Family Health Centers Common Assessment Team
1300 S. 4th St., Suite 200
Louisville, KY 40208
Phone: (502) 637-2080
Website: <http://www.fhclouisville.org/health-services/healthcare-for-the-homeless>
- vi. Applicant Families purchasing a duplex through the REBOUND Program will be given a preference to the Authority's HCV Homeownership Program. HCV homeownership assistance will be limited to the unit occupied by the homeowner.
- viii. Applicant Families who will reside in the rental unit of a duplex purchased through the REBOUND Program.
- ix. Families who received a Stability Voucher, but are no longer receiving CoC services.
- x. Families who have a term-limited Family Unification Program voucher that has expired and
 - a. Are a Non-Disabled or Elderly Family that has opted into and graduated from FSS or
 - b. Are a Disabled or Elderly Family for which FSS is not a good option.
- xi. Residents of LMHA-operated Public Housing who are displaced as a result of an LMHA-initiated relocation plan.

⁷² RX: *Housing Veterans*. Report to Mayor Fischer on Ending Veteran Homelessness in Louisville, Kentucky. 5 December 2014.

Scheduled appointments include, but are not limited to, New Family Orientations, eligibility appointments, and briefings.

E. NON-WAITING LIST ADMISSIONS

1. Special Admissions When Assistance Is Targeted by HUD⁷⁶

Occasionally, the LMHA receives HCV (Section 8) funding from HUD that is targeted for Families living in specified units. In these cases, the LMHA must use the assistance for Families living in these units. If necessary, to meet the HUD requirements associated with this funding, the LMHA may admit Applicant Families that are not on the Housing Authority's waiting list or admit Applicant Families without considering their waiting list position.

The following are examples of types of program funding that may be targeted for a Family living in a specified unit:

- a. A Family displaced because of demolition or disposition of a public housing project;
- b. A Family residing in a multifamily rental housing project when HUD sells, forecloses, or demolishes the project;
- c. For housing covered by the Low-Income Housing Preservation and Resident Homeownership Act of 1990 (41 U.S.C. 4101 *et seq.*):
 - i. A non-purchasing Family residing in a project subject to a homeownership program (under 24 CFR 248.173); or
 - ii. A Family displaced because of mortgage prepayment or voluntary termination of a mortgage insurance contract (as provided in 24 CFR 248.165);
- d. A Family residing in a project covered by a project-based Section 8 HAP contract at or near the end of the HAP contract term; and
- e. A non-purchasing Family residing in a HOPE 1 or HOPE 2 project.

2. Special Purpose Vouchers

Occasionally, the LMHA receives an allocation of HCV vouchers from HUD that is targeted to assist Families that meet specified eligibility criteria. Applicants for these vouchers are not selected through the Housing Authority's waiting list, but through a separate selection process determined by HUD.

VASH

The LMHA currently has HCV vouchers designated to assist homeless veterans. For information on how to apply for these vouchers, see Appendix 7.

Once the Family has begun participation in the VASH Program, a Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center determination that the Family no longer requires case management is not grounds for termination of assistance. In such cases, the LMHA will offer the Family continued assistance through one of its tenant-based HCV vouchers, in order to free up a VASH voucher for another eligible Family referred by the Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center. If the Housing Authority has no tenant-based HCV voucher to offer the Family, the Family will retain

⁷⁶ 24 CFR 982.203, "Special Admissions (Non-Waiting List): Assistance Targeted by HUD"

their VASH voucher until such time as the LMHA has an available tenant-based HCV voucher to offer the Family.⁷⁷

Mainstream

Louisville Metro Housing Authority will provide a preference through its Mainstream Voucher Program for non-elderly persons with disabilities transitioning out of institutional and other segregated settings, at serious risk of institutionalization, homeless, or at risk of becoming homeless. Referral partners include The Coalition for the Homeless, Center for Accessible Living, Wellspring, Seven Counties, and St. John Center.

Family Unification Program

LMHA administers the Family Unification Program (FUP) under which housing choice vouchers are provided for two different populations:

1. Families for whom the lack of adequate housing is a primary factor in:
 - a. The imminent placement of the family's child or children in out-of-home care, or
 - b. The delay in the discharge of the child or children to the family from out-of-home care.

There is no time limitation on FUP family vouchers.

2. For a period not to exceed 36 months, otherwise eligible youths who have attained at least 18 years and not more than 24 years of age and who have left foster care, or will leave foster care within 90 days, in accordance with a transition plan described in section 475(5)(H) of the Social Security Act, and is homeless or is at risk of becoming homeless at age 16 or older.

LMHA administers the FUP in partnership with the Kentucky Cabinet for Health and Family Services (CHFS) and the Louisville Continuum of Care (COC). CHFS is responsible for referring FUP families and youths to LMHA for determination of eligibility for rental assistance. Once CHFS makes the referral, LMHA places the FUP applicant on its waiting list, determines whether the family or youth meets HCV program eligibility requirements, and conducts all other processes relating to voucher issuance and administration.

In addition to rental assistance, the COC provides supportive services to FUP youths for the entire 18 months in which the youth participates in the program; examples of the skills targeted by these services include money management skills, job preparation, educational counseling, and proper nutrition and meal preparation.

For participants in LMHA's FUP program who first leased a unit with a FUP voucher after December 27, 2020, there may be continued eligibility (subject to requirements) for two 12 month extensions for a total of 60 months of assistance.

LMHA will inform each participant of the following requirements during the family briefing. For those participants who were issued a voucher prior to publication of FR-6284-N-01 and who leased a unit after 12/27/2020, LMHA will inform them of the availability of extensions. The availability of these extensions do not impact the initial 36 month time period.

Participants in the FUP program will be eligible for an extension if they meet one of the following criteria:

⁷⁷ 77 FR 17086, Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers: Revised Implementation of the HUD-VA Supportive Housing Program

- of the period of assistance but does not have to have it done before the certification. This is the only certification the youth must provide.
4. LMHA will then conduct the proper reexamination.
 5. For exceptions under paragraph 3 of this section, a participant must submit certification that they meet an exception provided in that section.
5. Grievance procedure
 - a. If a participant's assistance is terminated, prior to termination the FUP youth must be provided the opportunity to request an informal hearing in line with the grievance procedure in this plan.
 6. Supportive Services
 - a. LMHA will provide FUP youth of the FUP supportive services available to them, as well as the existence of any other programs or services, and their eligibility for such programs and services as part of the family briefing.
 - b. LMHA will make FUP youth eligible for all supportive services made available by or through LMHA in connection with any housing program of LMHA. The youth must still qualify according to the requirements of each service, however.

3. The Single Room Occupancy (SRO) Program

Through HUD's Single Room Occupancy (SRO) Program, the LMHA provides Section 8 rental assistance for homeless individuals. Individuals assisted under the SRO Program are not selected through the Housing Authority's HCV Program waiting list. Instead, they must apply directly to the designated housing development receiving assistance. For information on how to apply for assistance through the SRO Program, see Appendix 9. Moving out of an SRO-assisted unit is not grounds for termination of assistance. LMHA will offer lease-compliant Families continued assistance through the use of a tenant-based HCV voucher, should the LMHA have a voucher available at the time of the Family's move.

F. INFORMAL REVIEW⁸⁰

1. Notification of Removal from Waiting List or Denial of Assistance

Any Applicant Family that is being removed from the waiting list or that is being denied assistance because they do not meet the admissions criteria for the Housing Authority's HCV Program will be notified by the LMHA, in writing, that they have 10 calendar days from the date of the written correspondence, to request an informal review in writing or to present documentation demonstrating that the Housing Authority's reason for removing them from the waiting list or for denying them assistance is invalid.

The notification letter will contain a brief statement of the reason(s) for the decision, describe how the Applicant may obtain an informal review, and also indicate that the Applicant Family will be removed from the waiting list if they fail to respond within the timeframe specified.

⁸⁰ 24 CFR 982.554, Informal Review for Applicant

10.0 TENANT SELECTION AND ASSIGNMENT PLAN

10.1 PREFERENCES

The LMHA will select families based on the following preferences within each bedroom size (0-bedroom, 1-bedroom, 2-bedroom, etc.). These preferences are based on local housing needs and priorities:

- A. *Former Clarksdale residents who were relocated by the LMHA as a result of the Housing Authority's HOPE VI Revitalization of the site receive a one-time preference for all rental units built through the Liberty Green Revitalization effort.*

These families should apply through the Liberty Green site-based waiting list for units at Liberty Green, and through the agency's central waiting list for all other units built through the Revitalization effort;

- B. *Former Sheppard Square residents who were relocated by the LMHA as a result of the Housing Authority's HOPE VI Revitalization of the site receive a one-time preference for all rental units built through the Sheppard Square Revitalization effort.*

These families should apply through the Sheppard Square site-based waiting list for units at Sheppard Square, and through the agency's central waiting list for all other units built through the Revitalization effort;

- C. ***Families referred by the Family Health Center Common Assessment Team that are experiencing homelessness.***

- a. ***This preference is given to every 4th eligible Family. For example, LMHA will admit three non-preferenced Families then give this preference for a family.***

Families must be referred to the LMHA by the Family Health Centers Common Assessment Team. Families that believe they may qualify for this admissions preference should contact:

Family Health Centers Common Assessment Team

1300 S. 4th St., Suite 200

Louisville, KY 40208

Phone: (502) 637-2080

- D. *Participants successfully graduating from the YouthBuild Louisville program.*

This preference only applies to participants living in subleased LMHA public housing at the time of program completion. Such individuals receive a preference for the Public Housing Program;

Within the same preference category, applicants that qualify for multiple preferences will not be housed before applicants that qualify for fewer preferences.

1C-7: PHA Moving On Preference

Included in this attachment:

- Excerpt from LMHA Housing Choice Voucher Administrative Plan indicating Moving On Preference. Full Admin plan is available here:

<https://www.lmha1.org/Administrative%20Plan%20effective%20071624%20.pdf>

Louisville Metro Housing Authority (LMHA)
Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) Administrative Plan
EFFECTIVE July 16, 2024

Social Service Partner	Population Served	Contact Information			Vouchers Available
		Address	Phone ³³³	Web	
Boys' and Girl's Haven	Foster youth transitioning out of residential programming, transitional living, or therapeutic foster care.	2301 Goldsmith Lane; Louisville, KY 40218	458-1171 x149	boysandgirlshaven.org	20
Catholic Charities of Louisville, Inc.	Eligible individuals who are victims of human trafficking, eligible refugees or immigrants, and participants of Family Support Services	2911 S 4 th St., 40208	637-9786	archlou.org	50
Center for Women & Families	Victims of domestic or sexual violence	927 S. 2 nd St., 40206	581-7200	www.thecenteronline.org	32
Choices	Homeless Families	419 S. Shelby St, 40202	585-3780	www.choiceshome.org	6
ChooseWell Communities	Pregnant or post-partum mothers who have completed addiction treatment	323 W. Broadway, #504, 40202	800-520-4914	www.choosewell.org/what-we-do/project-thrive/	80
Churchill House of Hope	Homeless Pregnant Women and Homeless Teens	7215 Watson Lane 40272	281-1414		10
Coalition for the Homeless	Homeless Families with school-age child(ren)	1300 S. 4 th St., #250, 40208	636-9550	http://louhomeless.org	30
Coalition for the Homeless	Vouchers for formerly homeless households moving on from supportive or temporary housing	1300 S. 4 th St., #250, 40208	636-9550	http://louhomeless.org	100
Day Spring	Adults with developmental disabilities	3430 Day Spring Court, 40213	636-5990	http://dayspringky.org	4
Family & Children's Place	Those leaving an institution who are also at risk of homelessness	525 Zane St., 40203	893-3900	www.familyandchildrensplace.org/our-services/homeless-prevention-services/	10
Family Scholar House	One parent Families & foster care alumni who are Independent Students.	403 Reg Smith Circle, 40208	584-8090	www.family scholarhouse.org	294
Family Scholar House- Medical Campus (not yet constructed)	One parent Families & foster care alumni who are Independent Students.	403 Reg Smith Circle, 40208	584-8090	www.family scholarhouse.org	60
Feed Louisville	The homeless community of Louisville	1620 Arthur St. 40208	600-8296	www.feedlouisville.org	10

³³³ Area code is 502 unless otherwise noted.

1D-10a: Lived Experience Support Letter

Included in this attachment:

- Letter signed by the Louisville/Jefferson County Community Consulting Board



July 26, 2024

Louisville/Jefferson County Continuum of Care
c/o The Coalition for the Homeless
1300 S. 4th Street, Ste. 250
Louisville, KY 40208

To Whom It May Concern:

The Community Consulting Board is a group of individuals who have direct lived experience with the Louisville Metro Homeless Services Response System. This group was formed to provide feedback and recommendations on how funding should be allocated and how services can be improved. During the 2023-24 fiscal year, here are some things we accomplished:

- Reviewed and provided input on the annual Point-in-Time Count survey to ensure the questions were being asked in client-centered ways
- Board members attended regularly scheduled monthly meetings to provide input and feedback on current issues or trends impacting unsheltered persons
- Board members attended a variety of advocacy events in Frankfort during the recent legislative session to speak out against the homeless criminalization piece of HB5
- Board members signed up to speak at several city council meetings when local issues that affect persons experiencing homelessness were being discussed
- The Community Consulting Board updated and scored a supplemental questionnaire we developed for NOFO applicants to measure quality of services
- Our board participated in a three day training series to learn about different advocacy strategies and grassroots campaign organizing

On behalf of the Louisville Community Consulting Board, we express support for the continued use of our supplemental questionnaire for all new and renewal projects applying for funding in the annual CoC grant application.

Signed in Solidarity:

Louisville Metro Community Consulting Board
Member Support Signatures

Krishna Brown 7-26-2024
(Name) (Date)

Dawn Spring 7-26-24
(Name) (Date)

Kate Cummins 7-26-24
(Name) (Date)

Amosy Censedel 7/26/24
(Name) (Date)

[Signature] 4/26/24
(Name) (Date)

Rico Hughes 4-26-24
(Name) (Date)

Lisa Harley 4-26-24
(Name) (Date)

Amotum 7-26-24
(Name) (Date)

1D-2a: Housing First Evaluation

Included in this attachment:

- Completed Housing First Evaluation from Local Monitoring Tool

Agency:	St. Vincent de Paul
Project:	(963) SVDP- CHI -PSH - CoC -LOU
Monitoring Start Date:	September 6, 2024
Monitoring Period:	July 1, 2022 to June 30, 2023
Final Score:	90.50%

Review Type	Question			Points Available	Points Received	Comments
		Yes	No Evidence			
1	Does the program indicate its fidelity to the Housing First model on its annual grant application?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1	1	
2	Do all of the CoC funded programs at this agency operate using the Housing First approach?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1	1	
3	Are applicants allowed to enter the program without income?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	2	
4	Are applicants allowed to enter the program even if they aren't "clean and sober" or "treatment compliant"?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	2	
5	Are applicants allowed to enter the program even if they have criminal justice system involvement?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	2	
6	Are service and treatment plans voluntary, such that tenants cannot be evicted for not following through?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	2	
7	Eligibility to remain in a program is not contingent on sobriety, income requirements, education, employment, lack of a criminal record, completion of treatment, participation in services, or other unnecessary conditions.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	2	
8	Programs or projects do everything possible not to reject an individual or family on the basis of poor credit or financial history, poor or lack of rental history, minor criminal convictions, or behaviors that are interpreted as indicating a lack of "housing readiness."	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	2	
9	People with disabilities are offered clear opportunities to request reasonable accommodations within applications and screening processes and during tenancy, and building and apartment units include special physical features that accommodate disabilities.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	2	
10	Programs or projects that cannot serve someone work through the coordinated entry process to ensure that those individuals or families have access to housing and services elsewhere.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	2	
11	Service planning is individualized and driven by client needs and desires.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	2	
12	Participation in services or compliance with service plans are not conditions of tenancy, but are reviewed with tenants and regularly offered as a resource to tenants.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	2	
13	Services are informed by a harm-reduction philosophy that recognizes drug and alcohol use are a part of some tenants' lives. Tenants are engaged in non-judgmental communication regarding drug and alcohol use and are offered education regarding how to avoid risky behaviors and engage in safer practices.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	2	

14	Is the program able to offer a range of housing options based on participant preference?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	2	
15	Every effort is made to provide a tenant the opportunity to transfer from one housing situation, program, or project to another if a tenancy is in jeopardy. Whenever possible, eviction back into homelessness is avoided.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	2	
16	Access to the program and its services are available to participants regardless of sexual orientation, gender identity, or marital status.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	2	
17	Program staff are trained in clinical and non-clinical strategies (including housing first, motivational interviewing, trauma-informed approaches, etc).	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	2	
18	Are appropriate resources being offered to the participant during monthly case management meetings while enrolled in the program?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	3	1.5	Some resources are being offered but this area could be improved
Total:				35	33.5	

1E-2: Local Competition Scoring Tool

Included in this attachment:

- Renewal Project Application Scoring Tools
 - PSH Scoring Tool (Page 1)
 - RRH Scoring Tool (Page 6)
 - TH Scoring Tool (Page 11)
 - TH-RRH Scoring Tool (Page 15)
 - Community Consulting Board Questionnaire for Renewal PSH, RRH, TH-RRH, and TH Projects (Page 19)
 - SSO-CE and HMIS Threshold Review Document (Page 24)
- New Project Application Scoring Tools
 - New Project Scoring and Ranking Sheet: PSH, RRH, and TH-RRH (Page 25)
 - New Project Scoring and Ranking Narrative: PSH, RRH, and TH-RRH (Page 26)
 - New Project Scoring and Ranking Detail Sheet: PSH, RRH, and TH-RRH (Page 27)
 - New Project Scoring and Ranking Sheet: DV Bonus (Page 30)
 - New Project Scoring and Ranking Narrative: DV Bonus (Page 31)
 - New Project Scoring and Ranking Detail Sheet: DV Bonus (Page 34)
 - Community Consulting Board Questionnaire for New Projects (Page 37)

Please Note:

- New project score sheets for project types that the CoC did not receive applications for, such as SSO-CE, are not included.

