

FY26 Louisville-Jefferson County CoC Scoring Materials

New (Reallocation) PH/TH Projects Rubric

The following rubric will be used to score and rank the following project types:
 A new PSH, RRH or TH project that results from a reallocation of current CoC funds.
 This includes one-year transition grants.

CFH COMPLETED SECTION

SECTION 1.A. OBJECTIVE CRITERIA: CoC PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT RELATED CRITERIA

Measure:	Maintenance of or Exits to Permanent Housing							
Description:	Measures the percentage of clients served in your project who have either stayed in your project or have left to another permanent destination. This is to gauge how well programs are doing at housing clients and keeping them housed. This is a measure we are evaluated on as a CoC when reporting system performance measures.							
Applicable to:	PSH and PSH to TH transition projects							
Data Source:	APR, Question 5a,8 and question 23c							
Formula:	(Total # of Stayers from APR question 5a, 8	+	Total # of persons exiting to a positive destination from APR question 23c)	/	(Total number of persons served from APR question 5a, 1	-	Persons exiting to excluded destinations from APR question 23c)	=
Computation:		+		/		-		= #DIV/0!
CoC Average:	95%							
Max Points:	10							
Point Basis:	10 Points: 96% and Greater 8 Points: Between 90% and 95% 6 Points: Between 85% and 89% 4 Points: Between 80% and 84% 2 Points Between 75% and 79% 0 Points: 74.99% or Less							
POINTS AWARDED:								

Measure:	Exits to Unsubsidized Housing							
Description:	Measures the percentage of clients served in your project who have exited to an unsubsidized permanent housing destination. This is to gauge how well programs are doing at housing clients and preparing clients to be economically independent.							
Applicable to:	All							
Data Source:	APR, question 23c							
Formula:	(Total # of persons who exited to Permanent Situations, APR question 23c, subtotal under Permanent Situations	-	Total # of persons exiting to destinations with an ongoing housing subsidy from APR question 23c, under Permanent Situations)	/	(Total # of persons exiting to positive housing destinations, APR question 23c	-	Persons exiting to excluded destinations from APR question 23c)	=
Computation:		-		/		-		= #DIV/0!
CoC Average:	75%							
Max Points:	5							
Point Basis:	5 Points: 80% and Greater 4 Points: Between 60% and 79% 3 Points: Between 40% and 59% 2 Points: Between 20% and 39% 1 Points Between 10% and 19% 0 Points: 10% or Less							
POINTS AWARDED:								

Measure:	Gained or Increased Employment Income							
Description:	Measures percent of clients who increased their income from employment ("earned income") while in your project. Helping clients to increase their employment income improves their financial stability and ability to secure or maintain housing. This is a measure we are evaluated on as a CoC when reporting system performance measures.							
Applicable to:	All							
Data Source:	APR Questions 19a1 and 19a2							

Formula:	(19a1 Row "Number of Adults with Earned Income," Column "Performance Measure: Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Annual Assessment")	+	19a2 Row "Number of Adults with Earned Income," Column "Performance Measure: Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Exit")	/	19a1 Row "Number of Adults with Earned Income," Column "Total Adults (including those with No Income)"	+	19a2 Row "Number of Adults with Earned Income," Column "Total Adults (including those with No Income)"	=	
Computation:		+		/		+		=	
CoC Average:								=	#DIV/0!
Max Points:									10%
Max Points:									5
Point Basis:	5 Points: 15% and Greater 3 Points: Between 10% and 14% 1 Point: Between 5% and 9% 0 Points: 4% or Less								
POINTS AWARDED:									

Measure:	Returns to Homelessness within 12 months of exit								
Description:	Measures the rate of returns to homelessness within 12 months after exit to a permanent destination.								
Applicable to:	All								
Data Source:	HMIS System Performance Measure reporting								
Formula:	Total # of returns within 12 months of exit	/	Total # of permanent exits	x	100	=			
Computation:		/		x	100	=	#DIV/0!		
CoC Average:									22.00%
Max Points:									5
Point Basis:	5 points: Less than 20% of returns within 12 months of project exit 4 points: Between 20 and 30% returns 3 points: Between 30 and 40% returns 2 points: Between 40 and 50% returns 1 point: Between 50 and 60% returns 0 points: More than 60% returns								
POINTS AWARDED:									