Louisville/Jefferson County Continuum of Care (KY-501) CoC NOFO Scoring Packet
 FY24 PSH Renewals

Grant Name:	
Grantee:	
Grant Prefix:*	
Project Type:	PSH

*The grant prefix is the first five digits of your grant number (i.e., KY0123)

OBJECTIVE CRITERIA: CoC PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT RELATED CRITERIA

Measure:	Maintenance of or Exits to Permanent Housing							
Description:	Measures the percentage of clients served in your project who have either stayed in your project or have left to another permanent destination. This is to gauge how well programs are doing at housing clients and keeping them housed. This is a measure we are evaluated on as a CoC when reporting system performance measures.							
Applicable to:	PSH							
Data Source:	APR, Question 5a,8 and question 23c							
Formula:	(Total # of Stayers from APR question 5a, 8	+	Total # of persons exiting to a positive destination from APR question 23c)	/	(Total number of persons served from APR question 5a, 1	-	Persons exiting to excluded destinations from APR question 23c)	=
Computation:		+		/		-		= #DIV/0!
CoC Average:	96%							
Max Points:	10							
Point Basis:	10 Points: 95% and Greater 8 Points: Between 90% and 94% 6 Points: Between 85% and 89% 4 Points: Between 80% and 84% 2 Points Between 75% and 79% 0 Points: 74.99% or Less							
POINTS AWARDED:								

Measure:	Length of Time Between Project Start Date and Housing Move in Date (i.e., reducing the length of time persons remain homeless)						
Description:	Measures average length of time in days between clients entering your project and moving into a housing unit. This is to gauge efficiency of programs in helping clients to secure housing quickly. This is a measure we are evaluated on as a CoC when reporting system performance measures.						
Applicable to:	PSH						
Data Source:	APR Question 22c						
Formula:	Average length of time to housing						
Computation:							
CoC Average:	48.32 Days						
Max Points:	5						
Point Basis:	5 Points: 0 - 45 Days 3 Points: 46 - 90 1 Point: 91 - 135 Days 0 Points: 136 Days or More						
POINTS AWARDED:							

Measure:	Gained or Increased Employment Income							
Description:	Measures percent of clients who increased their income from employment ("earned income") while in your project. Helping clients to increase their employment income improves their financial stability and ability to secure or maintain housing. This is a measure we are evaluated on as a CoC when reporting system performance measures.							
Applicable to:	PSH							
Data Source:	APR Questions 19a1 and 19a2							
Formula:	(19a1 Row "Number of Adults with Earned Income," Column "Performance Measure: Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Annual Assessment"	+	19a2 Row "Number of Adults with Earned Income," Column "Performance Measure: Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Exit")	/	19a1 Row "Number of Adults with Earned Income," Column "Total Adults (including those with No Income)"	+	19a2 Row "Number of Adults with Earned Income," Column "Total Adults (including those with No Income)"	=
Computation:		+		/		+		= #DIV/0!
CoC Average:	6%							
Max Points:	5							
Point Basis:	5 Points: 7% and Greater 3 Points: Between 5% and 7% 1 Point: Between 3% and 5% 0 Points: 2% or Less							
POINTS AWARDED:								

Measure:	Gained or Increased Non-Employment Cash Income								
Description:	Measures percent of clients who increased their income from non-employment sources, such as SSI and SSDI, while in your project. Helping clients access all of the non-employment income they qualify for improves their financial stability and ability to secure or maintain housing. This is a measure we are evaluated on as a CoC when reporting system performance measures.								
Applicable to:	PSH								
Data Source:	APR Questions 19a1 and 19a2								
Formula:	(19a1 Row "Number of Adults with Other Income," Column "Performance Measure: Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Annual Assessment"	+	19a2 Row "Number of Adults with Other Income," Column "Performance Measure: Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Exit")	/	19a1 Row "Number of Adults with Other Income," Column "Total Adults (including those with No Income)"	+	19a2 Row "Number of Adults with Other Income," Column "Total Adults (including those with No Income)"	=	
Computation:		+		/		+		=	#DIV/0!
CoC Average:	39%								
Max Points:	5								
Point Basis:	5 Points: 47% and Greater 4 Points: Between 42% and 46% 3 Points: Between 37% and 41% 2 Points: Between 32% and 36% 1 Points: Between 27% and 31% 0 Points: 26% or Less								
POINTS AWARDED:									

OBJECTIVE CRITERIA: RAPID RETURN TO PERMANENT HOUSING AND SEVERITY OF BARRIERS EXPERIENCED BY PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS

Measure:	Analysis of Barriers - Disability						
Description:	Measures the percentage of clients served by your project with two or more disabling conditions. We recognize the barriers that persons with disabilities face in securing and maintaining housing relative to others. This measure acknowledges projects that disproportionately serve those clients.						
Applicable to:	PSH						
Data Source:	APR, Question 13a2						
Formula:	Total # of persons with two or more disabling conditions	/	(Total # of persons	-	Children in HH with Children and Adults with two or fewer disabling conditions)	=	
Computation:		/		-		=	#DIV/0!
CoC Average:	70%						
Max Points:	5						
Point Basis:	5 Points: 86% and Greater 4 Points: Between 76% and 85% 3 Points: Between 66% and 75% 2 Points: Between 56% and 65% 1 Point: Between 46% and 55% 0 Points: 45.81% or Less						
POINTS AWARDED:							

Measure:	Analysis of Barriers - History of Domestic Violence				
Description:	Measures the percentage of clients served by your project who are survivors of domestic violence. We recognize the barriers that survivors of DV face in securing housing. This measure acknowledges projects that disproportionately serve such clients.				
Applicable to:	PSH				
Data Source:	APR, Question 14a				
Formula:	Yes	/	Total	=	
Computation:		/		=	#DIV/0!
CoC Average:	42%				
Max Points:	5				
Point Basis:	5 Points: 50% and Greater 4 Points: Between 45% and 49% 3 Points: Between 40% and 44% 2 Points: Between 35% and 39% 1 Point: Between 30% and 34% 0 Points: 29% or Less				
POINTS AWARDED:					

Measure:	Analysis of Barriers - Adults with No Income at Entry				
Description:	Measures the percentage of adults served by your project who had no income at project entry. We recognize the barriers this group faces in securing housing. This measure acknowledges the projects that disproportionately serve such clients.				
Applicable to:	PSH				
Data Source:	APR, Question 18				
Formula:	Adults with No Income	/	Total Adults	=	
Computation:		/		=	#DIV/0!
CoC Average:	58%				
Max Points:	5				
Point Basis:	5 Points: 66% and Greater 4 Points: Between 61% and 65% 3 Points: Between 56% and 60% 2 Points: Between 51% and 55% 1 Point: Between 46% and 49% 0 Points: 45% or Less				
POINTS AWARDED:					

Measure:	Successful Placement in Permanent Housing		
Description:	Measures the percent of clients served in your project who moved into permanent housing (as opposed to those who exited the project without moving in). Ensures most clients are actually securing housing once in the project.		
Applicable to:	PSH		
Data Source:	APR, 22c		
Formula:	Total (person moved into housing)	/	Total =
Computation:		/	= #DIV/0!
CoC Average:	93%		
Max Points:	5		
Point Basis:	5 Points: 95% and Greater 4 Points: Between 90% and 94% 3 Points: Between 85% and 89% 2 Points: Between 80% and 84% 1 Point: Between 75% and 79% 0 Points: 74% or Less		
POINTS AWARDED:			

OTHER OBJECTIVE CRITERIA

Measure:	Expenditure of Grant Funds		
Description:	Measures the amount awarded that projects spent relative to total amount awarded.		
Applicable to:	All (excluding projects for whom FY24 was 1st operating year)		
Data Source:	HUD Spenddown Report		
Formula:	LOCCS Balance	/	Award =
Computation:		/	= #DIV/0!
CoC Average:	3.27%		
Max Points:	5		
Point Basis:	5 Points: Less than 1% Returned 4 Points: Between 1% and 2% Returned 3 Points: Between 3% and 4% Returned 2 Points: Between 5% and 6% Returned 1 Point: Between 6% and 7% Returned 0 Points: 7% or Greater Returned		
POINTS AWARDED:			

Measure:	Data Quality - Personally Identifiable Information		
Description:	Measures data quality of clients' personally identifiable information in HMIS (name, SSN, date of birth, gender, and race/ethnicity). CoC projects are required to collect these data elements for reporting. Capturing this data allows for more accurate reporting and analysis across the CoC.		
Applicable to:	All		
Data Source:	APR, 6a		
Formula:	Error Rate Below 5%	/	Data Elements =
Computation:		/	= 5 0.00%
Max Points:	2		
Point Basis:	2 Points: 5 out of 5 data elements (or 1 or fewer clients) with error rate below 5% 1.5 Points: 4 out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5% 1 Point: 3 out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5% .5 Points: 2 out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5% 0 Points: 1 or less out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5%		
POINTS AWARDED:			

Measure:	Data Quality - Universal Data Elements		
Description:	Measures data quality of additional Universal Data Elements, data elements required to be collected for all CoC projects. Capturing this data allows for more accurate reporting and analysis across the CoC.		
Applicable to:	All		
Data Source:	APR, 6b		
Formula:	Error Rate Below 5%	/	Data Elements =
Computation:		/	= 5 0.00%
Max Points:	2		
Point Basis:	2 Points: 5 out of 5 data elements (or 1 or fewer clients) with error rate below 5% 1.5 Points: 4 out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5% 1 Point: 3 out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5% .5 Points: 2 out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5% 0 Points: 1 or less out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5%		
POINTS AWARDED:			

Measure:	Data Quality - Income and Housing Data Quality			
Description:	Measures data quality of income and destination. These are required to be collected for all CoC projects. Capturing this data allows for more accurate reporting and analysis across the CoC.			
Applicable to:	All			
Data Source:	APR, 6c			
Formula:	Error Rate Below 5%	/	Data Elements	=
Computation:		/	4	= 0.00%
Max Points:	2			
Point Basis:	2 Points: 4 out of 4 data elements (or 1 or fewer clients) with error rate below 5% 1.5 Points: 3 out of 4 data elements with error rate below 5% 1 Point: 2 out of 4 data elements with error rate below 5% .5 Points: 1 out of 4 data elements with error rate below 5% 0 Points: 0 out of 4 data elements with error rate below 5%			
POINTS AWARDED:				

Measure:	Data Quality - Chronic Homelessness			
Description:	Measures percent of client records in project for which chronic homelessness cannot be determined based on responses (for example, number of times and months homeless wasn't answered). Chronically homeless clients are a particularly vulnerable population who it is important to ensure we are accurately reporting.			
Applicable to:	All			
Data Source:	APR, 6d			
Formula:	% of records unable to calculate			
Computation:				
Max Points:	2			
Point Basis:	2 Points: less than 2% of records unable to calculate 1 Point: 2-5% of records unable to calculate 0 Points: More than 5% of records unable to calculate			
POINTS AWARDED:				

Measure:	Data Quality - Timeliness of Data Entry			
Description:	Measures how quickly the project is entering client data into HMIS after the client enters the project. Timely data entry can reduce errors from too much time passing between data collection and entry, and it ensures data is accessible when needed. We advise projects enter data no more than 3 days after the client enters the project.			
Applicable to:	All			
Data Source:	APR, 6e			
Formula:	Project Start Records Entered in 3 or Less Days	/	Total Records	=
Computation:		/		= #DIV/0!
Max Points:	2			
Point Basis:	2 Points: 80% or more records entered within 3 days 1.5 Points: 70-79% of records entered within 3 days 1 Point: 65-69% of records entered within 3 days .5 Points: 60-64% of records entered within 3 days 0 Points: Less than 60% of records entered within 3 days			
POINTS AWARDED:				

Measure:	Bed Utilization					
Description:	Measures the percentage of available beds in the project that were in use during the reporting period. This is measured by observing enrollments at a point in time during each of the four quarters in the reporting period, relative to the number of beds in the project. Higher utilization means the project is using more of its available resources at any given time, and more clients are being housed/sheltered.					
Applicable to:	PSH, RRH, and TH (excluding projects for whom FY24 was the first operating year)					
Data Source:	APR, 7b and Application					
Formula:	(Total PIT of Persons for January, April, July, October	/	4)	/	Number of BEDS Indicated in Application	=
Computation:		/	4	/		= #DIV/0!
Max Points:	2					
Point Basis:	2 Points: Between 90% Utilization or Greater 1.5 Points: Between 85% and 89% Utilization 1 Point: Between 80% and 84% Utilization .5 Points: Between 75% and 79% Utilization 0 Points: 75% Utilization or Less					
POINTS AWARDED:						

Measure:	Housing First/Low Barrier			
Description:	Measures whether the project is low barrier (no barriers/stipulations to entry) and operates using Housing First principles.			
Applicable to:	All			
Data Source:	FY24 Application			
Computation:				
Max Points:	5			
Point Basis:	5 Points: Yes 0 Points: No			
POINTS AWARDED:				

OTHER CRITERIA

Measure:	Community Consulting Board Questionnaire and Racial Equity Narrative
Description:	
Applicable to:	All
Data Source:	Written Response
Max Points:	30
Point Basis:	Evaluation of Responses by CCB
POINTS AWARDED:	

SUBMISSION TIMELINESS

Scoring Criteria Submission Timeliness			
Item	Due	Submitted	On Time
Racial Equity Narrative	9-23-24		
CCB Questionnaire	9-23-24		
Draft Application	9-23-24		
Max Points:	3		
Point Basis:	One Point for Each Item Submitted On Time		
POINTS AWARDED:			

Max Points:	100
Points Awarded:	0
Percentage:	0.00%

Louisville/Jefferson County Continuum of Care (KY-501) CoC NOFO Scoring Packet
 FY24 RRH Renewals

Grant Name:	
Grantee:	
Grant Prefix:*	
Project Type:	RRH

*The grant prefix is the first five digits of your grant number (i.e., KY0123)

OBJECTIVE CRITERIA: CoC PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT RELATED CRITERIA

Measure:	Exits to Permanent Housing					
Description:	Measures the percentage of clients served in your project who have left to another permanent destination. This is to gauge how well programs are doing at housing clients and keeping them housed. This is a measure we are evaluated on as a CoC when reporting system performance measures.					
Applicable to:	RRH and TH (all)					
Data Source:	APR, Q23c					
Formula:	Total # of persons exiting to a positive housing destination	/	Total # of persons exiting	-	Persons exiting to excluded destinations	=
Computation:		/		-		= #DIV/0!
CoC Average:	92%					
Max Points:	10					
Point Basis:	10 Points: 95% and Greater 8 Points: Between 90% and 94% 6 Points: Between 85% and 89% 4 Points: Between 80% and 84% 2 Points: Between 75% and 79% 0 Points: 74.99% or Less					
POINTS AWARDED:						

Measure:	Length of Time Between Project Start Date and Housing Move in Date	
Description:	Measures average length of time in days between clients entering your project and moving into a housing unit. This is to gauge efficiency of programs in helping clients to secure housing quickly. This is a measure we are evaluated on as a CoC when reporting system performance measures.	
Applicable to:	RRH and PSH (excludes Joint RRH)	
Data Source:	APR Question 22c	
Formula:	Average length of time to housing	
Computation:		
CoC Average:	46.49	
Max Points:	5	
Point Basis:	5 Points: 0 - 45 Days 3 Points: 46 - 90 1 Point: 91 - 135 Days 0 Points: 136 Days or More	
POINTS AWARDED:		

Measure:	Gained or Increased Employment Income							
Description:	Measures percent of clients who increased their income from employment sources while in your project. Helping clients to increase their employment income improves their financial stability and ability to secure or maintain housing. This is a measure we are evaluated on as a CoC when reporting system performance measures.							
Applicable to:	RRH and TH (all)							
Data Source:	APR Questions 19a1 and 19a2							
Formula:	(19a1 Row "Number of Adults with Earned Income," Column "Performance Measure: Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Annual Assessment"	+	19a2 Row "Number of Adults with Earned Income," Column "Performance Measure: Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Exit")	/	(19a1 Row "Number of Adults with Earned Income," Column "Total Adults (including those with No Income)"	+	19a2 Row "Number of Adults with Earned Income," Column "Total Adults (including those with No Income)")	=
Computation:		+		/		+		= #DIV/0!
CoC Average:	11%							
Max Points:	5							
Point Basis:	5 Points: 15% and Greater 4 Points: Between 13% and 15% 3 Points: Between 10% and 12% 2 Points: Between 8% and 9% 1 Point: Between 6% and 7% 0 Points: 5% or Less							
POINTS AWARDED:								

Measure:	Gained or Increased Non-Employment Cash Income								
Description:	Measures percent of clients who increased their income from non-employment sources, such as SSI and SSDI, while in your project. Helping clients access all of the non-employment income they qualify for improves their financial stability and ability to secure or maintain housing. This is a measure we are evaluated on as a CoC when reporting system performance measures.								
Applicable to:	RRH and TH (all)								
Data Source:	APR Questions 19a1 and 19a2								
Formula:	(19a1 Row "Number of Adults with Other Income," Column "Performance Measure: Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Annual Assessment"	+	19a2 Row "Number of Adults with Other Income," Column "Performance Measure: Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Exit")	/	(19a1 Row "Number of Adults with Other Income," Column "Total Adults (including those with No Income)"	+	19a2 Row "Number of Adults with Other Income," Column "Total Adults (including those with No Income)"	=	
Computation:		+		/		+		=	#DIV/0!
CoC Average:	6%								
Max Points:	5								
Point Basis:	5 Points: 11% and Greater 4 Points: Between 8% and 10% 3 Points: Between 5% and 7% 0 Points: 4% or Less								
POINTS AWARDED:									

OBJECTIVE CRITERIA: RAPID RETURN TO PERMANENT HOUSING AND SEVERITY OF BARRIERS EXPERIENCED BY PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS

Measure:	Analysis of Barriers - Disability						
Description:	Measures the percentage of clients served by your project with one or more disabling conditions. We recognize the barriers that persons with disabilities face in securing and maintaining housing relative to others. This measure acknowledges projects that disproportionately serve those clients.						
Applicable to:	RRH and TH (all)						
Data Source:	APR, Question 13a2						
Formula:	Total # of persons with one or more disabling conditions	/	(Total # of persons	-	Children in HH with Children and Adults with no disabling conditions)	=	
Computation:		/		-		=	#DIV/0!
CoC Average:	63%						
Max Points:	5						
Point Basis:	5 Points: 78% and Greater 4 Points: Between 68% and 77% 3 Points: Between 58% and 67% 2 Points: Between 48% and 57% 1 Point: Between 38% and 47% 0 Points: 37% or Less						
POINTS AWARDED:							

Measure:	Analysis of Barriers - History of Domestic Violence				
Description:	Measures the percentage of clients served by your project who are survivors of domestic violence. We recognize the barriers that survivors of DV face in securing housing. This measure acknowledges projects that disproportionately serve such clients.				
Applicable to:	RRH and TH (projects restricted to DV are not included in the CoC average)				
Data Source:	APR, Question 14a				
Formula:	Yes	/	Total	=	
Computation:		/		=	#DIV/0!
CoC Average:	47%				
Max Points:	5				
Point Basis:	5 Points: 62% and Greater 4 Points: Between 52% and 61% 3 Points: Between 42% and 51% 2 Points: Between 32% and 41% 1 Point: Between 22% and 31% 0 Points: 21% or Less				
POINTS AWARDED:					

Measure:	Analysis of Barriers - Adults with No Income at Entry				
Description:	Measures the percentage of adults served by your project who had no income at project entry. We recognize the barriers this group faces in securing housing. This measure acknowledges the projects that disproportionately serve such clients.				
Applicable to:	RRH and TH (all)				
Data Source:	APR, Question 18				
Formula:	Adults with No Income	/	Total Adults	=	
Computation:		/		=	#DIV/0!
CoC Average:	61%				
Max Points:	5				
Point Basis:	5 Points: 69% and Greater 4 Points: Between 64% and 68% 3 Points: Between 59% and 63% 2 Points: Between 54% and 58% 1 Point: Between 49% and 53% 0 Points: 48% or Less				

Measure:	Successful Placement in Permanent Housing		
Description:	Measures the percent of clients served in your project who moved into permanent housing (as opposed to those who exited the project without moving in or are still waiting to move into housing). Ensures most clients are actually securing housing once in the project.		
Applicable to:	RRH and PSH (No Joint RRH)		
Data Source:	APR, 22c		
Formula:	Total (person moved into housing)	/	Total =
Computation:		/	= #DIV/0!
CoC Average:	89%		
Max Points:	5		
Point Basis:	5 Points: 92% and Greater 3 Points: Between 87% and 91% 1 Point: Between 81% and 86% 0 Points: 80% or Less		
POINTS AWARDED:			

OTHER OBJECTIVE CRITERIA

Measure:	Expenditure of Grant Funds		
Description:	Measures the amount awarded that projects spent relative to total amount awarded.		
Applicable to:	All (excluding projects for whom FY24 was 1st operating year)		
Data Source:	HUD Spenddown Report		
Formula:	LOCCS Balance	/	Award =
Computation:		/	= #DIV/0!
CoC Average:	3.27%		
Max Points:	5		
Point Basis:	5 Points: Less than 1% Returned 4 Points: Between 1% and 2% Returned 3 Points: Between 3% and 4% Returned 2 Points: Between 5% and 6% Returned 1 Point: Between 6% and 7% Returned 0 Points: 7% or Greater Returned		
POINTS AWARDED:			

Measure:	Data Quality - Personally Identifiable Information		
Description:	Measures data quality of clients' personally identifiable information in HMIS (name, SSN, date of birth, gender, and race/ethnicity). CoC projects are required to collect these data elements for reporting. Capturing this data allows for more accurate reporting and analysis across the CoC.		
Applicable to:	All		
Data Source:	APR, 6a		
Formula:	Error Rate Below 5%	/	Data Elements =
Computation:		/	= 5 0.00%
Max Points:	2		
Point Basis:	2 Points: 5 out of 5 data elements (or 1 or fewer clients) with error rate below 5% 1.5 Points: 4 out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5% 1 Point: 3 out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5% .5 Points: 2 out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5% 0 Points: 1 or less out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5%		
POINTS AWARDED:			

Measure:	Data Quality - Universal Data Elements		
Description:	Measures data quality of additional Universal Data Elements, data elements required to be collected for all CoC projects. Capturing this data allows for more accurate reporting and analysis across the CoC.		
Applicable to:	All		
Data Source:	APR, 6b		
Formula:	Error Rate Below 5%	/	Data Elements =
Computation:		/	= 5 0.00%
Max Points:	2		
Point Basis:	2 Points: 5 out of 5 data elements (or 1 or fewer clients) with error rate below 5% 1.5 Points: 4 out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5% 1 Point: 3 out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5% .5 Points: 2 out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5% 0 Points: 1 or less out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5%		
POINTS AWARDED:			

Measure:	Data Quality - Income and Housing Data Quality			
Description:	Measures data quality of income and destination. These are required to be collected for all CoC projects. Capturing this data allows for more accurate reporting and analysis across the CoC.			
Applicable to:	All			
Data Source:	APR, 6c			
Formula:	Error Rate Below 5%	/	Data Elements	=
Computation:		/	4	= 0.00%
Max Points:	2			
Point Basis:	2 Points: 4 out of 4 data elements (or 1 or fewer clients) with error rate below 5% 1.5 Points: 3 out of 4 data elements with error rate below 5% 1 Point: 2 out of 4 data elements with error rate below 5% .5 Points: 1 out of 4 data elements with error rate below 5% 0 Points: 0 out of 4 data elements with error rate below 5%			
POINTS AWARDED:				

Measure:	Data Quality - Chronic Homelessness			
Description:	Measures percent of client records in project for which chronic homelessness cannot be determined based on responses (for example, number of times and months homeless wasn't answered). Chronically homeless clients are a particularly vulnerable population who it is important to ensure we are accurately reporting.			
Applicable to:	All			
Data Source:	APR, 6d			
Formula:	% of records unable to calculate			
Computation:				
Max Points:	2			
Point Basis:	2 Points: less than 2% of records unable to calculate 1 Point: 2-5% of records unable to calculate 0 Points: More than 5% of records unable to calculate			
POINTS AWARDED:				

Measure:	Data Quality - Timeliness of Data Entry			
Description:	Measures how quickly the project is entering client data into HMIS after the client enters the project. Timely data entry can reduce errors from too much time passing between data collection and entry, and it ensures data is accessible when needed. We advise projects enter data no more than 3 days after the client enters the project.			
Applicable to:	All			
Data Source:	APR, 6e			
Formula:	Project Start Records Entered in 3 or Less Days	/	Total Records	=
Computation:		/		= #DIV/0!
Max Points:	2			
Point Basis:	2 Points: 80% or more records entered within 3 days 1.5 Points: 70-79% of records entered within 3 days 1 Point: 65-69% of records entered within 3 days .5 Points: 60-64% of records entered within 3 days 0 Points: Less than 60% of records entered within 3 days			
POINTS AWARDED:				

Measure:	Bed Utilization					
Description:	Measures the percentage of available beds in the project that were in use during the reporting period. This is measured by observing enrollments at a point in time during each of the four quarters in the reporting period, relative to the number of beds in the project. Higher utilization means the project is using more of its available resources at any given time, and more clients are being housed/sheltered.					
Applicable to:	PSH, RRH, and TH (excluding projects for whom FY24 was the first operating year)					
Data Source:	APR, 7b and Application					
Formula:	(Total PIT of Persons for January, April, July, October	/	4)	/	Number of BEDS Indicated in Application	=
Computation:		/	4	/		= #DIV/0!
Max Points:	2					
Point Basis:	2 Points: Between 90% Utilization or Greater 1.5 Points: Between 85% and 89% Utilization 1 Point: Between 80% and 84% Utilization .5 Points: Between 75% and 79% Utilization 0 Points: 75% Utilization or Less					
POINTS AWARDED:						

Measure:	Housing First/Low Barrier			
Description:	Measures whether the project is low barrier (no barriers/stipulations to entry) and operates using Housing First principles.			
Applicable to:	All			
Data Source:	FY24 Application			
Computation:				
Max Points:	5			
Point Basis:	5 Points: Yes 0 Points: No			
POINTS AWARDED:				

OTHER CRITERIA

Measure:	Community Consulting Board Questionnaire and Racial Equity Narrative
Description:	
Applicable to:	All
Data Source:	Written Response
Max Points:	30
Point Basis:	Evaluation of Responses by CCB
POINTS AWARDED:	

SUBMISSION TIMELINESS

Scoring Criteria Submission Timeliness			
Item	Due	Submitted	On Time
Racial Equity Narrative	9-23-24		
CCB Questionnaire	9-23-24		
Draft Application	9-23-24		
Max Points:	3		
Point Basis:	One Point for Each Item Submitted On Time		
POINTS AWARDED:			

Max Points:	100
Points Awarded:	
Percentage:	

Louisville/Jefferson County Continuum of Care (KY-501) CoC NOFO Scoring Packet
 FY24 TH Renewals

Grant Name:	
Grantee:	
Grant Prefix:*	
Project Type:	TH

*The grant prefix is the first five digits of your grant number (i.e., KY0123)

OBJECTIVE CRITERIA: CoC PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT RELATED CRITERIA

Measure:	Exits to Permanent Housing				
Description:	Measures the percentage of clients served in your project who have left to another permanent destination. This is to gauge how well programs are doing at housing clients and keeping them housed. This is a measure we are evaluated on as a CoC when reporting system performance measures.				
Applicable to:	RRH and TH (all)				
Data Source:	APR, Q23c				
Formula:	Total # of persons exiting to a positive housing destination	/	Total # of persons exiting	-	Persons exiting to excluded destinations =
Computation:		/		-	= #DIV/0!
CoC Average:	92%				
Max Points:	10				
Point Basis:	10 Points: 95% and Greater 8 Points: Between 90% and 94% 6 Points: Between 85% and 89% 4 Points: Between 80% and 84% 2 Points: Between 75% and 79% 0 Points: 74.99% or Less				
POINTS AWARDED:					

Measure:	Gained or Increased Employment Income				
Description:	Measures percent of clients who increased their income from employment sources while in your project. Helping clients to increase their employment income improves their financial stability and ability to secure or maintain housing. This is a measure we are evaluated on as a CoC when reporting system performance measures.				
Applicable to:	RRH and TH (all)				
Data Source:	APR Questions 19a1 and 19a2				
Formula:	(19a1 Row "Number of Adults with Earned Income," Column "Performance Measure: Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Annual Assessment"	+	19a2 Row "Number of Adults with Earned Income," Column "Performance Measure: Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Exit")	/	(19a1 Row "Number of Adults with Earned Income," Column "Total Adults (including those with No Income)" + 19a2 Row "Number of Adults with Earned Income," Column "Total Adults (including those with No Income)") =
Computation:		+		/	+ = #DIV/0!
CoC Average:	11%				
Max Points:	5				
Point Basis:	5 Points: 15% and Greater 4 Points: Between 13% and 15% 3 Points: Between 10% and 12% 2 Points: Between 8% and 9% 1 Point: Between 6% and 7% 0 Points: 5% or Less				
POINTS AWARDED:					

Measure:	Gained or Increased Non-Employment Cash Income				
Description:	Measures percent of clients who increased their income from non-employment sources, such as SSI and SSDI, while in your project. Helping clients access all of the non-employment income they qualify for improves their financial stability and ability to secure or maintain housing. This is a measure we are evaluated on as a CoC when reporting system performance measures.				
Applicable to:	RRH and TH (all)				
Data Source:	APR Questions 19a1 and 19a2				
Formula:	(19a1 Row "Number of Adults with Other Income," Column "Performance Measure: Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Annual Assessment"	+	19a2 Row "Number of Adults with Other Income," Column "Performance Measure: Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Exit")	/	(19a1 Row "Number of Adults with Other Income," Column "Total Adults (including those with No Income)" + 19a2 Row "Number of Adults with Other Income," Column "Total Adults (including those with No Income)") =
Computation:		+		/	+ = #DIV/0!
CoC Average:	6%				
Max Points:	5				
Point Basis:	5 Points: 11% and Greater 4 Points: Between 8% and 10% 3 Points: Between 5% and 7% 0 Points: 4% or Less				
POINTS AWARDED:					

OBJECTIVE CRITERIA: RAPID RETURN TO PERMANENT HOUSING AND SEVERITY OF BARRIERS EXPERIENCED BY PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS

Measure:	Analysis of Barriers - Disability				
Description:	Measures the percentage of clients served by your project with one or more disabling conditions. We recognize the barriers that persons with disabilities face in securing and maintaining housing relative to others. This measure acknowledges projects that disproportionately serve those clients.				
Applicable to:	RRH and TH (all)				
Data Source:	APR, Question 13a2				
Formula:	Total # of persons with one or more disabling conditions	/	(Total # of persons	-	Children in HH with Children and Adults with no disabling conditions)
Computation:		/		-	= #DIV/0!
CoC Average:	63%				
Max Points:	5				
Point Basis:	5 Points: 78% and Greater 4 Points: Between 68% and 77% 3 Points: Between 58% and 67% 2 Points: Between 48% and 57% 1 Point: Between 38% and 47% 0 Points: 37% or Less				
POINTS AWARDED:					

Measure:	Analysis of Barriers - History of Domestic Violence			
Description:	Measures the percentage of clients served by your project who are survivors of domestic violence. We recognize the barriers that survivors of DV face in securing housing. This measure acknowledges projects that disproportionately serve such clients.			
Applicable to:	RRH and TH (projects restricted to DV are not included in the CoC average)			
Data Source:	APR, Question 14a			
Formula:	Yes	/	Total	=
Computation:		/		= #DIV/0!
CoC Average:	47%			
Max Points:	5			
Point Basis:	5 Points: 62% and Greater 4 Points: Between 52% and 61% 3 Points: Between 42% and 51% 2 Points: Between 32% and 41% 1 Point: Between 22% and 31% 0 Points: 21% or Less			
POINTS AWARDED:				

Measure:	Analysis of Barriers - Adults with No Income at Entry			
Description:	Measures the percentage of adults served by your project who had no income at project entry. We recognize the barriers this group faces in securing housing. This measure acknowledges the projects that disproportionately serve such clients.			
Applicable to:	RRH and TH (all)			
Data Source:	APR, Question 18			
Formula:	Adults with No Income	/	Total Adults	=
Computation:		/		= #DIV/0!
CoC Average:	61%			
Max Points:	5			
Point Basis:	5 Points: 69% and Greater 4 Points: Between 64% and 68% 3 Points: Between 59% and 63% 2 Points: Between 54% and 58% 1 Point: Between 49% and 53% 0 Points: 48% or Less			

OTHER OBJECTIVE CRITERIA

Measure:	Expenditure of Grant Funds		
Description:	Measures the amount awarded that projects spent relative to total amount awarded.		
Applicable to:	All (excluding projects for whom FY24 was 1st operating year)		
Data Source:	HUD Spenddown Report		
Formula:	LOCCS Balance	/	Award =
Computation:		/	= #DIV/0!
CoC Average:	3.27%		
Max Points:	5		
Point Basis:	5 Points: Less than 1% Returned 4 Points: Between 1% and 2% Returned 3 Points: Between 3% and 4% Returned 2 Points: Between 5% and 6% Returned 1 Point: Between 6% and 7% Returned 0 Points: 7% or Greater Returned		
POINTS AWARDED:			

Measure:	Data Quality - Personally Identifiable Information		
Description:	Measures data quality of clients' personally identifiable information in HMIS (name, SSN, date of birth, gender, and race/ethnicity). CoC projects are required to collect these data elements for reporting. Capturing this data allows for more accurate reporting and analysis across the CoC.		
Applicable to:	All		
Data Source:	APR, 6a		
Formula:	Error Rate Below 5%	/	Data Elements =
Computation:		/	= 0.00%
Max Points:	2		
Point Basis:	2 Points: 5 out of 5 data elements (or 1 or fewer clients) with error rate below 5% 1.5 Points: 4 out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5% 1 Point: 3 out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5% .5 Points: 2 out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5% 0 Points: 1 or less out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5%		
POINTS AWARDED:			

Measure:	Data Quality - Universal Data Elements		
Description:	Measures data quality of additional Universal Data Elements, data elements required to be collected for all CoC projects. Capturing this data allows for more accurate reporting and analysis across the CoC.		
Applicable to:	All		
Data Source:	APR, 6b		
Formula:	Error Rate Below 5%	/	Data Elements =
Computation:		/	= 0.00%
Max Points:	2		
Point Basis:	2 Points: 5 out of 5 data elements (or 1 or fewer clients) with error rate below 5% 1.5 Points: 4 out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5% 1 Point: 3 out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5% .5 Points: 2 out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5% 0 Points: 1 or less out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5%		
POINTS AWARDED:			

Measure:	Data Quality - Income and Housing Data Quality		
Description:	Measures data quality of income and destination. These are required to be collected for all CoC projects. Capturing this data allows for more accurate reporting and analysis across the CoC.		
Applicable to:	All		
Data Source:	APR, 6c		
Formula:	Error Rate Below 5%	/	Data Elements =
Computation:		/	= 0.00%
Max Points:	2		
Point Basis:	2 Points: 4 out of 4 data elements (or 1 or fewer clients) with error rate below 5% 1.5 Points: 3 out of 4 data elements with error rate below 5% 1 Point: 2 out of 4 data elements with error rate below 5% .5 Points: 1 out of 4 data elements with error rate below 5% 0 Points: 0 out of 4 data elements with error rate below 5%		
POINTS AWARDED:			

Measure:	Data Quality - Chronic Homelessness		
Description:	Measures percent of client records in project for which chronic homelessness cannot be determined based on responses (for example, number of times and months homeless wasn't answered). Chronically homeless clients are a particularly vulnerable population who it is important to ensure we are accurately reporting.		
Applicable to:	All		
Data Source:	APR, 6d		
Formula:	% of records unable to calculate		
Computation:			
Max Points:	2		
Point Basis:	2 Points: less than 2% of records unable to calculate 1 Point: 2-5% of records unable to calculate 0 Points: More than 5% of records unable to calculate		
POINTS AWARDED:			

Measure:	Data Quality - Timeliness of Data Entry			
Description:	Measures how quickly the project is entering client data into HMIS after the client enters the project. Timely data entry can reduce errors from too much time passing between data collection and entry, and it ensures data is accessible when needed. We advise projects enter data no more than 3 days after the client enters the project.			
Applicable to:	All			
Data Source:	APR, 6e			
Formula:	Project Start Records Entered in 3 or Less Days	/	Total Records	=
Computation:		/		= #DIV/0!
Max Points:	2			
Point Basis:	2 Points: 80% or more records entered within 3 days 1.5 Points: 70-79% of records entered within 3 days 1 Point: 65-69% of records entered within 3 days .5 Points: 60-64% of records entered within 3 days 0 Points: Less than 60% of records entered within 3 days			
POINTS AWARDED:				

Measure:	Bed Utilization					
Description:	Measures the percentage of available beds in the project that were in use during the reporting period. This is measured by observing enrollments at a point in time during each of the four quarters in the reporting period, relative to the number of beds in the project. Higher utilization means the project is using more of its available resources at any given time, and more clients are being housed/sheltered.					
Applicable to:	PSH, RRH, and TH (excluding projects for whom FY24 was the first operating year)					
Data Source:	APR, 7b and Application					
Formula:	(Total PIT of Persons for January, April, July, October	/	4)	/	Number of BEDS Indicated in Application	=
Computation:		/	4	/		= #DIV/0!
Max Points:	2					
Point Basis:	2 Points: Between 90% Utilization or Greater 1.5 Points: Between 85% and 89% Utilization 1 Point: Between 80% and 84% Utilization .5 Points: Between 75% and 79% Utilization 0 Points: 75% Utilization or Less					
POINTS AWARDED:						

Measure:	Housing First/Low Barrier	
Description:	Measures whether the project is low barrier (no barriers/stipulations to entry) and operates using Housing First principles.	
Applicable to:	All	
Data Source:	FY24 Application	
Computation:		
Max Points:	5	
Point Basis:	5 Points: Yes 0 Points: No	
POINTS AWARDED:		

OTHER CRITERIA

Measure:	Community Consulting Board Questionnaire and Racial Equity Narrative	
Description:		
Applicable to:	All	
Data Source:	Written Response	
Max Points:	30	
Point Basis:	Evaluation of Responses by CCB	
POINTS AWARDED:		

SUBMISSION TIMELINESS

Scoring Criteria Submission Timeliness			
Item	Due	Submitted	On Time
Racial Equity Narrative	9-23-24		
CCB Questionnaire	9-23-24		
Draft Application	9-23-24		
Max Points:	3		
Point Basis:	One Point for Each Item Submitted On Time		
POINTS AWARDED:			

Max Points:	90
Points Awarded:	
Percentage:	

Louisville/Jefferson County Continuum of Care (KY-501) CoC NOFO Scoring Packet
 FY24 TH-RRH Renewals

Grant Name:	
Grantee:	
Grant Prefix:*	
Project Type:	TH-RRH

*The grant prefix is the first five digits of your grant number (i.e., KY0123)

OBJECTIVE CRITERIA: CoC PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT RELATED CRITERIA

Measure:	Exits to Permanent Housing					
Description:	Measures the percentage of clients served in your project who have left to another permanent destination. This is to gauge how well programs are doing at housing clients and keeping them housed. This is a measure we are evaluated on as a CoC when reporting system performance measures.					
Applicable to:	RRH, TH (all), TH-RRH Combined					
Data Source:	APR, Q23c					
Formula:	Total # of persons exiting to a positive housing destination	/	Total # of persons exiting	-	Persons exiting to excluded destinations	=
Computation:		/		-		= #DIV/0!
CoC Average:	92%					
Max Points:	10					
Point Basis:	10 Points: 95% and Greater 8 Points: Between 90% and 94% 6 Points: Between 85% and 89% 4 Points: Between 80% and 84% 2 Points: Between 75% and 79% 0 Points: 74.99% or Less					
POINTS AWARDED:						

Measure:	Gained or Increased Employment Income							
Description:	Measures percent of clients who increased their income from employment sources while in your project. Helping clients to increase their employment income improves their financial stability and ability to secure or maintain housing. This is a measure we are evaluated on as a CoC when reporting system performance measures.							
Applicable to:	RRH, TH (all), TH-RRH Combined							
Data Source:	APR Questions 19a1 and 19a2							
Formula:	(19a1 Row "Number of Adults with Earned Income," Column "Performance Measure: Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Annual Assessment"	+	19a2 Row "Number of Adults with Earned Income," Column "Performance Measure: Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Exit")	/	(19a1 Row "Number of Adults with Earned Income," Column "Total Adults (including those with No Income)")	+	19a2 Row "Number of Adults with Earned Income," Column "Total Adults (including those with No Income)")	=
Computation:		+		/		+		= #DIV/0!
CoC Average:	11%							
Max Points:	5							
Point Basis:	5 Points: 15% and Greater 4 Points: Between 13% and 15% 3 Points: Between 10% and 12% 2 Points: Between 8% and 9% 1 Point: Between 6% and 7% 0 Points: 5% or Less							
POINTS AWARDED:								

Measure:	Gained or Increased Non-Employment Cash Income							
Description:	Measures percent of clients who increased their income from non-employment sources, such as SSI and SSDI, while in your project. Helping clients access all of the non-employment income they qualify for improves their financial stability and ability to secure or maintain housing. This is a measure we are evaluated on as a CoC when reporting system performance measures.							
Applicable to:	RRH, TH (all), TH-RRH Combined							
Data Source:	APR Questions 19a1 and 19a2							
Formula:	(19a1 Row "Number of Adults with Other Income," Column "Performance Measure: Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Annual Assessment"	+	19a2 Row "Number of Adults with Other Income," Column "Performance Measure: Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Exit")	/	(19a1 Row "Number of Adults with Other Income," Column "Total Adults (including those with No Income)")	+	19a2 Row "Number of Adults with Other Income," Column "Total Adults (including those with No Income)")	=
Computation:		+		/		+		= #DIV/0!
CoC Average:	6%							
Max Points:	5							
Point Basis:	5 Points: 11% and Greater 4 Points: Between 8% and 10% 3 Points: Between 5% and 7% 0 Points: 4% or Less							
POINTS AWARDED:								

OBJECTIVE CRITERIA: RAPID RETURN TO PERMANENT HOUSING AND SEVERITY OF BARRIERS EXPERIENCED BY PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS

Measure:	Analysis of Barriers - Disability				
Description:	Measures the percentage of clients served by your project with one or more disabling conditions. We recognize the barriers that persons with disabilities face in securing and maintaining housing relative to others. This measure acknowledges projects that disproportionately serve those clients.				
Applicable to:	RRH, TH (all), TH-RRH Combined				
Data Source:	APR, Question 13a2				
Formula:	Total # of persons with one or more disabling conditions	/	(Total # of persons	-	Children in HH with Children and Adults with no disabling conditions)
Computation:		/		-	= #DIV/0!
CoC Average:	63%				
Max Points:	5				
Point Basis:	5 Points: 78% and Greater 4 Points: Between 68% and 77% 3 Points: Between 58% and 67% 2 Points: Between 48% and 57% 1 Point: Between 38% and 47% 0 Points: 37% or Less				
POINTS AWARDED:					

Measure:	Analysis of Barriers - History of Domestic Violence				
Description:	Measures the percentage of clients served by your project who are survivors of domestic violence. We recognize the barriers that survivors of DV face in securing housing. This measure acknowledges projects that disproportionately serve such clients.				
Applicable to:	RRH, TH (all), TH-RRH Combined (projects restricted to DV are not included in the CoC average)				
Data Source:	APR, Question 14a				
Formula:	Yes	/	Total	=	
Computation:		/		=	#DIV/0!
CoC Average:	47%				
Max Points:	5				
Point Basis:	5 Points: 62% and Greater 4 Points: Between 52% and 61% 3 Points: Between 42% and 51% 2 Points: Between 32% and 41% 1 Point: Between 22% and 31% 0 Points: 21% or Less				
POINTS AWARDED:					

Measure:	Analysis of Barriers - Adults with No Income at Entry				
Description:	Measures the percentage of adults served by your project who had no income at project entry. We recognize the barriers this group faces in securing housing. This measure acknowledges the projects that disproportionately serve such clients.				
Applicable to:	RRH, TH (all), TH-RRH Combined				
Data Source:	APR, Question 18				
Formula:	Adults with No Income	/	Total Adults	=	
Computation:		/		=	#DIV/0!
CoC Average:	61%				
Max Points:	5				
Point Basis:	5 Points: 69% and Greater 4 Points: Between 64% and 68% 3 Points: Between 59% and 63% 2 Points: Between 54% and 58% 1 Point: Between 49% and 53% 0 Points: 48% or Less				
POINTS AWARDED:					