Measure:	Returns to Homelessness within 24 months of exit								
Description:	Measures the rate of returns to homelessness within 24 months after exit to a permanent destination.								
Applicable to:	All								
Data Source:	HMIS System Performance Measure reporting								
Formula:	Total # of returns within 24 months of exit	/	Total # of permanent exits	x	100	=			
Computation:		/		x	100	=	#DIV/0!		
CoC Average:									19.00%
Max Points:									5
Point Basis:	5 points: Less than 20% of returns within 24 months of project exit 4 points: Between 20 and 30% returns 3 points: Between 30 and 40% returns 2 points: Between 40 and 50% returns 1 point: Between 50 and 60% returns 0 points: More than 60% returns								
POINTS AWARDED:									

SECTION 1.B. OBJECTIVE CRITERIA: RAPID RETURN TO PERMANENT HOUSING AND SEVERITY OF BARRIERS EXPERIENCED BY PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS

Measure:	Analysis of Barriers - Disability								
Description:	Measures the percentage of clients served by your project with two or more disabling conditions. We recognize the barriers that persons with disabilities face in securing and maintaining housing relative to others. This measure acknowledges projects that disproportionately serve those clients.								
Applicable to:	All								
Data Source:	APR, Question 13a2								
Formula:	Total # of persons with two or more disabling conditions	/	(Total # of persons	-	Children in HH with Children and Adults with two or fewer disabling conditions)	=			

Computation:		/		-	=	#DIV/0!
CoC Average:	68%					
Max Points:	5					
Point Basis:	5 Points: 80% and Greater 4 Points: Between 70% and 79% 3 Points: Between 60% and 69% 2 Points: Between 50% and 59% 1 Point: Between 40% and 49% 0 Points: 39% or Less					
POINTS AWARDED:						

Measure:	Analysis of Barriers - History of Domestic Violence					
Description:	Measures the percentage of clients served by your project who are survivors of domestic violence. We recognize the barriers that survivors of DV face in securing housing. This measure acknowledges projects that disproportionately serve such clients.					
Applicable to:	All					
Data Source:	APR, Question 14a					
Formula:	Yes	/	Total	=		
Computation:		/		=	#DIV/0!	
CoC Average:	49%					
Max Points:	5					
Point Basis:	5 Points: 65% and Greater 4 Points: Between 55% and 64% 3 Points: Between 45% and 54% 2 Points: Between 35% and 44% 1 Point: Between 25% and 34% 0 Points: 24% or Less					
POINTS AWARDED:						

Measure:	Analysis of Barriers - Adults with No Income at Entry					
Description:	Measures the percentage of adults served by your project who had no income at project entry. We recognize the barriers this group faces in securing housing. This measure acknowledges the projects that disproportionately serve such clients.					
Applicable to:	All					
Data Source:	APR, Question 18					
Formula:	Adults with No Income	/	Total Adults	=		
Computation:		/		=	#DIV/0!	
CoC Average:	58%					
Max Points:	5					
Point Basis:	5 Points: 66% and Greater 4 Points: Between 61% and 65% 3 Points: Between 56% and 60% 2 Points: Between 50% and 55% 1 Point: Between 46% and 49% 0 Points: 45% or Less					
POINTS AWARDED:						

SECTION 1.C OTHER OBJECTIVE CRITERIA

Measure:	Expenditure of Grant Funds					
Description:	Measures the amount awarded that projects spent relative to total amount awarded.					
Applicable to:	All (excluding projects for whom FY25 was 1st operating year)					
Data Source:	HUD Spenddown Report					
Formula:	LOCCS Balance	/	Award	=		
Computation:		/		=	#DIV/0!	
CoC Average:	3.38%					
Max Points:	5					
Point Basis:	5 Points: Less than 1% Returned 4 Points: Between 1% and 2% Returned 3 Points: Between 3% and 4% Returned 2 Points: Between 5% and 6% Returned 1 Point: Between 6% and 7% Returned 0 Points: 7% or Greater Returned					
POINTS AWARDED:						