OTHER OBJECTIVE CRITERIA

Measure:	Expenditure of Grant Funds		
Description:	Measures the amount awarded that projects spent relative to total amount awarded.		
Applicable to:	All (excluding projects for whom FY24 was 1st operating year)		
Data Source:	HUD Spenddown Report		
Formula:	LOCCS Balance	/	Award =
Computation:		/	= #DIV/0!
CoC Average:	3.27%		
Max Points:	5		
Point Basis:	5 Points: Less than 1% Returned 4 Points: Between 1% and 2% Returned 3 Points: Between 3% and 4% Returned 2 Points: Between 5% and 6% Returned 1 Point: Between 6% and 7% Returned 0 Points: 7% or Greater Returned		
POINTS AWARDED:			

Measure:	Data Quality - Personally Identifiable Information		
Description:	Measures data quality of clients' personally identifiable information in HMIS (name, SSN, date of birth, gender, and race/ethnicity). CoC projects are required to collect these data elements for reporting. Capturing this data allows for more accurate reporting and analysis across the CoC.		
Applicable to:	All		
Data Source:	APR, 6a		
Formula:	Error Rate Below 5%	/	Data Elements =
Computation:		/	= 0.00%
Max Points:	2		
Point Basis:	2 Points: 5 out of 5 data elements (or 1 or fewer clients) with error rate below 5% 1.5 Points: 4 out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5% 1 Point: 3 out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5% .5 Points: 2 out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5% 0 Points: 1 or less out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5%		
POINTS AWARDED:			

Measure:	Data Quality - Universal Data Elements		
Description:	Measures data quality of additional Universal Data Elements, data elements required to be collected for all CoC projects. Capturing this data allows for more accurate reporting and analysis across the CoC.		
Applicable to:	All		
Data Source:	APR, 6b		
Formula:	Error Rate Below 5%	/	Data Elements =
Computation:		/	= 0.00%
Max Points:	2		
Point Basis:	2 Points: 5 out of 5 data elements (or 1 or fewer clients) with error rate below 5% 1.5 Points: 4 out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5% 1 Point: 3 out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5% .5 Points: 2 out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5% 0 Points: 1 or less out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5%		
POINTS AWARDED:			

Measure:	Data Quality - Income and Housing Data Quality		
Description:	Measures data quality of income and destination. These are required to be collected for all CoC projects. Capturing this data allows for more accurate reporting and analysis across the CoC.		
Applicable to:	All		
Data Source:	APR, 6c		
Formula:	Error Rate Below 5%	/	Data Elements =
Computation:		/	= 0.00%
Max Points:	2		
Point Basis:	2 Points: 4 out of 4 data elements (or 1 or fewer clients) with error rate below 5% 1.5 Points: 3 out of 4 data elements with error rate below 5% 1 Point: 2 out of 4 data elements with error rate below 5% .5 Points: 1 out of 4 data elements with error rate below 5% 0 Points: 0 out of 4 data elements with error rate below 5%		
POINTS AWARDED:			

Measure:	Data Quality - Chronic Homelessness		
Description:	Measures percent of client records in project for which chronic homelessness cannot be determined based on responses (for example, number of times and months homeless wasn't answered). Chronically homeless clients are a particularly vulnerable population who it is important to ensure we are accurately reporting.		
Applicable to:	All		
Data Source:	APR, 6d		
Formula:	% of records unable to calculate		
Computation:			
Max Points:	2		
Point Basis:	2 Points: less than 2% of records unable to calculate 1 Point: 2-5% of records unable to calculate 0 Points: More than 5% of records unable to calculate		
POINTS AWARDED:			

Measure:	Data Quality - Timeliness of Data Entry			
Description:	Measures how quickly the project is entering client data into HMIS after the client enters the project. Timely data entry can reduce errors from too much time passing between data collection and entry, and it ensures data is accessible when needed. We advise projects enter data no more than 3 days after the client enters the project.			
Applicable to:	All			
Data Source:	APR, 6e			
Formula:	Project Start Records Entered in 3 or Less Days	/	Total Records	=
Computation:		/		= #DIV/0!
Max Points:	2			
Point Basis:	2 Points: 80% or more records entered within 3 days 1.5 Points: 70-79% of records entered within 3 days 1 Point: 65-69% of records entered within 3 days .5 Points: 60-64% of records entered within 3 days 0 Points: Less than 60% of records entered within 3 days			
POINTS AWARDED:				

Measure:	Housing First/Low Barrier			
Description:	Measures whether the project is low barrier (no barriers/stipulations to entry) and operates using Housing First principles.			
Applicable to:	All			
Data Source:	FY24 Application			
Computation:				
Max Points:	5			
Point Basis:	5 Points: Yes 0 Points: No			
POINTS AWARDED:				

OTHER CRITERIA

Measure:	Community Consulting Board Questionnaire and Racial Equity Narrative			
Description:				
Applicable to:	All			
Data Source:	Written Response			
Max Points:	30			
Point Basis:	Evaluation of Responses by CCB			
POINTS AWARDED:				

SUBMISSION TIMELINESS

Scoring Criteria Submission Timeliness			
Item	Due	Submitted	On Time
Racial Equity Narrative	9-23-24		
CCB Questionnaire	9-23-24		
Draft Application	9-23-24		
Max Points:	3		
Point Basis:	One Point for Each Item Submitted On Time		
POINTS AWARDED:			

Max Points:	88
Points Awarded:	0
Percentage:	0.00%

Louisville/Jefferson County Continuum of Care FY24 Renewal Project Scoring and Ranking Supplemental Questionnaire

This questionnaire must be completed by ALL PSH, RRH, TH, and TH-RRH renewal project applicants.

If your agency holds multiple CoC grants you may submit one response for multiple grants **IF** the policies are the same across all grants. If policies differ across grants or you hold multiple project types (i.e., RRH and PSH), please submit separate responses for each. **The overall questionnaire is worth 30 points.**

The below questions were created by the Community Consulting Board (CCB) and edited by CoC staff. The CCB is a board of individuals with lived experience of homelessness. CoC staff will provide guidance and technical assistance, but the CCB will be responsible for scoring the responses provided below. Please consider the audience when crafting your responses and avoid using jargon and acronyms whenever possible.

Questionnaires are to be returned to bscott@louhomeless.org by **Monday, September 23, 2024**. Failure to return this questionnaire by the due date will result in a loss of points on the FY24 Renewal Project Scoring.

Agency:

Person Completing:

Projects Included:

- 1) How many attempts are made to locate a client after they are referred to a housing program? Describe what steps you take to meet a client where they are and what assistance you offer to ensure the referred client gets housed. (3 points)
- 2) Does your program offer housing navigation support to help clients search for housing? Describe the extent of this support. (2 points)
- 3) Is a thorough needs assessment being conducted with the client upon entry to the program? Describe that assessment and how it may apply to different subpopulations, such as the severely mentally ill or those who are actively using substances. (2 points)
- 4) When working with clients who are actively using substances, describe how you assess their risk and what harm reduction strategies are used. Please provide detail on how you follow up with the client. (2 points)

- 5) What is the current average caseload for a client in your housing program? (1 point)
- 6) What types of supports are being offered to program staff to mitigate burnout? (2 points)
- 7) Are program staff adequately trained and competent with evidence based, client-centered practices, harm reduction strategies, motivational interviewing, and critical time interventions? Describe the training staff receive. (2 points)
- 8) Do any of the housing case managers in your program have lived experience? If not, does your staff have access to peer support specialists who do have lived experience? (2 points)
- 9) How frequently are case managers attempting to communicate with clients? (2 points)
- 10) How does your program handle hard to reach clients? Describe the steps you take to make contact with them. (2 points)
- 11) Please describe what kind of things your program staff are assessing with clients during monthly case management appointments or home visits. (2 points)
- 12) Do you consider your program Housing First? Please describe what this means to your agency. (3 points)
- 13) Please provide a description of any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach, background check policies, adverse rental history) your project has identified faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and what steps you have taken or will take to eliminate the identified barriers. Additionally, please describe how you identified those barriers (HMIS data, client interviews, etc.). (5 points)

**Louisville/Jefferson County Continuum of Care
 FY24 NOFO CCB Supplemental Questionnaire
 Project Scoring and Ranking Sheet**

CCB Member Names

Scoring Project:

Scoring Framework

Max Points: Applicant provided a clear answer that addressed all parts of the narrative requests.

Low to Zero Points: Applicant did not address the scoring criteria or did not provide a reasonable or understandable answer.

Criteria	Max Points	Points Earned
1) Answer describes the thoroughness of attempts made.	3	
2) At minimum, housing navigation support should consist of the following activities: real-time housing search for client, application assistance, transportation to view units, and upon move-in the case manager or program staff should also search for resources that assist client with security deposit, basic furniture and household items.	2	
3) If the LouCat Assessment is the only needs assessment included in the answer provided then only 1 point can be awarded for this category. Full points can be awarded if the response includes any language or policies around additional Internal or CoC Sub-Assessments that are based on certain needs that a client reports, especially for persons reporting a mental illness or active substance use disorder. Connecting clients to other qualified agencies for assessments can also be counted.	2	
4) For active substance users, a Harm Reduction Sub-Assessment should collect the following information: -Assess type of substance(s) being used -Frequency and severity of use -How much of the substance is needed to prevent sickness and how long that dose lasts -Discussion about the reasons why they are using substances -Harm reduction resources and educational materials are offered to clients -Mental health resources are offered -Follow ups are made by case manager to determine if they are using any of the resources suggested	2	
5) Ideal maximum caseload should be no more than 20 intensive clients at a time.	1	
6) Examples include: ensuring that the appropriate people are hired for these positions, screening for their dedication and passion to work with this population, manageable caseloads, on-going internal case conferencing so that challenging cases can be shared between staff as needed, weekly or bi-weekly supervision, employee assistance programs that offer therapy to staff, teaching and enforcing boundaries, holding each other accountable on sticking to boundaries, occasional recreational days where office is closed for a staff outing, case management retreats, offering the option to work	2	

remotely (this can be staggered so there is always office coverage as staff rotate remote work days).		
7) Training key words in the answer provided by the applicant should include one or more of the following: motivational interviewing, critical time intervention, harm reduction strategies, trauma-informed care, client-centered practices, evidence-based, best practice.	2	
8) Yes or No If no, program staff should have access to peer support specialists that do have lived experience.	2	
9) Ultimately, this will differ case by case, a complete answer will provide information on how this can vary based on client need.	2	
10) All methods of communication should be utilized to get in touch with hard to reach clients such as text messaging, phone calls, home visit attempts that include asking neighbors if they have seen the client, reach out to any emergency contacts client listed, contact landlord to ask if they have seen them or had any recent interactions.	2	
11) Answer provides details about the activities case managers are engaging in with clients during monthly visits. Key words should include one or more of the following: needs assessment, referrals to wrap around services (mental health, food, healthcare, education, income, childcare, transportation etc.), checking in with clients about any maintenance issues or lease violations in the unit and creating a plan together to address them.	2	
12) Some of the Housing First key words that should be present in the answer applicant provided are as follows: -Program services are easy to access or are low barrier -Services are available to persons with no sobriety, medication, or treatment requirements -Services are available to all persons even if they have a criminal background or are currently involved with the legal system in any way -People who need services can utilize them even if they currently receive little to no income -Leases for persons in housing programs should not have unreasonable or unusual conditions in them -Language around accommodations, client choice and prioritizing client needs	3	
13) Answer should include some or all of the following language, what is listed below can be framed as both a barrier and a solution in the answer provided: -Persons of color are overrepresented in our local homeless population -Obtaining documentation -Lack of outreach/improving outreach efforts -Negative rental history -Revising internal policies that seek to improve access and participation -State and local level advocacy -No background check requirements -Partner with landlords that aren't overly strict about certain things such as passing a background check to receive housing	5	

-Prevent lease and voucher terminations -Finding resources to help with utility arrears -Multi-bedroom homes for large families		
TOTAL:	30	

**Louisville/Jefferson County Continuum of Care
FY24 NOFO
SSO-CE and HMIS Renewal Threshold Review**

Reviewer:

Grantee:

Project Name:

Project Type:

Draft submission was received in e-snaps by Monday, September 23, 2024.

Most Recent APR was submitted by the due date OR and extension was granted by the HUD Field Office OR, if APR was due when report was not available in HMIS, report was submitted in a timely manner once it was available.

Less than 5% of funding was deobligated in the FY21 grant year.

Project meets the eligibility requirements for Coordinated Entry based on responses provided in the s-snaps project application. (SSO-CE only)

Louisville/Jefferson County Continuum of Care

FY24 NOFO

New Project Scoring and Ranking Sheet: PSH, RRH, and TH-RRH

Grantee:

Project Name:

Project Type:

Criteria	Max Points	Points Earned
Application was received in eSnaps by the established deadline.	2.5	
Required narrative was submitted to the collaborative applicant by the established deadline.	2.5	
Extent to which the applicant: 1. Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2. Demonstrates type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3. Demonstrates that type and scale of all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the needs of clients to be served.	15	
Applicant clearly articulated need for project	5	
Applicant describes the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs.	10	
Applicant demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits.	5	
Applicant demonstrated project meets the Housing First Model.	10	
Applicant demonstrated willingness to work within the Coordinated Entry system.	5	
Applicant established an implementation timeline that demonstrated how the project will move from funding announcement to full capacity in accordance with HUD deadlines.	5	
Lived Experience	5	
Applicant attached a commitment letter demonstrating 25% leveraged housing resources as detailed in page 106 of the NOFO.	10	
Applicant attached a commitment letter demonstrating leveraged healthcare resources as detailed in page 107 of the NOFO.	10	
Community Consulting Board and Racial Equity Questionnaire	30	
Applicant documented required match amount.	5	
Budget costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable.	5	
CoC Membership ranking from presentation day.	10	
BONUS: BIPOC or Persons with Lived Experience lead organization	5	
TOTAL:	135	

Louisville/Jefferson County Continuum of Care FY24 NOFO New Project Scoring and Ranking Narrative PSH, RRH, and TH-RRH

Provide a narrative response of no more than five pages single spaced that addresses the following items. This narrative will be scored by CoC staff and provided to the full CoC Board for review.

Narrative responses must be submitted to bscott@louhomeless.org by **Monday, September 23.**

Project Description: Provide a detailed description of the scope of the project including:

- How many units the project will have and the projected household makeup of these units;
- the target population(s) to be served;
- project plan for addressing the identified housing and supportive service needs (detail all supportive services to offered, regardless of supportive service funding source);
- anticipated project outcome(s);
- coordination with other organizations (e.g., federal, state, nonprofit); and
- how the CoC Program funding will be used. (15 points)

Project Need: Provide a narrative description for the need for your project. Use quantitative data if at all possible. (5 Points)

Obtaining and Maintaining Permanent Housing: Provide a narrative description that details your project's plan to assist participants obtaining and maintain permanent housing in a manner that fits their needs. (10 Points)

Mainstream Benefits: Provide a narrative description that details your project's plan to individually assist program participants obtain the benefits of mainstream health, social, and employment programs, for which they are eligible to apply and meet their needs (e.g., Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, SNAP, etc.). (5 Points)

Housing First: Provide a narrative description of how your project adheres to Housing First principles including eligibility criteria, process for accepting new clients, and process and criteria for exiting clients. (10 Points)

Coordinated Entry. Describe your process for requesting clients from Coordinated Entry (the Common Assessment Team) and how your staff will work with Coordinated Entry to ensure referrals are connected for intake and housing. (5 Points)

Project Timeline: Provide a timeline for the commencement of your project from funding announcement to achieving full capacity. (5 Points)

Lived Experience: Describe your plan for involving persons with lived experience of homelessness in project design and implementation as well as the plan for including these persons in ongoing decision making. (5 Points)

Bonus: Please indicate if you are a BIPOC lead organization or organization lead by persons with lived experience of homelessness. (5 Points)

Louisville/Jefferson County Continuum of Care

FY24 NOFO

New Project Scoring and Ranking Sheet: PSH, RRH, and TH-RRH

Reviewer:

Grantee:

Project Name:

Project Type:

Scoring Framework

Max Points: Applicant provided a clear answer that addressed all parts of the narrative requests.

Mid Points: Applicants provided an answer that addressed some/most parts of the narrative requests but was unclear.

Low to Zero Points: Applicant did not address the scoring criteria or did not provide a reasonable or understandable answer.

Criteria	Max Points	Points Earned
Extent to which the applicant: 1. Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2. Demonstrates type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3. Demonstrates that type and scale of all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the needs of clients to be served.	15	
Applicant clearly articulated need for project	5	
Applicant describes the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs.	10	

Applicant demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits.	5	
Applicant demonstrated project shows fidelity to the Housing First Model.	10	
Applicant demonstrated a willingness to work within the Coordinated Entry system and a reasonable plan for connecting to referrals.	5	
Applicant established an implementation timeline that demonstrated how the project will move from funding announcement to full capacity in accordance with HUD deadlines.	5	
Lived Experience	5	
BONUS: BIPOC or Persons with Lived Experience lead organization	5	

Any Additional Comments

--

Louisville/Jefferson County Continuum of Care

FY24 NOFO

New Project Scoring and Ranking Sheet: PSH and RRH (DV Bonus)

Grantee:

Project Name:

Project Type:

Criteria	Max Points	Points Earned
Application was received in eSnaps by the established deadline.	2.5	
Required narrative was submitted to the collaborative applicant by the established deadline.	2.5	
Applicant Experience in Housing Placement and Retention.	5	
Applicant Experience in Providing Housing to DV Survivors.	5	
Applicant Experience in Ensuring DV Survivor Safety.	10	
Applicant Experience in Evaluating Their Ability to Ensure DV Survivor Safety.	5	
Applicant's Experience in Placing and Stabilizing Survivors in Permanent Housing Using Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches.	5	
Applicant Experience in Trauma-Informed, Victim-Centered Approaches	10	
Applicant Experience in Meeting Service Needs of DV Survivors.	10	
Plan for Placing and Stabilizing Survivors in Permanent Housing Using Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches	5	
Plan for Trauma-Informed, Victim-Centered Practices.	10	
Involving Survivors in Policy and Program Development, Operations, and Evaluation.	10	
Applicant attached a commitment letter demonstrating 25% leveraged housing resources as detailed in page 103 of the NOFO.	5	
Applicant attached a commitment letter demonstrating leveraged healthcare resources as detailed in page 104 of the NOFO.	5	
CCB and Racial Equity Questionnaire	30	
Applicant documented required match amount.	5	
Budget costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable.	5	
CoC Membership ranking from presentation day.	10	
TOTAL:	140	

Louisville/Jefferson County Continuum of Care FY24 NOFO DV Bonus New Project Scoring and Ranking Narrative RRH and TH-RRH

Provide a narrative response that addresses the following items. This narrative will be scored by CoC staff and provided to the full CoC Board for review.

Addition information on the required elements for each question are available on pages 63 – 69 of [FY2024 and 2025 Continuum of Care Detailed Instructions for Collaborative Applicants](#).

Narrative responses must be submitted to bscott@louhomeless.org by Monday, September 23.

Rate of Housing Placement: Provide your agencies rate of housing placement for DV Survivors (as a percentage) and rate of housing retention for DV Survivors (as percentage).

Applicant Experience in Housing Placement and Retention. NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d) (Limit 1500 characters)

For the rate of housing placement and rate of housing retention of DV survivors reported in the question above describe:

1. how the project applicant calculated both rates;
2. whether the rates accounts for exits to safe housing destinations;
3. how the project applicant calculated the rate of housing retention; and
4. the data source (e.g., comparable databases, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects).

Applicant Experience in Providing Housing to DV Survivors. NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d) (Limit 2500 characters)

Describe how the project applicant:

1. ensured DV survivors experiencing homelessness were quickly moved into safe affordable housing;
2. prioritized survivors—you must address the process the project applicant used, e.g., Coordinated Entry, prioritization list, CoC's emergency transfer plan, etc.;
3. determined which supportive services survivors needed;
4. connected survivors to supportive services; and
5. moved clients from assisted housing to housing they could sustain—address housing stability after the housing subsidy ends.

Applicant Experience in Ensuring DV Survivor Safety. NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d) (Limit 2500 characters)

Describe examples of how the project applicant ensured the safety and confidentiality of DV survivors experiencing homelessness by:

1. taking steps to ensure privacy/confidentiality during the intake and interview process to minimize potential coercion of survivors;
2. making determinations and placements into safe housing;
3. keeping information and locations confidential;
4. training staff on safety and confidentiality policies and practices; and
5. taking security measures for units (congregate or scattered site), that support survivors' physical safety and location confidentiality.

Applicant Experience in Evaluating Their Ability to Ensure DV Survivor Safety. NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d) (Limit 2500 characters)

Describe how the project has evaluated its ability to ensure the safety of DV survivors the project served in the project, including any areas identified for improvement during the course of the proposed project.

Applicant's Experience in Placing and Stabilizing Survivors in Permanent Housing Using Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches. NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d) (Limit 2500 characters)

Describe the project applicant's experience in:

1. prioritizing placement and stabilization of survivors;
2. placing survivors in permanent housing;
3. placing and stabilizing survivors consistent with their preferences; and
4. placing and stabilizing survivors consistent with their stated needs.

Applicant Experience in Trauma-Informed, Victim-Centered Approaches. NOFO Section I.B.3.l.(1)(d) (Limit 5000 characters)

Describe examples of the project applicant's experience using trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches to meet needs of DV survivors by:

1. establishing and maintaining an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant staff interactions are based on equality and minimize power differentials;
2. providing program participants access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing program participants with information on the effects of trauma;
3. emphasizing program participants' strengths, e.g., strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans worked towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;
4. centering on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;
5. providing a variety of opportunities for connection for program participants, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and

6. offering support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.

Applicant Experience in Meeting Service Needs of DV Survivors. NOFO Section I.B.3.I.(1)(d) (Limit 5000 characters)

Describe examples of supportive services the project provided to domestic violence survivors while quickly moving them into permanent housing and addressing their safety needs.

Applicant's Plan for Placing and Stabilizing Survivors in Permanent Housing Using Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s). NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(e) (Limit 2500 characters)

Describe how the project(s) will:

1. prioritize placement and stabilization of program participants;
2. place program participants in permanent housing;
3. place and stabilize program participants consistent with their preferences; and
4. place and stabilize program participants consistent with their stated needs.

Plan for Trauma-Informed, Victim-Centered Practices in the New DV Bonus Project(s). NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(e) (Limit 5000 characters)

Describe examples of how the new project(s) will:

1. establish and maintaining an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant staff interactions are based on equality and minimize power differentials;
2. provide program participants access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing program participants with information on the effects of trauma;
3. emphasize program participants' strengths—for example, strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans work towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;
4. center on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;
5. provide a variety of opportunities for connection for program participants, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and
6. offer support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.

Involving Survivors in Policy and Program Development, Operations, and Evaluation in the New DV Bonus Project(s). NOFO Section I.B.3.I.(1)(f) (Limit 2500 characters)

Describe how the new project will involve survivors:

1. with a range of lived expertise; and
2. in policy and program development throughout the project's operation.

Louisville/Jefferson County Continuum of Care

FY24 NOFO

New Project Scoring and Ranking Sheet: PSH and RRH (DV Bonus)

Reviewer:

Grantee:

Project Name:

Project Type:

Scoring Framework

Max Points: Applicant provided a clear answer that addressed all parts of the narrative requests.

Mid Points: Applicants provided an answer that addressed some/most parts of the narrative requests but was unclear.

Low to Zero Points: Applicant did not address the scoring criteria or did not provide a reasonable or understandable answer.

Criteria	Max Points	Points Earned
Applicant Experience in Housing Placement and Retention. Extent to which the applicant fully addressed the criteria of the question and demonstrated applicable experience as detailed in the Detailed Instructions for Collaborative Applicants.	5	
Applicant Experience in Providing Housing to DV Survivors. Extent to which the applicant fully addressed the criteria of the question and demonstrated applicable experience as detailed in the Detailed Instructions for Collaborative Applicants.	5	
Applicant Experience in Ensuring DV Survivor Safety. Extent to which the applicant fully addressed the criteria of the question and demonstrated applicable experience as detailed in the Detailed Instructions for Collaborative Applicants.	10	
Applicant Experience in Evaluating Their Ability to Ensure DV Survivor Safety. Extent to which the applicant fully addressed the criteria of the question and demonstrated applicable experience as detailed in the Detailed Instructions for Collaborative	5	

Applicants.		
Applicant's Experience in Placing and Stabilizing Survivors in Permanent Housing Using Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches. Extent to which the applicant fully addressed the criteria of the question and demonstrated applicable experience as detailed in the Detailed Instructions for Collaborative Applicants.	5	
Applicant Experience in Trauma-Informed, Victim-Centered Approaches. Extent to which the applicant fully addressed the criteria of the question and demonstrated applicable experience as detailed in the Detailed Instructions for Collaborative Applicants.	10	
Applicant Experience in Meeting Service Needs of DV Survivors Extent to which the applicant fully addressed the criteria of the question and demonstrated applicable experience as detailed in the Detailed Instructions for Collaborative Applicants.	10	
Applicant's Plan for Placing and Stabilizing Survivors in Permanent Housing Using Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches. Extent to which the applicant fully addressed the criteria of the question and demonstrated applicable experience as detailed in the Detailed Instructions for Collaborative Applicants.	5	
Plan for Trauma-Informed, Victim-Centered Practices. Extent to which the applicant fully addressed the criteria of the question. Extent to which the applicant fully addressed the criteria of the question and demonstrated applicable experience as detailed in the Detailed Instructions for Collaborative Applicants.	10	

Involving Survivors in Policy and Program Development, Operations, and Evaluation of New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects. Extent to which the applicant fully addressed the criteria of the question and demonstrated applicable experience as detailed in the Detailed Instructions for Collaborative Applicants.	10	

Any Additional Comments

Louisville/Jefferson County Continuum of Care FY24 New Project Scoring and Ranking Community Consulting Board Questionnaire

This questionnaire must be completed by **ALL** PSH, RRH, and TH-RRH new project applicants, including DV Bonus. **The overall questionnaire is worth 30 points.**

The below questions were created by the Community Consulting Board (CCB) and edited by CoC staff. The CCB is a board of individuals with lived experience of homelessness. CoC staff will provide guidance and technical assistance, but the CCB will be responsible for scoring the responses provided below. Please consider the audience when crafting your responses and avoid using jargon and acronyms whenever possible.

Questionnaires are to be returned to bscott@louhomeless.org by **Monday, September 23, 2024**. Failure to return this questionnaire by the due date will result in a loss of scoring on the FY24 New project Scoring.

Agency:

Person Completing:

Projects Included:

- 1) Describe what steps you will take to meet a client wherever they are and what assistance you will offer to ensure the referred client gets housed. (3 points)
- 2) Will your program offer housing navigation support to help clients search for housing? Describe the extent of the support you plan to offer. (2 points)
- 3) How will you determine the unique needs of each client your project will serve? Describe that assessment and how it may apply to different subpopulations, such as the severely mentally ill or those who are actively using substances. (2 points)
- 4) When working with clients who are actively using substances, describe how you will assess their risk and what harm reduction strategies you plan to use. (2 points)
- 5) How many clients will your program assign to each case manager? (1 point)
- 6) What types of supports will be offered to program staff to mitigate burnout? (2 points)
- 7) Describe your training model for staff. Specifically address evidence-based models that staff are trained on and the frequency of training. (2 points)

- 8) Does your program plan to hire any case managers or other staff that have direct lived experience with homelessness or housing insecurity? (2 points)
- 9) How frequently will case managers be required to communicate with clients? (2 points)
- 10) How does your program plan to handle clients that are hard to reach? Describe the steps your staff will take to make contact with them. (2 points)
- 11) Please describe what kind of things your program staff are assessing with clients during monthly case management appointments or home visits. (2 points)
- 12) Will your program be aligned with Housing First principles? Please describe what this concept means to your agency. (3 points)
- 1) Please provide a description of any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach, background check policies, adverse rental history) your project has identified that could be faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population and what steps you will take to eliminate the identified barriers. (5 points)

**Louisville/Jefferson County Continuum of Care
FY23 NOFO CCB Supplemental Questionnaire
Project Scoring and Ranking Sheet**

CCB Member Names

Scoring Project:

Scoring Framework

Max Points: Applicant provided a clear answer that addressed all parts of the narrative requests.

Low to Zero Points: Applicant did not address the scoring criteria or did not provide a reasonable or understandable answer.

Criteria	Max Points	Points Earned
1) Answer describes the thoroughness of process	3	
2) At minimum, housing navigation support should consist of the following activities: real-time housing search for client, application assistance, transportation to view units, and upon move-in the case manager or program staff should also search for resources that assist client with security deposit, basic furniture and household items.	2	
3) If the LouCat Assessment is the only needs assessment included in the answer provided then only 1 point can be awarded for this category. Full points can be awarded if the response includes any language or policies around additional Internal or CoC Sub-Assessments that are based on certain needs that a client reports, especially for persons reporting a mental illness or active substance use disorder. Connecting clients to other qualified agencies for assessments can also be counted.	2	
4) For active substance users, a Harm Reduction Sub-Assessment should collect the following information: -Assess type of substance(s) being used -Frequency and severity of use -How much of the substance is needed to prevent sickness and how long that dose lasts -Discussion about the reasons why they are using substances -Harm reduction resources and educational materials are offered to clients -Mental health resources are offered -Follow ups are made by case manager to determine if they are using any of the resources suggested	2	
5) Ideal maximum caseload should be no more than 20 intensive clients at a time.	1	
6) Examples include: ensuring that the appropriate people are hired for these positions, screening for their dedication and passion to work with this population, manageable caseloads, on-going internal case conferencing so that challenging cases can be shared between staff as needed, weekly or bi-weekly supervision, employee assistance programs that offer therapy to staff, teaching and enforcing boundaries, holding each other accountable on sticking to boundaries, occasional recreational days where office is closed for a staff outing, case management retreats, offering the option to work	2	

remotely (this can be staggered so there is always office coverage as staff rotate remote work days).		
7) Training key words in the answer provided by the applicant should include one or more of the following: motivational interviewing, critical time intervention, harm reduction strategies, trauma-informed care, client-centered practices, evidence-based, best practice.	2	
8) Yes or No If no, program staff should have access to peer support specialists that do have lived experience.	2	
9) Ultimately, this will differ case by case, a complete answer will provide information on how this can vary based on client need.	2	
10) All methods of communication should be utilized to get in touch with hard-to-reach clients such as text messaging, phone calls, home visit attempts that include asking neighbors if they have seen the client, reach out to any emergency contacts client listed, contact landlord to ask if they have seen them or had any recent interactions.	2	
11) Answer provides details about the activities case managers are engaging in with clients during monthly visits. Key words should include one or more of the following: needs assessment, referrals to wrap around services (mental health, food, healthcare, education, income, childcare, transportation etc.), checking in with clients about any maintenance issues or lease violations in the unit and creating a plan together to address them.	2	
12) Some of the Housing First key words that should be present in the answer applicant provided are as follows: -Program services are easy to access or are low barrier -Services are available to persons with no sobriety, medication, or treatment requirements -Services are available to all persons even if they have a criminal background or are currently involved with the legal system in any way -People who need services can utilize them even if they currently receive little to no income -Leases for persons in housing programs should not have unreasonable or unusual conditions in them -Language around accommodations, client choice and prioritizing client needs	3	
13) Answer should include some or all of the following language, what is listed below can be framed as both a barrier and a solution in the answer provided: -Persons of color are overrepresented in our local homeless population -Obtaining documentation -Lack of outreach/improving outreach efforts -Negative rental history -Revising internal policies that seek to improve access and participation -State and local level advocacy -No background check requirements -Partner with landlords that aren't overly strict about certain things such as passing a background check to receive housing -Prevent lease and voucher terminations	5	

-Finding resources to help with utility arrears -Multi-bedroom homes for large families		
TOTAL:	30	

1E-2: Scored Forms for One Project

Included in this attachment:

- One completed set of renewal application score forms for one PSH project
 - o Completed Score Sheet
 - o Completed Community Consulting Board Questionnaire Score Sheet

Louisville/Jefferson County Continuum of Care (KY-501) CoC NOFO Scoring Packet
 FY24 PSH Renewals

Grant Name:	Journey Permanent Supportive Housing
Grantee:	Wellspring Schizophrenia Foundation
Grant Prefix:*	KY0133
Project Type:	PSH

*The grant prefix is the first five digits of your grant number (i.e., KY0123)

OBJECTIVE CRITERIA: CoC PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT RELATED CRITERIA

Measure:	Maintenance of or Exits to Permanent Housing							
Description:	Measures the percentage of clients served in your project who have either stayed in your project or have left to another permanent destination. This is to gauge how well programs are doing at housing clients and keeping them housed. This is a measure we are evaluated on as a CoC when reporting system performance measures.							
Applicable to:	PSH							
Data Source:	APR, Question 5a,8 and question 23c							
Formula:	(Total # of Stayers from APR question 5a, 8	+	Total # of persons exiting to a positive destination from APR question 23c)	/	(Total number of persons served from APR question 5a, 1	-	Persons exiting to excluded destinations from APR question 23c)	=
Computation:	18	+	0	/	20	-	0	= 90%
CoC Average:	96%							
Max Points:	10							
Point Basis:	10 Points: 95% and Greater 8 Points: Between 90% and 94% 6 Points: Between 85% and 89% 4 Points: Between 80% and 84% 2 Points Between 75% and 79% 0 Points: 74.99% or Less							
POINTS AWARDED:	8							

Measure:	Length of Time Between Project Start Date and Housing Move in Date (i.e., reducing the length of time persons remain homeless)						
Description:	Measures average length of time in days between clients entering your project and moving into a housing unit. This is to gauge efficiency of programs in helping clients to secure housing quickly. This is a measure we are evaluated on as a CoC when reporting system performance measures.						
Applicable to:	PSH						
Data Source:	APR Question 22c						
Formula:	Average length of time to housing						
Computation:	39.33						
CoC Average:	44.69						
Max Points:	5						
Point Basis:	5 Points: 0 - 45 Days 3 Points: 46 - 90 1 Point: 91 - 135 Days 0 Points: 136 Days or More						
POINTS AWARDED:	5						

Measure:	Gained or Increased Employment Income							
Description:	Measures percent of clients who increased their income from employment ("earned income") while in your project. Helping clients to increase their employment income improves their financial stability and ability to secure or maintain housing. This is a measure we are evaluated on as a CoC when reporting system performance measures.							
Applicable to:	PSH							
Data Source:	APR Questions 19a1 and 19a2							
Formula:	(19a1 Row "Number of Adults with Earned Income," Column "Performance Measure: Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Annual Assessment"	+	19a2 Row "Number of Adults with Earned Income," Column "Performance Measure: Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Exit")	/	19a1 Row "Number of Adults with Earned Income," Column "Total Adults (including those with No Income)"	+	19a2 Row "Number of Adults with Earned Income," Column "Total Adults (including those with No Income)"	=
Computation:	0	+	0	/	15	+	2	= 0%
CoC Average:	6%							
Max Points:	5							
Point Basis:	5 Points: 7% and Greater 3 Points: Between 5% and 7% 1 Point: Between 3% and 5% 0 Points: 2% or Less							
POINTS AWARDED:	0							

Measure:	Gained or Increased Non-Employment Cash Income							
Description:	Measures percent of clients who increased their income from non-employment sources, such as SSI and SSDI, while in your project. Helping clients access all of the non-employment income they qualify for improves their financial stability and ability to secure or maintain housing. This is a measure we are evaluated on as a CoC when reporting system performance measures.							
Applicable to:	PSH							
Data Source:	APR Questions 19a1 and 19a2							
Formula:	(19a1 Row "Number of Adults with Other Income," Column "Performance Measure: Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Annual Assessment"	+	19a2 Row "Number of Adults with Other Income," Column "Performance Measure: Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Exit")	/	19a1 Row "Number of Adults with Other Income," Column "Total Adults (including those with No Income)"	+	19a2 Row "Number of Adults with Other Income," Column "Total Adults (including those with No Income)"	=
Computation:	8	+	1	/	15	+	2	= 53%
CoC Average:	39%							
Max Points:	5							
Point Basis:	5 Points: 47% and Greater 4 Points: Between 42% and 46% 3 Points: Between 37% and 41% 2 Points: Between 32% and 36% 1 Points: Between 27% and 31% 0 Points: 26% or Less							
POINTS AWARDED:	5							

OBJECTIVE CRITERIA: RAPID RETURN TO PERMANENT HOUSING AND SEVERITY OF BARRIERS EXPERIENCED BY PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS

Measure:	Analysis of Barriers - Disability					
Description:	Measures the percentage of clients served by your project with two or more disabling conditions. We recognize the barriers that persons with disabilities face in securing and maintaining housing relative to others. This measure acknowledges projects that disproportionately serve those clients.					
Applicable to:	PSH					
Data Source:	APR, Question 13a2					
Formula:	Total # of persons with two or more disabling conditions	/	(Total # of persons	-	Children in HH with Children and Adults with one or fewer disabling conditions)	=
Computation:	20	/	20	-	0	= 100%
CoC Average:	70%					
Max Points:	5					
Point Basis:	5 Points: 86% and Greater 4 Points: Between 76% and 85% 3 Points: Between 66% and 75% 2 Points: Between 56% and 65% 1 Point: Between 46% and 55% 0 Points: 45.81% or Less					
POINTS AWARDED:	5					

Measure:	Analysis of Barriers - History of Domestic Violence			
Description:	Measures the percentage of clients served by your project who are survivors of domestic violence. We recognize the barriers that survivors of DV face in securing housing. This measure acknowledges projects that disproportionately serve such clients.			
Applicable to:	PSH			
Data Source:	APR, Question 14a			
Formula:	Yes	/	Total	=
Computation:	18	/	20	= 90%
CoC Average:	42%			
Max Points:	5			
Point Basis:	5 Points: 50% and Greater 4 Points: Between 45% and 49% 3 Points: Between 40% and 44% 2 Points: Between 35% and 39% 1 Point: Between 30% and 34% 0 Points: 29% or Less			
POINTS AWARDED:	5			

Measure:	Analysis of Barriers - Adults with No Income at Entry			
Description:	Measures the percentage of adults served by your project who had no income at project entry. We recognize the barriers this group faces in securing housing. This measure acknowledges the projects that disproportionately serve such clients.			
Applicable to:	PSH			
Data Source:	APR, Question 18			
Formula:	Adults with No Income	/	Total Adults	=
Computation:	12	/	20	= 60%
CoC Average:	58%			
Max Points:	5			
Point Basis:	5 Points: 66% and Greater 4 Points: Between 61% and 65% 3 Points: Between 56% and 60% 2 Points: Between 51% and 55% 1 Point: Between 46% and 49% 0 Points: 45% or Less			
POINTS AWARDED:	3			

Measure:	Successful Placement in Permanent Housing			
Description:	Measures the percent of clients served in your project who moved into permanent housing (as opposed to those who exited the project without moving in). Ensures most clients are actually securing housing once in the project.			
Applicable to:	PSH			
Data Source:	APR, 22c			
Formula:	Total (person moved into housing)	/	Total	=
Computation:	3	/	3	= 100%
CoC Average:	93%			
Max Points:	5			
Point Basis:	5 Points: 95% and Greater 4 Points: Between 90% and 94% 3 Points: Between 85% and 89% 2 Points: Between 80% and 84% 1 Point: Between 75% and 79% 0 Points: 74% or Less			
POINTS AWARDED:	5			

OTHER OBJECTIVE CRITERIA

Measure:	Expenditure of Grant Funds			
Description:	Measures the amount awarded that projects spent relative to total amount awarded. Data is from FY21 (projects that ended in 2023).			
Applicable to:	All (excluding projects for whom FY23 was 1st operating year)			
Data Source:	HUD Spenddown Report			
Formula:	LOCCS Balance	/	Award	=
Computation:	\$0.00	/	\$277,178.00	= 0.00%
CoC Average:	3.27%			
Max Points:	5			
Point Basis:	5 Points: Less than 1% Returned 4 Points: Between 1% and 2% Returned 3 Points: Between 3% and 4% Returned 2 Points: Between 5% and 6% Returned 1 Point: Between 6% and 7% Returned 0 Points: 7% or Greater Returned			
POINTS AWARDED:	5			

Measure:	Data Quality - Personally Identifiable Information			
Description:	Measures data quality of clients' personally identifiable information in HMIS (name, SSN, date of birth, gender, and race/ethnicity). CoC projects are required to collect these data elements for reporting. Capturing this data allows for more accurate reporting and analysis across the CoC.			
Applicable to:	All			
Data Source:	APR, 6a			
Formula:	Error Rate Below 5%	/	Data Elements	=
Computation:	5	/	5	= 100.00%
Max Points:	2			
Point Basis:	2 Points: 5 out of 5 data elements (or 1 or fewer clients) with error rate below 5% 1.5 Points: 4 out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5% 1 Point: 3 out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5% .5 Points: 2 out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5% 0 Points: 1 or less out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5%			
POINTS AWARDED:	2			

Measure:	Data Quality - Universal Data Elements			
Description:	Measures data quality of additional Universal Data Elements, data elements required to be collected for all CoC projects. Capturing this data allows for more accurate reporting and analysis across the CoC.			
Applicable to:	All			
Data Source:	APR, 6b			
Formula:	Error Rate Below 5%	/	Data Elements	=
Computation:	5	/	5	= 100.00%
Max Points:	2			
Point Basis:	2 Points: 5 out of 5 data elements (or 1 or fewer clients) with error rate below 5% 1.5 Points: 4 out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5% 1 Point: 3 out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5% .5 Points: 2 out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5% 0 Points: 1 or less out of 5 data elements with error rate below 5%			
POINTS AWARDED:	2			