Measure:	Data Quality - Personally Identifiable Information, Universal Data Elements, Income and Housing Data Quality				
Description:	Measures data quality of clients' personally identifiable information in HMIS (name, SSN, date of birth, gender, and race/ethnicity), additional universal data elements, and income and destination data quality. CoC projects are required to collect these data elements for reporting. Capturing this data allows for more accurate reporting and analysis across the CoC.				
Applicable to:	All				
Data Source:	APR, 6a,b,c				
Formula:	Error Rate Below 5%	/	Data Elements	=	
Computation:		/	13	=	0.00%
Max Points:	2				
Point Basis:	2 Points: 13 out of 13 data elements (or 1 or fewer clients) with error rate below 5% 1.5 Points: 10 to 12 data elements with error rate below 5% 1 Point: 7 to 9 data elements with error rate below 5% .5 Points: 4 to 6 data elements with error rate below 5% 0 Points: 3 or less out of 13 data elements with error rate below 5%				
POINTS AWARDED:					

Measure:	Bed Utilization				
Description:	Measures the percentage of available beds in the project that were in use during the reporting period. This is measured by observing enrollments at a point in time during each of the four quarters in the reporting period, relative to the number of beds in the project. Higher utilization means the project is using more of its available resources at any given time, and more clients are being housed/sheltered.				
Applicable to:	PH and TH (excluding projects for whom FY24 was the first operating year)				
Data Source:	APR, 7b and Application				
Formula:	(Total PIT of Persons for January, April, July, October	/	4)	/	Number of BEDS Indicated in Application =
Computation:		/	4	/	= #DIV/0!
Max Points:	2				
Point Basis:	2 Points: Between 85% Utilization or Greater 1.5 Points: Between 75% and 84% Utilization 1 Point: Between 65% and 74% Utilization .5 Points: Between 55% and 64% Utilization 0 Points: 54% Utilization or Less				
POINTS AWARDED:					

Measure:	Cost per unit				
Description:	The total proposed budget for a grant divided by the number of units in the project. This measure ensures that all projects are cost effective.				
Applicable to:	PH and TH				
Data Source:	Proposed project application budget				
Formula:	Total grant balance	/	# of units	=	
Computation:		/		=	
CoC Average:	\$17,118.67				
Max Points:	5				
Point Basis:	5 points: At or below \$17000 per unit 2.5 points: Between \$17001 and \$19999 per unit 0 points: Above \$20000 per per unit				
POINTS AWARDED:					

Measure:	Cost per bed				
Description:	The total proposed budget for a grant divided by the number of persons served in the project. This measure ensures that all projects are cost effective.				
Applicable to:	PH and TH				
Data Source:	Proposed project application budget				
Formula:	Total grant budget	/	# of beds	=	
Computation:		/		=	
CoC Average:	\$10,571.97				
Max Points:	5				

Point Basis:	5 points: At or below \$10000 per bed 2.5 points: Between \$10001 and \$14999 per bed 0 points: Above \$15000 per bed
POINTS AWARDED:	

TO BE COMPLETED BY AGENCY

Section 1. OBJECTIVE CRITERIA

Measure:	Supportive services requirement
Description:	Will this project require each participant to engage in supportive services as a condition of long-term participation in the project? (ex. case management, substance abuse treatment, job skill training, etc.) *Note: all projects are required to upload a copy of their supportive services agreement
Applicable to:	All
Max Points:	5
Point Basis:	5 points: Yes 0 points: No
POINTS AWARDED:	

Measure:	Operation of drug injection sites or "safe consumption sites"
Description:	Applicant certifies that they do operate drug injection sites or "safe consumption sites," or knowingly distribute drug paraphernalia on or off of property under their control, permit the use or distribution of illicit drugs on property under their control, or conduct any of these activities under the pretext of "harm reduction."
Applicable to:	All
Max Points:	3
Point Basis:	3 points: Yes 0 points: No
POINTS AWARDED:	

Measure:	Timely funding disbursements
Description:	Have you regularly drawn funds from eLOCCS during your grant term, at least once per quarter? If not, explain why.
Applicable to:	All
Max Points:	5
Point Basis:	5 points: Yes 2.5 points: No, with explanation given 0 points: No, no explanation given
RESPONSE (500 word limit):	
POINTS AWARDED:	

SECTION 2. OTHER CRITERIA: NARRATIVES

Scoring Framework

Max Points: Applicant provided a clear answer that addressed all parts of the narrative requests.

Mid Points: Applicants provided an answer that addressed some/most parts of the narrative requests but was unclear.

Low to Zero Points: Applicant did not address the scoring criteria or did not provide a reasonable or understandable answer.

Does this project have on-site substance abuse treatment services available to participants? (Scattered site programs could meet this requirement through groups available to all scattered site participants or Substance Use Treatment professionals that conduct home visits.) If yes, please describe. List any treatment providers you will partner with by name. You may be required to provide letters of commitment from these treatment providers prior to grant submission. 500 word limit.