Measure:	Data Quality - Income and Housing Data Quality			
Description:	Measures data quality of income and destination. These are required to be collected for all CoC projects. Capturing this data allows for more accurate reporting and analysis across the CoC.			
Applicable to:	All			
Data Source:	APR, 6c			
Formula:	Error Rate Below 5%	/	Data Elements	=
Computation:	4	/	4	= 100.00%
Max Points:	2			
Point Basis:	2 Points: 4 out of 4 data elements (or 1 or fewer clients) with error rate below 5% 1.5 Points: 3 out of 4 data elements with error rate below 5% 1 Point: 2 out of 4 data elements with error rate below 5% .5 Points: 1 out of 4 data elements with error rate below 5% 0 Points: 0 out of 4 data elements with error rate below 5%			
POINTS AWARDED:	2			

Measure:	Data Quality - Chronic Homelessness			
Description:	Measures percent of client records in project for which chronic homelessness cannot be determined based on responses (for example, number of times and months homeless wasn't answered). Chronically homeless clients are a particularly vulnerable population who it is important to ensure we are accurately reporting.			
Applicable to:	All			
Data Source:	APR, 6d			
Formula:	% of records unable to calculate			
Computation:	0.00%			
Max Points:	2			
Point Basis:	2 Points: less than 2% of records unable to calculate 1 Point: 2-5% of records unable to calculate 0 Points: More than 5% of records unable to calculate			
POINTS AWARDED:	2			

Measure:	Data Quality - Timeliness of Data Entry			
Description:	Measures how quickly the project is entering client data into HMIS after the client enters and exits the project. Timely data entry can reduce errors from too much time passing between data collection and entry, and it ensures data is accessible when needed. We advise projects enter data no more than 3 days after the client enters or exits the project.			
Applicable to:	All			
Data Source:	APR, 6e			
Formula:	Project Start or Exit Records Entered in 3 or Less Days	/	Total Records	=
Computation:	3	/	5	= 60.00%
Max Points:	2			
Point Basis:	2 Points: 80% or more records entered within 3 days 1.5 Points: 70-79% of records entered within 3 days 1 Point: 65-69% of records entered within 3 days .5 Points: 60-64% of records entered within 3 days 0 Points: Less than 60% of records entered within 3 days			
POINTS AWARDED:	0.5			

Measure:	Bed Utilization					
Description:	Measures the percentage of available beds in the project that were in use during the reporting period. This is measured by observing enrollments at a point in time during each of the four quarters in the reporting period, relative to the number of beds in the project. Higher utilization means the project is using more of its available resources at any given time, and more clients are being housed/sheltered.					
Applicable to:	PSH, RRH, and TH (excluding projects for whom FY24 was the first operating year)					
Data Source:	APR, 7b and Application					
Formula:	(Total PIT of Persons for January, April, July, October	/	4)	/	Number of BEDS Indicated in Application	=
Computation:	71	/	4	/	18	= 98.61%
Max Points:	2					
Point Basis:	2 Points: Between 90% Utilization or Greater 1.5 Points: Between 85% and 89% Utilization 1 Point: Between 80% and 84% Utilization .5 Points: Between 75% and 79% Utilization 0 Points: 75% Utilization or Less					
POINTS AWARDED:	2					

Measure:	Housing First/Low Barrier			
Description:	Measures whether the project is low barrier (no barriers/stipulations to entry) and operates using Housing First principles.			
Applicable to:	All			
Data Source:	FY24 Application			
Computation:	yes			
Max Points:	5			
Point Basis:	5 Points: Yes 0 Points: No			
POINTS AWARDED:	5			

OTHER CRITERIA

Measure:	Community Consulting Board Questionnaire and Racial Equity Narrative
Description:	HUD has emphasized the importance of the input of people with lived experience in the project scoring and ranking process. This questionnaire was developed and scored by the Community Consulting Board to measure what they, people who have experienced homelessness in Louisville, need from a housing program.
Applicable to:	All
Data Source:	Written Response
Max Points:	30
Point Basis:	Evaluation of Responses by CCB
POINTS AWARDED:	25

SUBMISSION TIMELINESS

Scoring Criteria Submission Timeliness			
Item	Due	Submitted	On Time
Racial Equity Narrative	9/23/2024	9/23/2024	Yes
CCB Questionnaire	9/23/2024	9/23/2024	Yes
Draft Application	9/23/2024	9/18/2024	Yes
Max Points:	3		
Point Basis:	One Point for Each Item Submitted On Time		
POINTS AWARDED:	3		

Max Points:	100
Points Awarded:	84.5
Percentage:	84.50%

**Louisville/Jefferson County Continuum of Care
FY24 NOFO CCB Supplemental Questionnaire
Project Scoring and Ranking Sheet**

25/30

CCB Member Names - Lisa, Krishna, Terrance
Scoring Project: Wellspring

Scoring Framework

Max Points: Applicant provided a clear answer that addressed all parts of the narrative requests.

Low to Zero Points: Applicant did not address the scoring criteria or did not provide a reasonable or understandable answer.

Criteria	Max Points	Points Earned
1) Answer describes the thoroughness of attempts made.	3	3
2) At minimum, housing navigation support should consist of the following activities: real-time housing search for client, application assistance, transportation to view units, and upon move-in the case manager or program staff should also search for resources that assist client with security deposit, basic furniture and household items.	2	2
3) If the LouCat Assessment is the only needs assessment included in the answer provided then only 1 point can be awarded for this category. Full points can be awarded if the response includes any language or policies around additional Internal or CoC Sub-Assessments that are based on certain needs that a client reports, especially for persons reporting a mental illness or active substance use disorder. Connecting clients to other qualified agencies for assessments can also be counted.	2	2
4) For active substance users, a Harm Reduction Sub-Assessment should collect the following information: -Assess type of substance(s) being used -Frequency and severity of use -How much of the substance is needed to prevent sickness and how long that dose lasts -Discussion about the reasons why they are using substances -Harm reduction resources and educational materials are offered to clients -Mental health resources are offered -Follow ups are made by case manager to determine if they are using any of the resources suggested	2	1
5) Ideal maximum caseload should be no more than 20 intensive clients at a time.	1	0
6) Examples include: ensuring that the appropriate people are hired for these positions, screening for their dedication and passion to work with this population, manageable caseloads, on-going internal case conferencing so that challenging cases can be shared between staff as needed, weekly or bi-weekly supervision, employee assistance programs that offer therapy to staff, teaching and enforcing boundaries, holding each other accountable on sticking to boundaries, occasional recreational days where office is closed for a staff outing, case management retreats, offering the option to work	2	2

remotely (this can be staggered so there is always office coverage as staff rotate remote work days).		
7) Training key words in the answer provided by the applicant should include one or more of the following: motivational interviewing, critical time intervention, harm reduction strategies, trauma-informed care, client-centered practices, evidence-based, best practice.	2	2
8) Yes or No If no, program staff should have access to peer support specialists that do have lived experience.	2	2
9) Ultimately, this will differ case by case, a complete answer will provide information on how this can vary based on client need.	2	2
10) All methods of communication should be utilized to get in touch with hard to reach clients such as text messaging, phone calls, home visit attempts that include asking neighbors if they have seen the client, reach out to any emergency contacts client listed, contact landlord to ask if they have seen them or had any recent interactions.	2	2
11) Answer provides details about the activities case managers are engaging in with clients during monthly visits. Key words should include one or more of the following: needs assessment, referrals to wrap around services (mental health, food, healthcare, education, income, childcare, transportation etc.), checking in with clients about any maintenance issues or lease violations in the unit and creating a plan together to address them.	2	1
12) Some of the Housing First key words that should be present in the answer applicant provided are as follows: -Program services are easy to access or are low barrier -Services are available to persons with no sobriety, medication, or treatment requirements -Services are available to all persons even if they have a criminal background or are currently involved with the legal system in any way -People who need services can utilize them even if they currently receive little to no income -Leases for persons in housing programs should not have unreasonable or unusual conditions in them -Language around accommodations, client choice and prioritizing client needs	3	2
13) Answer should include some or all of the following language, what is listed below can be framed as both a barrier and a solution in the answer provided: -Persons of color are overrepresented in our local homeless population -Obtaining documentation -Lack of outreach/improving outreach efforts -Negative rental history -Revising internal policies that seek to improve access and participation -State and local level advocacy -No background check requirements -Partner with landlords that aren't overly strict about certain things such as passing a background check to receive housing	5	4

-Prevent lease and voucher terminations -Finding resources to help with utility arrears -Multi-bedroom homes for large families		
TOTAL:	30	25

Louisville/Jefferson County Continuum of Care FY24 Renewal Project Scoring and Ranking Supplemental Questionnaire

This questionnaire must be completed by ALL PSH, RRH, TH, and TH-RRH renewal project applicants.

If your agency holds multiple CoC grants you may submit one response for multiple grants **IF** the policies are the same across all grants. If policies differ across grants or you hold multiple project types (i.e., RRH and PSH), please submit separate responses for each. **The overall questionnaire is worth 30 points.**

The below questions were created by the Community Consulting Board (CCB) and edited by CoC staff. The CCB is a board of individuals with lived experience of homelessness. CoC staff will provide guidance and technical assistance, but the CCB will be responsible for scoring the responses provided below. Please consider the audience when crafting your responses and avoid using jargon and acronyms whenever possible.

Questionnaires are to be returned to bscott@louhomeless.org by **Monday, September 23, 2024**. Failure to return this questionnaire by the due date will result in a loss of points on the FY24 Renewal Project Scoring.

Agency: Wellspring Inc.

Person(s) Completing: Tony Cecil, Kathy Dobbins

Projects Included: CH2, Journey, LASH, Murray-Baxter, Southeast (all PSH)

- 1) How many attempts are made to locate a client after they are referred to a housing program? Describe what steps you take to meet a client where they are and what assistance you offer to ensure the referred client gets housed. (3 points)

Case managers repeatedly attempt to locate clients up to ninety days after referrals. This may be extended under certain circumstances. CM's contact the Coalition and known outreach and shelter workers and look for recent client contacts in HMIS. They will make several remote location visits if they are able to narrow the list of possibilities. They travel to homeless camps, day programs and overnight shelters. Once located, CM assists with the completion of documents needed for their rental application. They provide transportation to appointments and to look at available apartments. They coordinate inspections, lease signing and the purchase of furnishings and accessories through client move in and beyond.

- 2) Does your program offer housing navigation support to help clients search for housing? Describe the extent of this support. (2 points)

Staff provide needed support throughout the process. They assist client by looking at available apartments online, contact prospective landlords or apartment managers and provide transportation to appointments to look at apartments. They assist with any or all documentation needed to make an application for housing, as well as dealing directly with any barriers to housing which may exist due to prior evictions, unpaid utilities, etc.

- 3) Is a thorough needs assessment being conducted with the client upon entry to the program? Describe that assessment and how it may apply to different subpopulations, such as the severely mentally ill or those who are actively using substances. (2 points)

Wellspring supports clients with serious mental illness and co-occurring substance use disorders. Upon referral clients are assigned a housing case manager who meets with them and completes a service plan with them. Barriers to getting and keeping housing are identified. Barriers might include poor history maintaining housing, evictions, active symptoms of mental illness or substance use. Needs such as identification, disability benefits, transportation issues, assistance with housing applications, Medicaid, medical appointments etc. are reviewed.

Clients who are willing and need additional services beyond housing case management are referred to a Wellspring clinician who meets with them, performs a psychosocial assessment, and makes recommendations. . Wellspring's Psychosocial Evaluation contains: relevant emotional and background data, a thorough life history, suicidal and homicidal intent, trauma and sexual abuse history, domestic and community violence history, current mental status and mood, basic mental and physical functioning, familial relationships, substance use and abuse, medications prescribed, allergies, previous and current diagnoses, individual goals and challenges, and many other relevant client data. This plan guides treatment and referral needs and helps address issues that may be obstacles to getting and keeping housing and enables the clinical staff to work in collaboration with the housing case manager.

- 4) When working with clients who are actively using substances, describe how you assess their risk and what harm reduction strategies are used. Please provide detail on how you follow up with the client. (2 points)

As part of the intake process, case managers talk with the clients about their use of drugs and alcohol, their treatment history, and current situation. If the case manager thinks it would be helpful to meet with a Wellspring clinician, they recommend it to the individual. If they are agreeable, the clinician will then assess the various risks posed by the client's current condition and past history. A personalized treatment or service plan will be agreed upon which addresses the client's projected needs and staff will adjust the services they provide based on that plan. Case Management staff are expected to work to connect the clients to overdose education, needed therapy or counseling and referrals to treatment for

substance use disorders, as indicated or observed especially if it puts the individuals housing and safety at risk or simply if the client indicates they are ready to address their issues. Staff check in with clients frequently (ideally weekly) in order to make sure that clients are receiving (or at least are being offered, given the Housing-First nature of the program) needed services and are not putting their housing at risk.

- 5) What is the current average caseload for a client in your housing program? (1 point)

For our HUD CM's, caseloads average between twenty and twenty-four concurrent clients. For CM's with clients with complex cases or a higher proportion of new admissions, caseloads may be somewhat lower.

- 6) What types of supports are being offered to program staff to mitigate burnout? (2 points)

Staff are made aware of the risks of burnout and secondary trauma associated with the provision of services to clients who are experiencing the effects of chronic, severe mental illness, substance use and abuse, homelessness, and their sequelae. The case managers meet as a group for supervision with an agency clinician and receive individual supervision. We keep an open-door policy at all levels of the agency. We offer training to help staff deal with compassion fatigue in a mindful way. When use of those techniques is not enough, Wellspring offers, at no cost to the staff person, an Employee Assistance Program, providing one on one sessions with qualified, external behavioral specialists and counselors. We also have an employee engagement committee which promotes and strengthens healthy relationships among staff by creating a variety of social events outside of the constraints of the daily workplace. We have contracted with an HR team to facilitate an employee engagement survey to identify blind spots, stress points, as well as positive aspects of the workplace. Even still, this kind of work is work is challenging and is often emotionally draining for staff.

- 7) Are program staff adequately trained and competent with evidence based, client-centered practices, harm reduction strategies, motivational interviewing, and critical time interventions? Describe the training staff receive. (2 points)

Staff receive both in-person training and computer-based training. In Person training includes monthly clinical skills sessions which address: Motivational Interviewing; De-Escalation; Person-Centered Planning; Critical Incident Reporting; Narcan Utilization; Housing First; Concurrent Documentation; and Trauma Informed Care. Relias mandatory Computer Based Training courses include: Goals, Values and Guiding Principles of Psychosocial Rehabilitation; Introduction to Motivational Interviewing; Introduction to Trauma Informed Care; Approaches to Person-Centered Planning; Providing Customer Service; Introduction to Peer Support; About Advance Directives; Recovery Principles and Practices; Schizophrenia Spectrum and Other Psychotic Disorders for Paraprofessionals; Community-Based Interventions to Reduce Suicide Risk; Introduction to Co-Occurring Disorders; Understanding and Addressing Racial Trauma; Cultural Competence; Strategies

for Preventing and De-escalating Hostile Situations; and First Aid and CPR. This list is not meant to be comprehensive, it is representative of the mandatory staff trainings currently offered. Other trainings, in client privacy practices, hygiene, medication management and proper documentation of services, among others, are also required. Additionally, thanks to a 5-year SAMHSA grant that we received with the Kent School of Social Work, we have been able to bring in top level national trainers in Integrated Dual Diagnosis Treatment and Motivational Interviewing, both of which call for stage based interventions and work hand-in-hand with a Housing First approach.

- 8) Do any of the housing case managers in your program have lived experience? If not, does your staff have access to peer support specialists who do have lived experience? (2 points)

Yes, some case managers have lived experience of homelessness, mental illness, or both. Wellspring was one of the first local agencies to engage peers in support of our clients. Peer support specialists make up more than fifteen percent of staff engaged in direct client services.

- 9) How frequently are case managers attempting to communicate with clients? (2 points)

If all things are stable, we request that case managers or peer support specialists contact clients weekly. If a client relapses or is having difficulty in some other aspect of daily living, staff will work with the client on an as needed basis, sometimes meeting nearly every day, in person or via technology, for brief periods. In the case of serious life-threatening relapse or psychosis, staff will collaborate with the client and clinical staff to arrange for a higher level of care, such as a visit to the Wellspring crisis stabilization unit where they will receive 24/7 care, medication evaluation, and/or referral to outpatient or inpatient services. If a client is fairly stable and has no critical needs, the visits may spread out a little further.

- 10) How does your program handle hard to reach clients? Describe the steps you take to make contact with them. (2 points)

When we cannot find new referrals, we make contact with shelters, Common Assessment, RCS outreach staff, and visits to the camps. When clients who cannot be reached by phone or do not answer their door, staff will continue to make home visits; they will leave notes on doors and ask landlords and neighbors about the client's whereabouts. They will consult HMIS for recent contacts and reach out to any known friends, family and other health care and service providers. Occasionally, the landlord and/or police are called for a welfare check.

- 11) Please describe what kind of things your program staff are assessing with clients during monthly case management appointments or home visits. (2 points)

During monthly visits, the case managers assess the client's overall safety and wellbeing. They will first inquire as to how the individual is doing and if there is anything that they would

like the case manager's help with. They take note of the presence of symptoms of the client's mental illness and whether they have increased or decreased since their last visit. They assess the condition of the apartment; is it meeting habitability standards? Do they need assistance in getting medications, groceries, managing bills. Is there cause to think their substance use has increased? They may ask if they are seeing a doctor, going to AA, taking prescribed medications. If there is someone else in the unit or other people's belongings, they will ask if there is someone else living in the unit.

12) Do you consider your program Housing First? Please describe what this means to your agency. (3 points)

Yes, Housing First provides housing as a precursor to receiving supportive services and does not make housing contingent upon accepting services. It does not require sobriety or medication adherence which have often been barriers to getting a client off the street and into a clean, safe home. Once housed, we do encourage they consider addressing their mental illness and/substance use issues and believe they are much more likely to be able to successfully engage with local service providers and begin a meaningful recovery as trust is built and the daily work simply to survive while homeless is eased. Once housed, supportive services are offered to help the new client maintain their housing. Housing First means that refusing services, refusing to take needed medications, or using illicit substances, by themselves, are not behaviors which will lead to eviction. Wellspring believes housing homeless individuals is a good in itself, and housing should not depend on the client's full acceptance of a list of mandated behaviors. Housing First, to us means that our staff will make any reasonable accommodation to keep a client in their apartment and off the streets.

13) Please provide a description of any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach, background check policies, adverse rental history) your project has identified faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and what steps you have taken or will take to eliminate the identified barriers. Additionally, please describe how you identified those barriers (HMIS data, client interviews, etc.). (5 points)

38% of the clients we serve in our SHP housing identify as black. Another 8% identify as mixed. Adding the two together, means 48% of our clients identify as non-white. These numbers substantiate the thinking that minorities are over-represented in the homeless community as the percentage of blacks in Jefferson County is 22%. Additionally, our clients are extremely poor with most living on less than \$1000 a month, if they have an income (we work to remedy that lack!). Many have a history of arrests and quite a few have been incarcerated at some point. Most of those arrests and incarcerations can be directly tied to their being black and mentally ill or suffering from co-occurring mental illness and substance use. Often these are petty crimes that would likely not result in arrest if they had more money, weren't homeless, weren't mentally ill and weren't black. We know that our jails and prisons have an over-representation of black inmates

. Not only does mental illness (and substance use) impair cognitive functioning, but our clients also carry the stigma of mental illness which makes finding housing and community integration more challenging and doubly so, if they are also black.

We had a client in one of our SHP programs who was in housing and maintaining with supportive services. He had a court date for outstanding charges and when he showed up, his public defender was not there. He was sent to jail and his sentence was so long that he lost his housing. His case manager said she saw him “preaching” downtown recently and that he was clearly homeless. There was no coordination around his release. We need better communication between the silos that share clients. We need better coordination with the jail, the courts, the public defender’s office. Providers need to work better together, to, and be encouraged to be more assertive with the various systems that overlap for our clients.

1E-5: Notification of Projects Rejected/Reduced

Included in this attachment:

- One completed set of renewal application score forms for one PSH project
 - o No projects were rejected/reduced in the FY24 funding competition

1E-5a: Notification of Projects Accepted

Included in this attachment:

- Email to all project applicants that were accepted for submission dated October 14, 2024.
- Website posting of all applications accepted for submission dated October 14, 2024.
- Website linked list of all projects that included all projects, all project ranking, all project scores, and all funding amounts dated October 14, 2024.
 - Note: the amount of one grant, KY0275 (a first time renewal), was adjusted on 10/24/24 based on an response from the CoC NOFO Helpdesk.

Subject: Final CoC Ranking FY24
Date: Monday, October 14, 2024 at 4:43:10 PM Eastern Daylight Time
From: Brandi Scott
BCC: Nu HOME formerly Choices, Inc., Natalie Harris, Erin Wallace-Wimsatt, Andy Patterson, Kristie Adams, tevens@familyscholarhouse.org, fshgrants@familyscholarhouse.org, Steve Williams, Kim Letavec, Alisa Taylor, Lisa Sutton, Hamilton, Joseph, Swetnam, Josh, Marlow, Yulaine, Andrea Mayberry, Abby Perez, Michele Isham, Greer Hannan, Sarah Graham, Martin, Ra'Shann, Crawford, Kathryn, evelyn.woock@kentuckianaworks.org, Lisa Collins, Amy Meredith, Tamara Reif, Becky Morris, R. C. Webber, Nina Moseley, Kathy Dobbins, Tony Cecil, Dennis Enix, kcameron@yblky.org, Lynn Rippy, Andrea Miller
Attachments: image001.png, Scoring Master Sheet FY24 - Final Project Scores.pdf

The CoC is required to notify, in writing outside of e-snaps, all project applicants who submitted their project applications to the CoC by the local CoC-established deadline whether their project applications will be accepted and ranked on the CoC Priority Listing, rejected, or reduced by the CoC no later than 15 days before the FY 2024 application submission deadline of October 30, 2024. The CoC Board of Directors approved the final ranking on Friday, October 11, 2024.

Click [here](#) to view a final ranking for the FY24 CoC NOFO. All projects included on this list will be accepted and ranked. A listing is also attached.

YHDP projects are not ranked. However, all YHDP renewal and replacement projects submitted to the CoC will be included and submitted to HUD. A list of all accepted YHDP projects are included on the above list.

No projects were rejected or reduced in FY24.

If your renewal grant application we sent back to you for revisions and you have not yet returned it, please try to do so no later than this Wednesday, October 16th.

Brandi Scott

Director of Planning and Evaluation
The Coalition for the Homeless
1300 S. 4th St., Suite 300
Louisville, KY 40208
502-636-9550 x 1211
Pronouns: she, her, hers
[Website](#) / [Facebook](#) / [Twitter](#)





- WHO WE ARE
- WHAT WE DO
- HOW TO HELP
- GET HELP
- DATA
- NEWS
- CONTACT
- DONATE

Final FY24 CoC Project Ranking

[/ CoC, News / By Brandi Scott](#)

The CoC is required to notify, in writing outside of e-snaps, all project applicants who submitted their project applications to the CoC by the local CoC-established deadline whether their project applications will be accepted and ranked on the CoC Priority Listing, rejected, or reduced by the CoC no later than 15 days before the FY 2024 application submission deadline of October 30, 2024. The CoC Board of Directors approved the final ranking on Friday, October 11, 2024.

Click [here](#) to view a final ranking for the FY24 CoC NOFO. All projects included on this list will be accepted and ranked.

YHDP projects are not ranked. However, all YHDP renewal and replacement projects submitted to the CoC will be included and submitted to HUD. A list of all accepted YHDP projects are included on the above list.

No projects were rejected or reduced in FY24.

[← Previous Post](#)

News	Events
FINAL FY24 COC PROJECT RANKING	Raise Your Voice for #HousingNotHandcuffs
FY24/25 CoC Funding Competition Open	Annual Member Agency Meeting: May 15
Initial Inquiry for New FY24 Continuum of Care Projects	

All Projects: Louisville/Jefferson County Continuum of Care (KY-501) FY24

RANKED PROJECTS

Rank	Applicant Name	Project Name	Expiring Grant Number	Accepted/Rejected	Points Possible	Points Earned	% Score	Reallocated \$	Award
1	Volunteers of America Mid-States, Inc.	PSH Monarch Station	KY0309L4I012300	Accepted			1st Renewal	\$ -	\$ 120,953
2	Uniting Partners for Women and Children	Rapid Rehousing and Supportive Services for Women Fleeing Domestic Violence	KY0310D4I012300	Accepted			1st Renewal	\$ -	\$ 306,855
3	Young Adult Development in Action, Inc.	YBL YHDP TH-RRH	KY0312L4I012300	Accepted			1st Renewal	\$ -	\$ 309,172
4	Louisville-Jefferson County Metro Government	The Chancery FY24	KY0313L4I012300	Accepted			1st Renewal	\$ -	\$ 501,063
5	St. John Center, Inc.	Single Site Permanent Supportive Housing	KY0275L4I012200	Accepted			1st Op. Year	\$ -	\$ 656,357
6	Wellspring, Inc. (dba Schizophrenia Foundation, KY, Inc.)	Southeast Permanent Supportive Housing	KY0255L4I012301	Accepted			1st Renewal	\$ -	\$ 387,326
7	ZeroV	ZeroV Louisville Rapid Rehousing	KY0277D4I012301	Accepted			1st Op. Year	\$ -	\$ 785,415
8	Family Health Centers, Inc.	FHC Common Assessment	KY0129L4I012311	Accepted			Pass Review	\$ -	\$ 509,416
9	Seven Counties Services	Homeless Outreach Team 2024	KY0191L4I012306	Accepted			Pass Review	\$ -	\$ 94,825
10	Coalition for the Homeless, Inc.	Coordinated Entry Diversion 1	KY0210L4I012305	Accepted			Pass Review	\$ -	\$ 110,187
11	Coalition for the Homeless, Inc.	Single Point of Entry	KY0211L4I012305	Accepted			Pass Review	\$ -	\$ 79,502
12	St. John Center, Inc.	Coordinated Entry Outreach	KY0232L4I012304	Accepted			Pass Review	\$ -	\$ 271,856
13	Coalition for the Homeless, Inc.	HMIS Consolidated Grant	KY0056L4I012316	Accepted			Pass Review	\$ -	\$ 130,075
14	Coalition for the Homeless, Inc.	Permanent Supportive Housing for the Chronically Homeless	KY0097L4I012315	Accepted	100	90	90.00%	\$ -	\$ 636,281
15	Coalition for the Homeless, Inc.	Supportive Housing for Chronically Homeless	KY0048L4I012314	Accepted	100	87	87.00%	\$ -	\$ 411,924
16	Louisville-Jefferson County Metro Government	RRH DV FY24	KY0147L4I012309	Accepted	100	87	87.00%	\$ -	\$ 66,751
17	Coalition for the Homeless, Inc.	Collaborative Housing for Chronically Homeless	KY0050L4I012316	Accepted	100	86.67	86.67%	\$ -	\$ 870,537
18	Coalition for the Homeless, Inc.	Family Health Centers Rx: Housing	KY0173L4I012307	Accepted	100	86.5	86.50%	\$ -	\$ 548,900
19	Coalition for the Homeless, Inc.	Permanent Supportive Housing for Youth and Adults	KY0061L4I012316	Accepted	100	86	86.00%	\$ -	\$ 248,445
20	Coalition for the Homeless, Inc.	Louisville Alliance for Supportive Housing	KY0124L4I012312	Accepted	100	86	86.00%	\$ -	\$ 789,615
21	Wellspring, Inc. (dba Schizophrenia Foundation, KY, Inc.)	Journey Permanent Supportive Housing	KY0133L4I012311	Accepted	100	84.5	84.50%	\$ -	\$ 297,679
22	Society of St. Vincent de Paul, Council of Louisville, Inc.	SVDP on Campus PSH	KY0107L4I012312	Accepted	100	83	83.00%	\$ -	\$ 459,972
23	Louisville-Jefferson County Metro Government	SPC Simon Hall FY24	KY0111L4I012314	Accepted	100	83	83.00%	\$ -	\$ 53,974
24	Wayside Christian Mission	Women's permanent supportive housing-FY23	KY0102L4I012315	Accepted	100	81	81.00%	\$ -	\$ 41,171
25	Coalition for the Homeless, Inc.	Transitional Housing for Young Adults	KY0099L4I012315	Accepted	90	72	80.00%	\$ -	\$ 230,605
26	Society of St. Vincent de Paul, Council of Louisville, Inc.	Homes with Hope	KY0131L4I012310	Accepted	100	79.5	79.50%	\$ -	\$ 169,374
27	Wellspring, Inc. (dba Schizophrenia Foundation, KY, Inc.)	Murray-Baxter Permanent Supportive Housing	KY0059L4I012316	Accepted	100	78.5	78.50%	\$ -	\$ 68,379
28	Volunteers of America Mid-States, Inc.	CoC Joint RRH/TH FY2024	KY0192L4I012306	Accepted	88	69	78.41%	\$ -	\$ 577,642
29	Society of St. Vincent de Paul, Council of Louisville, Inc.	DV TH/RRH	KY0230D4I012304	Accepted	88	69	78.41%	\$ -	\$ 942,232
30	House of Ruth, Inc	Homes with Heart 2024	KY0053L4I012316	Accepted	100	77	77.00%	\$ -	\$ 185,409
31	Society of St. Vincent de Paul, Council of Louisville, Inc.	Collaborative Housing Initiative	KY0095L4I012315	Accepted	100	77	77.00%	\$ -	\$ 641,261
32	Louisville-Jefferson County Metro Government	PSH NC I FY24 (KY0130L4I012410)	KY0130L4I012310	Accepted	100	76	76.00%	\$ -	\$ 195,990
33	Volunteers of America Mid-States, Inc.	RRH for Families Renewal FY2024	KY0140L4I012310	Accepted	100	76	76.00%	\$ -	\$ 138,034
34	Louisville-Jefferson County Metro Government	SPC Lou TBRA FY24	KY0068L4I012316	Accepted	100	76	76.00%	\$ -	\$ 2,632,557
35	Wayside Christian Mission	Men's Permanent Supportive Housing	KY0057L4I012316	Accepted	100	75	75.00%	\$ -	\$ 154,122
36	House of Ruth, Inc	SPC Kersy Condo 2024	KY0069L4I012316	Accepted	100	72	72.00%	\$ -	\$ 41,985
37	Louisville-Jefferson County Metro Government	PSH III CH FY24	KY0174L4I012307	Accepted	100	70	70.00%	\$ -	\$ 152,945
38	Louisville-Jefferson County Metro Government	PSH NC II FY24	KY0135L4I012310	Accepted	100	70	70.00%	\$ -	\$ 242,746
39	Volunteers of America Mid-States, Inc.	Joint TH/RRH FY2024 C3	*New: Bonus*	Accepted	135	124.32	92.09%	\$ -	\$ 992,740
40	Young Adult Development in Action, Inc.	FY24 New TH-RRH Project	*New: Bonus*	Accepted	135	98.98	73.32%	\$ -	\$ 581,929
41	Choices, Inc.	NuHOME PSH 2024	KY0132L4I012311	Accepted	100	64	64.00%	\$ -	\$ 92,878
42	ZeroV	ZeroV Louisville Rapid Rehousing Expansion	*New: DV Bonus*	Accepted	140	120.72	86.23%	\$ -	\$ 789,545
								\$ -	\$ 17,518,624

Projects That Do No Require Ranking

Rank	Applicant Name	Project Name	Expiring Grant Number	Accepted/Rejected	Award
N/A	YMCA of Greater Louisville	YMCA Street Outreach - Case Management Y&YA	KY0216Y4I012304	Accepted	\$ 328,994.00
N/A	Young Adult Development in Action, Inc.	FY234YBL YHDP SSO Renewal	KY0218Y4I012304	Accepted	\$ 304,864.00
N/A	Young Adult Development in Action, Inc.	FY24 YBL YHDP RRH Renewal	KY0219Y4I012304	Accepted	\$ 207,797.00
N/A	The Greater Louisville Workforce Investment Board, Inc. dba KentuckianaWorks	Youth ShelterWorks Renewal 2024	KY0220Y4I012304	Accepted	\$ 100,000.00
N/A	Seven Counties Services Inc.	SCS YHDP Renewal 2024	KY0221Y4I012304	Accepted	\$ 50,000.00
N/A	Family Scholar House, Inc.	FSH Homeless Young Adults and Youth Program 2024	KY0223Y4I012304	Accepted	\$ 84,266.00
N/A	Home of the Innocents	HOTI RRH YHDP	KY0278Y4I012301	Accepted	\$ 744,924.00
N/A	Coalition for the Homeless, Inc.	CoC Planning	N/A - New	Accepted	\$ 848,763.00

1E-5b: Local Competition Selection Results

Included in this attachment:

- List of Local Competition Selection Results, including all new and renewal, YHDP, and CoC Planning Projects.

All Projects: Louisville/Jefferson County Continuum of Care (KY-501) FY24

RANKED PROJECTS

Rank	Applicant Name	Project Name	Expiring Grant Number	Accepted/Rejected	Points Possible	Points Earned	% Score	Reallocated \$	Award
1	Volunteers of America Mid-States, Inc.	PSH Monarch Station	KY0309L4I012300	Accepted			1st Renewal	\$ -	\$ 120,953
2	Uniting Partners for Women and Children	Rapid Rehousing and Supportive Services for Women Fleeing Domestic Violence	KY0310D4I012300	Accepted			1st Renewal	\$ -	\$ 306,855
3	Young Adult Development in Action, Inc.	YBL YHDP TH-RRH	KY0312L4I012300	Accepted			1st Renewal	\$ -	\$ 309,172
4	Louisville-Jefferson County Metro Government	The Chancery FY24	KY0313L4I012300	Accepted			1st Renewal	\$ -	\$ 501,063
5	St. John Center, Inc.	Single Site Permanent Supportive Housing	KY0275L4I012200	Accepted			1st Op. Year	\$ -	\$ 683,717
6	Wellspring, Inc. (dba Schizophrenia Foundation, KY, Inc.)	Southeast Permanent Supportive Housing	KY0255L4I012301	Accepted			1st Renewal	\$ -	\$ 387,326
7	ZeroV	ZeroV Louisville Rapid Rehousing	KY0277D4I012301	Accepted			1st Op. Year	\$ -	\$ 785,415
8	Family Health Centers, Inc.	FHC Common Assessment	KY0129L4I012311	Accepted			Pass Review	\$ -	\$ 509,416
9	Seven Counties Services	Homeless Outreach Team 2024	KY0191L4I012306	Accepted			Pass Review	\$ -	\$ 94,825
10	Coalition for the Homeless, Inc.	Coordinated Entry Diversion 1	KY0210L4I012305	Accepted			Pass Review	\$ -	\$ 110,187
11	Coalition for the Homeless, Inc.	Single Point of Entry	KY0211L4I012305	Accepted			Pass Review	\$ -	\$ 79,502
12	St. John Center, Inc.	Coordinated Entry Outreach	KY0232L4I012304	Accepted			Pass Review	\$ -	\$ 271,856
13	Coalition for the Homeless, Inc.	HMS Consolidated Grant	KY0056L4I012316	Accepted			Pass Review	\$ -	\$ 130,075
14	Coalition for the Homeless, Inc.	Permanent Supportive Housing for the Chronically Homeless	KY0097L4I012315	Accepted	100	90	90.00%	\$ -	\$ 636,281
15	Coalition for the Homeless, Inc.	Supportive Housing for Chronically Homeless	KY0048L4I012314	Accepted	100	87	87.00%	\$ -	\$ 411,924
16	Louisville-Jefferson County Metro Government	RRH DV FY24	KY0147L4I012309	Accepted	100	87	87.00%	\$ -	\$ 66,751
17	Coalition for the Homeless, Inc.	Collaborative Housing for Chronically Homeless	KY0050L4I012316	Accepted	100	86.67	86.67%	\$ -	\$ 870,537
18	Coalition for the Homeless, Inc.	Family Health Centers Rx: Housing	KY0173L4I012307	Accepted	100	86.5	86.50%	\$ -	\$ 548,900
19	Coalition for the Homeless, Inc.	Permanent Supportive Housing for Youth and Adults	KY0061L4I012316	Accepted	100	86	86.00%	\$ -	\$ 248,445
20	Coalition for the Homeless, Inc.	Louisville Alliance for Supportive Housing	KY0124L4I012312	Accepted	100	86	86.00%	\$ -	\$ 789,615
21	Wellspring, Inc. (dba Schizophrenia Foundation, KY, Inc.)	Journey Permanent Supportive Housing	KY0133L4I012311	Accepted	100	84.5	84.50%	\$ -	\$ 297,679
22	Society of St. Vincent de Paul, Council of Louisville, Inc.	SVDP on Campus PSH	KY0107L4I012312	Accepted	100	83	83.00%	\$ -	\$ 459,972
23	Louisville-Jefferson County Metro Government	SPC Simon Hall FY24	KY0111L4I012314	Accepted	100	83	83.00%	\$ -	\$ 53,974
24	Wayside Christian Mission	Women's permanent supportive housing-FY23	KY0102L4I012315	Accepted	100	81	81.00%	\$ -	\$ 41,171
25	Coalition for the Homeless, Inc.	Transitional Housing for Young Adults	KY0099L4I012315	Accepted	90	72	80.00%	\$ -	\$ 230,605
26	Society of St. Vincent de Paul, Council of Louisville, Inc.	Homes with Hope	KY0131L4I012310	Accepted	100	79.5	79.50%	\$ -	\$ 169,374
27	Wellspring, Inc. (dba Schizophrenia Foundation, KY, Inc.)	Murray-Baxter Permanent Supportive Housing	KY0059L4I012316	Accepted	100	78.5	78.50%	\$ -	\$ 68,379
28	Volunteers of America Mid-States, Inc.	CoC Joint RRH/TH FY2024	KY0192L4I012306	Accepted	88	69	78.41%	\$ -	\$ 577,642
29	Society of St. Vincent de Paul, Council of Louisville, Inc.	DV TH/RRH	KY0230D4I012304	Accepted	88	69	78.41%	\$ -	\$ 942,232
30	House of Ruth, Inc	Homes with Heart 2024	KY0053L4I012316	Accepted	100	77	77.00%	\$ -	\$ 185,409
31	Society of St. Vincent de Paul, Council of Louisville, Inc.	Collaborative Housing Initiative	KY0095L4I012315	Accepted	100	77	77.00%	\$ -	\$ 641,261
32	Louisville-Jefferson County Metro Government	PSH NC I FY24 (KY0130L4I012410)	KY0130L4I012310	Accepted	100	76	76.00%	\$ -	\$ 195,990
33	Volunteers of America Mid-States, Inc.	RRH for Families Renewal FY2024	KY0140L4I012310	Accepted	100	76	76.00%	\$ -	\$ 138,034
34	Louisville-Jefferson County Metro Government	SPC Lou TBRA FY24	KY0068L4I012316	Accepted	100	76	76.00%	\$ -	\$ 2,632,557
35	Wayside Christian Mission	Men's Permanent Supportive Housing	KY0057L4I012316	Accepted	100	75	75.00%	\$ -	\$ 154,122
36	House of Ruth, Inc	SPC Kersy Condo 2024	KY0069L4I012316	Accepted	100	72	72.00%	\$ -	\$ 41,985
37	Louisville-Jefferson County Metro Government	PSH III CH FY24	KY0174L4I012307	Accepted	100	70	70.00%	\$ -	\$ 152,945
38	Louisville-Jefferson County Metro Government	PSH NC II FY24	KY0135L4I012310	Accepted	100	70	70.00%	\$ -	\$ 242,746
39	Volunteers of America Mid-States, Inc.	Joint TH/RRH FY2024 C3	*New: Bonus*	Accepted	135	124.32	92.09%	\$ -	\$ 992,740
40	Young Adult Development in Action, Inc.	FY24 New TH-RRH Project	*New: Bonus*	Accepted	135	98.98	73.32%	\$ -	\$ 581,929
41	Choices, Inc.	NuHOME PSH 2024	KY0132L4I012311	Accepted	100	64	64.00%	\$ -	\$ 92,878
42	ZeroV	ZeroV Louisville Rapid Rehousing Expansion	*New: DV Bonus*	Accepted	140	120.72	86.23%	\$ -	\$ 789,545
								\$ -	\$ 17,545,984

Projects That Do No Require Ranking

Rank	Applicant Name	Project Name	Expiring Grant Number	Accepted/Rejected	Award
N/A	YMCA of Greater Louisville	YMCA Street Outreach - Case Management Y&YA	KY0216Y4I012304	Accepted	\$ 328,994.00
N/A	Young Adult Development in Action, Inc.	FY234YBL YHDP SSO Renewal	KY0218Y4I012304	Accepted	\$ 304,864.00
N/A	Young Adult Development in Action, Inc.	FY24 YBL YHDP RRH Renewal	KY0219Y4I012304	Accepted	\$ 207,797.00
N/A	The Greater Louisville Workforce Investment Board, Inc. dba KentuckianaWorks	Youth ShelterWorks Renewal 2024	KY0220Y4I012304	Accepted	\$ 100,000.00
N/A	Seven Counties Services Inc.	SCS YHDP Renewal 2024	KY0221Y4I012304	Accepted	\$ 50,000.00
N/A	Family Scholar House, Inc.	FSH Homeless Young Adults and Youth Program 2024	KY0223Y4I012304	Accepted	\$ 84,266.00
N/A	Home of the Innocents	HOTI RRH YHDP	KY0278Y4I012301	Accepted	\$ 744,924.00
N/A	Coalition for the Homeless, Inc.	CoC Planning	N/A - New	Accepted	\$ 848,763.00

\$ 2,669,608.00

2A-6: HUD's Homeless Data Exchange (HDX) Competition Report

Included in this attachment:

- Copy of HDX Competition Report

2024 HDX Competition Report

This workbook contains summary information about your CoC's data as it was entered into HDX 1.0 and HDX 2.0 for your use as part of the 2024 Competition.