Criteria: Applicant clearly states if this project has on-site substance abuse treatment services available to participants. If relevant, applicant describes the nature of the activities.

Max points: 5

POINTS AWARDED:

Does this project have beds which are set aside exclusively for participants in substance abuse treatment? If yes, please describe. Clearly state the number of beds set aside for participants in substance abuse treatment. 500 word limit.

Criteria: Applicant clearly states if this project has beds which are set aside for participants in substance abuse treatment. If relevant, applicant describes any relevant details and names specific service partners.

Max points: 5

POINTS AWARDED:

Is CoC funding required to support active financing on a property? If yes, please describe. 500 word limit.

Criteria: Applicant clearly states if this project depends on CoC funding to support active financing on a property. If relevant, applicant

describes the nature of the active financing on the property.

Max points: 5

POINTS AWARDED:

Describe what supportive services (i.e., case management, behavioral healthcare, employment training, etc.) are offered by this project and how the project will assist program participant to obtain and maintain housing. If this project partners with other service partners to deliver services, please name partners. 500 word limit.

Criteria: Applicant demonstrates the type and scale of all supportive services available to participants (e.g. case management, substance abuse treatment, behavioral healthcare, job training, etc.). Applicant demonstrates that project will provide and/or partner with other organizations to provide robust wraparound services. Applicant describes how the services offered will help clients obtain and maintain housing.

Max points: 5

POINTS AWARDED:

Describe how this project provides, or partners with agencies that provide outpatient treatment for mental health and substance use disorders with a range of appropriate levels of care, psychosocial interventions, medication management, suicide prevention, and recovery supports. Describe how this project provides access to, peer recovery specialists or other forms of peer support and recovery navigation. 500 word limit.

Criteria: Applicant describes treatment services available specific to mental health and substance abuse. Applicable partners are named if relevant to service delivery. Applicant provides peer support services or access to other recovery specialists.

Max points: 5

POINTS AWARDED:

Describe the populations served by your project and how you will ensure that the supportive services provided are appropriate and accessible to all participants. Include any relevant details about how participant service plans are developed. 500 word limit.

Criteria: Applicant describes the populations served by this project which may include elderly individuals 55+, individuals with a disability/impairment, young adults, families, veterans, and survivors of domestic abuse, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking. Applicant describes how they will ensure all populations can access appropriate supportive services. Applicant provides details about the creation of participant service plans.

Max points: 5

POINTS AWARDED:

What is your strategy for designing supportive services plans that will promote self-sufficiency and economic independence for participants. 500 word limit.

Criteria: Applicant demonstrates how participants will be assisted in obtaining a customized supportive services plan which promotes sobriety, self-sufficiency, economic independence and stability. Applicant demonstrates understanding of the needs of the participants to be served.

Max points: 5

POINTS AWARDED:

***New TH Only* Describe how provide individualized services for program participants during their time in Transitional Housing that will result in at least 20 hours per week of engagement in services, activities or employment for all program participants, except for a program participant over age 62 or who is an individual with handicaps as defined in 24 CFR 8.3 or a with a developmental disability as defined under 24 CFR 578.3 (examples of services or activities include case management, counseling, treatment, volunteering, work therapy, education, job training, communitybuilding activities, etc.) Employment may contribute to the 20 hours per week of engagement. 500 word limit.**

Criteria: Applicant describes how service plans for participants will total to at least 20 hours a week of services. Applicant makes note acknowledging exceptions.

Max points: 5

POINTS AWARDED:

SECTION 3. SUBMISSION TIMELINESS

Scoring Criteria Submission Timeliness			
Item	Due	Submitted	On Time
Draft Application			
Scoring Report			
Max Points:			2
Point Basis:	One Point for Each Item Submitted On Time		
POINTS AWARDED:			

SECTION 4. BONUS QUESTION

Measure:	*BONUS* Voluntary reallocation from a Permanent Supportive Housing to Transitional Housing project	
Description:	Did your agency voluntarily opt to transition or reallocate an existing PSH project to a TH project?	
Applicable to:	PSH	
Max Points:		5
Point Basis:	5 points: Yes 0 points: No	
POINTS AWARDED:		

Max Points (w/out bonus):	119
Points Awarded:	0
Percentage:	0.00%