To Print this Workbook:

This document has been configured as printable with preset print areas of relevant sections. To print it, go to "File", then "Print", then select "Print Entire Workbook" or "Print Active Sheets" depending on your needs.

To Save This Workbook as a PDF:

Click the "File" Tab, then click "Save As" or "Save a Copy", then click "Browse" or "More Options" then select "PDF", click "Options", select "Entire Workbook", press "OK", and click "Save". These instructions may change depending on your version of Microsoft Excel.

On Accessibility, Navigability, and Printability:

This workbook attempts to maximize accessibility, navigability, printability, and ease of use. Merged cells have been avoided. All tables and text boxes have been given names. Extraneous rows and columns outside printed ranges have been hidden. Formulas may include references to hidden rows and columns or data tables. For ease of use, these referenced sources have been hidden but can be unhidden by any user at any time. Raw data sources contained in this workbook are named according to the module and fiscal year from which they originate - e.g. "HIC_2024" is the Housing Inventory Count raw data from Fiscal Year 2024.

For Questions:

If you have questions, please reach out to HUD via the "Ask a Question" page, <https://www.hudexchange.info/program-support/my-question/> and choose "HDX" as the topic.

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - Summary

KY-501 - Louisville-Jefferson County CoC

HDX Data Submission Participation Information

Government FY and HDX Module Abbreviation	Met Module Deadline*	Data From	Data Collection Period in HDX 2.0
2023 LSA	Yes	Government FY 2023 (10/1/22 - 9/30/23).	November 2023 to January of 2024
2023 SPM	Yes	Government FY 2023 (10/1/22 - 9/30/23).**	February 2024 to March 2024
2024 HIC	Yes	Government FY 2024. Exact HIC and PIT dates will vary by CoC. For most CoCs, it will be last Wednesday in January of 2024.	March 2024 to May 2024
2024 PIT	Yes	Government FY 2024. Exact HIC and PIT dates will vary by CoC. For most CoCs, it will be last Wednesday in January of 2024.	March 2024 to May 2024

1) FY = Fiscal Year

2) *This considers all extensions where they were provided.

2) **"Met Deadline" in this context refers to FY23 SPM submissions. Resubmissions from FY 2022 (10/1/21 - 9/30/22) were also accepted during the data collection period, but these previous year's submissions are voluntarily and are not required.

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - LSA Summary & Usability Status

KY-501 - Louisville-Jefferson County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

LSA Usability Status 2023

Category	EST AO	EST AC	EST CO	RRH AO	RRH AC	RRH CO	PSH AO	PSH AC	PSH CO
Fully Usable				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Partially Usable									
Not Usable	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

EST

Category	2021	2022	2023
Total Sheltered Count	4,913	5,070	5,807
AO	3,412	3,496	4,022
AC	835	942	1,020
CO	152	171	221

RRH

Category	2021	2022	2023
Total Sheltered Count	1,031	904	792
AO	429	378	312
AC	594	522	478
CO	0	0	0

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - LSA Summary & Usability Status

KY-501 - Louisville-Jefferson County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

PSH

Category	2021	2022	2023
Total Sheltered Count	1,967	1,738	1,999
AO	1,200	982	1,288
AC	741	732	687
CO	0	0	0

- 1) Glossary: EST = Emergency Shelter, Save Haven, & Transitional Housing; RRH = Rapid Re-housing; PSH = Permanent Supportive Housing; AO = Persons in Households without Children; AC = Persons in Households with at least one Adult and one Child; CO=Persons in Households with only Children
- 2) Because people have multiple stays in shelter over the course of a year and stay in different household configurations, a single person can be counted in more than one household type. Therefore, the sum of the number of people by household type may be greater than the unique count of people.
- 3) Total Sheltered count only includes those served in HMIS participating projects reported by your CoC.
- 4) For CoCs that experienced mergers during any of these reporting periods, historical data will include only the original CoCs.

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

KY-501 - Louisville-Jefferson County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Measure 1: Length of Time Persons Remain Homeless

This measures the number of clients active in the report date range across ES, SH (Metric 1.1) and then ES, SH and TH (Metric 1.2) along with their average and median length of time homeless. This includes time homeless during the report date range as well as prior to the report start date, going back no further than the look back stop date or client's date of birth, whichever is later.

Metric 1.1: Change in the average and median length of time persons are homeless in ES and SH projects.

Metric 1.2: Change in the average and median length of time persons are homeless in ES, SH, and TH projects.

a. This measure is of the client's entry, exit, and bed night dates strictly as entered in the HMIS system.

Metric	Universe (Persons)	Average LOT Homeless (bed nights)	Median LOT Homeless (bed nights)
1.1 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, and SH	5,187	55.5	13.0
1.2 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, and TH	5,672	75.1	19.0

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

KY-501 - Louisville-Jefferson County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

b. This measure is based on data element 3.917

This measure includes data from each client's Living Situation (Data Standards element 3.917) response as well as time spent in permanent housing projects between Project Start and Housing Move-In. This information is added to the client's entry date, effectively extending the client's entry date backward in time. This "adjusted entry date" is then used in the calculations just as if it were the client's actual entry date.

Metric	Universe (Persons)	Average LOT Homeless (bed nights)	Median LOT Homeless (bed nights)
1.1 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, and PH (prior to "housing move in")	5,688	650.3	190.0
1.2 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH, and PH (prior to "housing move in")	6,018	659.0	207.0

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

KY-501 - Louisville-Jefferson County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness for Persons who Exit to Permanent Housing (PH) Destinations

This measures clients who exited SO, ES, TH, SH or PH to a permanent housing destination in the date range two years prior to the report date range. Of those clients, the measure reports on how many of them returned to homelessness as indicated in the HMIS for up to two years after their initial exit.

Metric	Total # of Persons Exited to a PH Destination (2 Yrs Prior)	Returns to Homelessness in Less than 6 Months (0 - 180 days)		Returns to Homelessness from 6 to 12 Months (181 - 365 days)		Returns to Homelessness from 13 to 24 Months (366 - 730 days)		Number of Returns in 2 Years	
	Count	Count	% of Returns	Count	% of Returns ⁴	Count	% of Returns ⁶	Count	% of Returns ⁸
Exit was from SO	165	39	23.6%	11	6.7%	16	9.7%	66	40.0%
Exit was from ES	577	69	12.0%	36	6.2%	47	8.2%	152	26.3%
Exit was from TH	207	12	5.8%	11	5.3%	9	4.4%	32	15.5%
Exit was from SH	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Exit was from PH	524	25	4.8%	17	3.2%	27	5.2%	69	13.2%
TOTAL Returns to Homelessness	1,473	145	9.8%	75	5.1%	99	6.7%	319	21.7%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

KY-501 - Louisville-Jefferson County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Measure 3: Number of Homeless Persons

Metric 3.1 – Change in PIT Counts

Please refer to PIT section for relevant data.

Metric 3.2 – Change in Annual Counts

This measures the change in annual counts of sheltered homeless persons in HMIS.

Metric	Value
Universe: Unduplicated Total sheltered homeless persons	6,205
Emergency Shelter Total	5,703
Safe Haven Total	0
Transitional Housing Total	747

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

KY-501 - Louisville-Jefferson County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Measure 4: Employment and Income Growth for Homeless Persons in CoC Program-funded Projects

This measure is divided into six tables capturing employment and non-employment income changes for system leavers and stayers. The project types reported in these metrics are the same for each metric, but the type of income and universe of clients differs. In addition, the projects reported within these tables are limited to CoC-funded projects.

Metric 4.1 – Change in earned income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	663
Number of adults with increased earned income	29
Percentage of adults who increased earned income	4.4%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

KY-501 - Louisville-Jefferson County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Metric 4.2 – Change in non-employment cash income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	663
Number of adults with increased non-employment cash income	192
Percentage of adults who increased non-employment cash income	29.0%

Metric 4.3 – Change in total income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	663
Number of adults with increased total income	213
Percentage of adults who increased total income	32.1%

Metric 4.4 – Change in earned income for adult system leavers

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	395
Number of adults who exited with increased earned income	54
Percentage of adults who increased earned income	13.7%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

KY-501 - Louisville-Jefferson County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Metric 4.5 – Change in non-employment cash income for adult system leavers

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	395
Number of adults who exited with increased non-employment cash income	74
Percentage of adults who increased non-employment cash income	18.7%

Metric 4.6 – Change in total income for adult system leavers

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	395
Number of adults who exited with increased total income	122
Percentage of adults who increased total income	30.9%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

KY-501 - Louisville-Jefferson County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Measure 5: Number of Persons who Become Homeless for the First Time

This measures the number of people entering the homeless system through ES, SH, or TH (Metric 5.1) or ES, SH, TH, or PH (Metric 5.2) and determines whether they have any prior enrollments in the HMIS over the past two years. Those with no prior enrollments are considered to be experiencing homelessness for the first time.

Metric 5.1 – Change in the number of persons entering ES, SH, and TH projects with no prior enrollments in HMIS

Metric	Value
Universe: Person with entries into ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH or TH during the reporting period.	5,736
Of persons above, count those who were in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH or any PH within 24 months prior to their entry during the reporting year.	1,475
Of persons above, count those who did not have entries in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH or PH in the previous 24 months. (i.e. Number of persons experiencing homelessness for the first time)	4,261

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

KY-501 - Louisville-Jefferson County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Metric 5.2 – Change in the number of persons entering ES, SH, TH, and PH projects with no prior enrollments in HMIS

Metric	Value
Universe: Person with entries into ES, SH, TH or PH during the reporting period.	6,007
Of persons above, count those who were in ES, SH, TH or any PH within 24 months prior to their entry during the reporting year.	1,577
Of persons above, count those who did not have entries in ES, SH, TH or PH in the previous 24 months. (i.e. Number of persons experiencing homelessness for the first time.)	4,430

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

KY-501 - Louisville-Jefferson County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Measure 6: Homeless Prevention and Housing Placement of Persons defined by category 3 of HUD's Homeless Definition in CoC Program-funded Projects

Measure 6 is not applicable to CoCs in this reporting period.

Measure 7: Successful Placement from Street Outreach and Successful Placement in or Retention of Permanent Housing

This measures positive movement out of the homeless system and is divided into three tables: movement off the streets from Street Outreach (Metric 7a.1); movement into permanent housing situations from ES, SH, TH, and RRH (Metric 7b.1); and retention or exits to permanent housing situations from PH (other than PH-RRH).

Metric 7a.1 – Change in SO exits to temp. destinations, some institutional destinations, and permanent housing destinations

Metric	Value
Universe: Persons who exit Street Outreach	1,090
Of persons above, those who exited to temporary & some institutional destinations	37
Of the persons above, those who exited to permanent housing destinations	115
% Successful exits	13.9%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

KY-501 - Louisville-Jefferson County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Metric 7b.1 – Change in ES, SH, TH, and PH-RRH exits to permanent housing destinations

Metric	Value
Universe: Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH and PH-RRH who exited, plus persons in other PH projects who exited without moving into housing	4,802
Of the persons above, those who exited to permanent housing destinations	1,058
% Successful exits	22.0%

Metric 7b.2 – Change in PH exits to permanent housing destinations or retention of permanent housing

Metric	Value
Universe: Persons in all PH projects except PH-RRH who exited after moving into housing, or who moved into housing and remained in the PH project	1,885
Of persons above, those who remained in applicable PH projects and those who exited to permanent housing destinations	1,823
% Successful exits/retention	96.7%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

KY-501 - Louisville-Jefferson County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

System Performance Measures Data Quality

Data coverage and quality will allow HUD to better interpret your SPM submissions.

Metric	All ES, SH	All TH	All PSH, OPH	All RRH	All Street Outreach
Unduplicated Persons Served (HMIS)	5,503	812	2,250	801	463
Total Leavers (HMIS)	4,896	546	399	490	278
Destination of Don't Know, Refused, or Missing (HMIS)	1,815	13	15	1	14
Destination Error Rate (Calculated)	37.1%	2.4%	3.8%	0.2%	5.0%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Notes

KY-501 - Louisville-Jefferson County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Notes For Each SPM Measure

Note: Cells may need to be resized to accomodate notes with lots of text.

Measure	Notes
Measure 1	.
Measure 2	No notes.
Measure 3	No notes.
Measure 4	No notes.
Measure 5	No notes.
Measure 6	No Notes. Measure 6 was not applicable to CoCs in this reporting period.
Measure 7	No notes.
Data Quality	No notes.

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - HIC Summary

KY-501 - Louisville-Jefferson County CoC

For HIC conducted in January/February of 2024

HMIS Bed Coverage Rates

Project Type	Total Year-Round, Current Beds	Total Year-Round, Current Beds in HMIS or Comparable Database	Total Year-Round, Current, Non-VSP Beds	Removed From Denominator: OPH EHV [†] Beds or Beds Affected by Natural Disaster*	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current, Non-VSP Beds	Adjusted HMIS Bed Coverage Rate for Year-Round, Current Beds
ES	678	609	609	0	609	100.0%
SH	0	0	0	0	0	NA
TH	360	332	360	0	360	92.2%
RRH	285	285	285	0	285	100.0%
PSH	1,907	1,883	1,907	0	1,907	98.7%
OPH	913	83	913	306	607	13.7%
Total	4,143	3,192	4,074	306	3,768	84.7%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report

KY-501 - Louisville-Jefferson Co

For HIC conducted in January/February

HMIS Bed Coverage Rates

Project Type	Total Year-Round, Current Beds	Total Year-Round, Current, VSP Beds in an HMIS-Comparable Database	Total Year-Round, Current, VSP Beds	Removed From Denominator: OPH EHV [†] Beds or Beds Affected by Natural Disaster**	Adjusted Total Year-Round Current, VSP Beds	HMIS Comparable Bed Coverage Rate for VSP Beds
ES	678	69	69	0	69	100.00%
SH	0	0	0	0	0	NA
TH	360	0	0	0	0	NA
RRH	285	0	0	0	0	NA
PSH	1,907	0	0	0	0	NA
OPH	913	0	0	0	0	NA
Total	4,143	69	69	0	69	100.00%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report

KY-501 - Louisville-Jefferson Co

For HIC conducted in January/1

HMIS Bed Coverage Rates

Project Type	Total Year-Round, Current Beds	Total Year-Round, Current, HMIS Beds and VSP Beds in an HMIS-Comparable Database	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current, Non-VSP and VSP Beds	HMIS and Comparable Database Coverage Rate
ES	678	678	678	100.00%
SH	0	0	0	NA
TH	360	332	360	92.22%
RRH	285	285	285	100.00%
PSH	1,907	1,883	1,907	98.74%
OPH	913	83	607	13.67%
Total	4,143	3,261	3,837	84.99%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - HIC Summary

KY-501 - Louisville-Jefferson County CoC

For HIC conducted in January/February of 2024

Rapid Re-housing Beds Dedicated to All Persons

Metric	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
RRH beds available to serve all pops. on the HIC	381	266	357	305	285

1) † EHV = Emergency Housing Voucher

2) *This column includes Current, Year-Round, Natural Disaster beds not associated with a VSP that are not HMIS-participating. For OPH Beds, this includes beds that are Current, Non-HMIS, and EHV-funded.

3) **This column includes Current, Year-Round, Natural Disaster beds associated with a VSP that are not HMIS-participating or HMIS-comparable database participating. For OPH Beds, this includes beds that are Current, VSP, Non-HMIS, and EHV-funded.

4) Data included in these tables reflect what was entered into HDX 2.0.

5) In the HIC, "Year-Round Beds" is the sum of "Beds HH w/o Children", "Beds HH w/ Children", and "Beds HH w/ only Children". This does not include Overflow ("O/V Beds") or Seasonal Beds ("Total Seasonal Beds").

6) In the HIC, "Current" beds are beds with an "Inventory Type" of "C" and not beds that are Under Development ("Inventory Type" of "U").

7) For historical data: Aggregated data from CoCs that merged are not displayed if HIC data were created separately - that is, only data from the CoC into which the merge occurred are displayed. Additional reports can be requested via AAQ for any CoCs that have been subsumed into other CoCs.

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - PIT Summary

KY-501 - Louisville-Jefferson County CoC

For PIT conducted in January/February of 2024

Submission Information

Date of PIT Count	Received HUD Waiver
1/29/24	Not Applicable

Total Population PIT Count Data

Category	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
PIT Count Type	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	Sheltered and full unsheltered count	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count
Emergency Shelter Total	697	722	549	594	757	881
Safe Haven Total	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transitional Housing Total	256	239	207	243	267	252
Total Sheltered Count	953	961	756	837	1,024	1,133
Total Unsheltered Count	118	141	257	243	581	595
Total Sheltered and Unsheltered Count*	1,071	1,102	1,013	1,080	1,605	1,728

1) *Data included in this table reflect what was entered into HDX 1.0 and 2.0. This may differ from what was included in federal reports if the PIT count type was either sheltered only or partial unsheltered count.

2) Aggregated data from CoCs that merged is not displayed if PIT data were entered separately - that is, only data from the CoC into which the merge occurred are displayed. Additional reports can be requested via AAQ for any CoCs that have been subsumed into other CoCs.

3) In 2021, for CoCs that conducted a "Sheltered and partial unsheltered count", only aggregate and not demographic data were collected.

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - PIT Summary

KY-501 - Louisville-Jefferson County CoC

For PIT conducted in January/February of 2024

3A-1a: Housing Leveraging Commitment

Included in this attachment:

- Letter from Louisville Metro Housing Authority committing 10 Special Referral Voucher serving an anticipated 32 program participants to Volunteers of America Mid-States project Joint TH/RRH FY2024 C3.



October 21, 2024

Erik D. Hoglund
Office of CPD, US Dept. of Housing and Urban Development
601 W. Broadway, Room 110
Louisville, KY 40202

Subject: Leveraging Housing Resources Commitment

Dear Mr. Hoglund:

On behalf of the Louisville Metro Housing Authority (LMHA), please accept this letter as our commitment to support Volunteers of America Mid-States's new project application to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development's Continuum of Care. The project name is Joint TH/RRH FY2024 C3. The provision of 10 special referral vouchers will be available during the project period of January 1, 2026 to December 31, 2026, provided the Housing Authority determines, through its sole discretion, that it has the voucher capacity available at the time of unit turnover and subject to the LMHA's prioritization of voucher issuance among applicants who have an admissions preference. As part of this program, referrals have an admission preference to the Housing Choice Voucher program and are thus moved toward the top of the waiting list. Since 2005, the Housing Authority has had the capacity to prioritize the applications submitted by Special Referral partners. Through the 10 special referrals vouchers, LMHA anticipates serving 32 program participants.

Using regulatory flexibilities provided through the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development's Moving to Work program, LMHA has created a number of unique Special Referral Programs in partnership with local non-profit organizations. These programs assist traditionally underserved populations, including homeless and chronically homeless, by combining rental assistance with wraparound social services. Special Referral Program applicants must meet both Housing Choice Voucher program eligibility requirements and any additional eligibility requirements of the social services provider. VOA's Housing Program is a referral partner for the Special Referral program.

We are pleased to be a partner with Volunteers of America Mid-States to address the homeless population in our community.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Strojan
Elizabeth Strojan
Executive Director



3A-2a: Healthcare Formal Agreements

Included in this attachment:

- Leveraging Commitment from Volunteers of America Mid-States for Volunteers of America Mid-States project Joint TH/RRH FY2023 C3.

September 23, 2024

Erik D. Hoglund
Office of CPD
US Dept. of Housing and Urban Development
Gene Snyder Courthouse
601 W. Broadway, Room 110
Louisville, KY 40202

Subject: Leveraging Healthcare Resources Commitment

Dear Mr. Hoglund:

On behalf of Volunteers of America Mid-States, I submit this letter indicating our commitment to the Coalition for the Homeless's application to HUD's Continuum of Care NOFO. The project name is listed as Joint TH/RRH FY2024 C3.

Volunteers of America Mid-States is a substance use treatment and recovery provider. Volunteers of America Mid-States commits to providing access to substance use treatment & recovery services for all program participants who qualify and choose the services. Project eligibility for program participants in the project will be based on CoC Program Fair Housing requirements and will not be restricted by the healthcare service provider.

We will make these services available to program participants who choose to receive them, including residential treatment, case management, therapy, psychiatric, and peer support services. The resources will be available by the start of the grant period and available for the project's full grant term.

Sincerely,



Tom George
Chief Financial Officer